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## A PERSONAL STATEMENT

The question most often asked of me while I was writing this book was short, direct and irritating: "Have you ever been raped?"

My answer was equally direct: "No."
This exchange, repeated many times in many places, seemed to satisfy neither the questioner nor me. When I thought about it, I decided that there were differing motivations on the part of my interlocutors. For some, I concluded, the question was a double-edged credentials challenge: If you're not a criminologist or a victim, then who are you? (Why wasn't it enough that I was a writer onto an interesting subject, I wonder.) For others, I suspected, a curious twist of logic lay behind the question. A woman who chooses to write about rape probably has a dark personal reason, a lurid secret, a history of real or imagined abuse, a trauma back there somewhere, a fixation, a Bad Experience that has permanently warped her or instilled in her the compulsion to Tell the World.

I hate to disappoint, but the answer is still "No." I may have been shortchanged here and there, but I have never been coerced. Yet there did come a time when I knew with certainty that I had to write a book about rape, and I proceeded to do it with more tenacity and grueling methodical effort than I have ever applied to any other project in my life.

The matching up of author with subject matter is a mysterious process. I could point with professional detachment to the fact that rape has been a theme of mine in print since 1968 when I wrote a piece for a magazine about an interracial rape case with political ramifications. I could argue forcefully that years ago, before the current interest, I had staked out the territory. But this would be more than slightly disin-

## 1

## The Mass Psychology of Rape: An Introduction

Krafft-Ebing, who pioneered in the study of sexual disorders, had little to say about rape. His famous Psychopathia Sexualis gives amazingly short shrift to the act and its doers. He had it on good authority, he informed his readers, that most rapists were degenerate, imbecilic men. Having made that sweeping generalization, Krafft-Ebing washed his hands of the whole affair and turned with relish to the frotteurs and fetishists of normal intelligence who tickled his fancy.

Sigmund Freud, whose major works followed Kraftt-Ebing's by twenty to forty years, was also struck dumb by the subject of rape. We can search his writings in vain for a quotable quote, an analysis, a perception. The father of psychoanalysis, who invented the concept of the primacy of the penis, was never motivated, as far as we know, to explore the real-life deployment of the penis as weapon. What the master ignored, the disciples tended to ignore as well. Alfred Adler does not mention rape, despite his full awareness of the historic power struggle between men and women. Jung refers to rape only in the most obscure manner, a glancing reference in some of his mythological interpretations. Helene Deutsch and Karen Horney, each from a differing perspective, grasped at the female fear of rape, and at the feminine fantasy, but as women who did not dare to presume, they turned a blind eye to the male and female reality.

And the great socialist theoreticians Marx and Engels and
their many confreres and disciples who developed the theory of class oppression and put words like "exploitation" into the everyday vocabulary, they, too, were strangely silent about rape, unable to fit it into their economic constructs. Among them only August Bebel tried to grasp at its historic importance, its role in the very formulation of class, private property and the means of production. In Woman Under Socialism Bebel used his imagination to speculate briefly about the prehistoric tribal fights for land, cattle and labor power within an acceptable Marxist analysis: "There arose the need of labor power to cultivate the ground. The more numerous these powers, all the greater was the wealth in products and herds. These struggles led first to the rape of women, later to the enslaving of conquered men. The women became laborers and objects of pleasure for the conqueror; their males became slaves." He didn't get it quite right, making the rape of women secondary to man's search for labor, but it was a flash of revelation and one that Engels did not achieve in his Origin of the Family. But Bebel was more at ease researching the wages and conditions of working. women in German factories, and that is where his energies went.

It was the half-crazed genius Wilhelm Reich, consumed with rage in equal parts toward Hitler, Marx and Freud, who briefly entertained the vision of a "masculine idcology of rape." The phrase hangs there in the opening chapter of The Sexual Revolution, begging for further interpretation. But it was not forthcoming. The anguished mind was in too great a state of disarray. A political analysis of rape would have required more treachery toward his own immutable gender than even Wilhelm Reich could muster.

And so it remained for the latter-day feminists, free at last from the strictures that forbade us to look at male sexuality, to discover the truth and meaning in our own victimization. Critical to our study is the recognition that rape has a history, and that through the tools of historical analysis we may learn what we need to know about our current condition.

No zoologist, as far as I know, has ever observed that animals rape in their natural habitat, the wild. Sex in the animal world, including those species that are our closest relations, the primates, is more properly called "mating," and it is cyclical activity set off by biologic signals the female puts out. Mating is initiated and "con-
trolled," it would seem, by the female estrous cycle. When the female of the species periodically goes into heat, giving off obvious physical signs, she is ready and eager for copulation and the male becomes interested. At other times there is simply no interest, and no mating.

Jane Goodall, studying her wild chimpanzees at the Gombe Stream reserve, noted that the chimps, male and female, were "very promiscuous, but this does not mean that every female will accept every male that courts her." She recorded her observations of one female in heat, who showed the telltale pink swelling of her genital area, who nevertheless displayed an aversion to one particular male who pursued her. "Though he once shook her out of the tree in which she had sought refuge, we never saw him actually 'rape' her," Goodall wrote, adding, however, "Nonetheless, quite often he managed to get his way through dogged persistence." Another student of animal behavior, Leonard Williams, has stated categorically, "The male monkey cannot in fact mate with the female without her invitation and willingness to cooperate. In monkey society there is no such thing as rape, prostitution, or even passive consent."

Zoologists for the most part have been reticent on the subject of rape. It has not been, for them, an important scientific question. But we do know that human beings are different. Copulation in our species can occur 365 days of the yearr; it is not controlled by the female estrous cycle. We females of the human species do not "go pink." The call of estrus and the telltale signs, both visual and olfactory, are absent from our mating procedures, lost perhaps in the evolutionary shuffle. In their place, as a mark of our civilization, we have evolved a complex system of psychological signs and urges, and a complex structure of pleasure. Our call to sex occurs in the head, and the act is not necessarily linked, as it is with animals, to Mother Nature's pattern of procreation. Without a biologically determined mating season, a human male can evince sexual interest in a human female at any time he pleases, and his psychologic urge is not dependent in the slightest on her biologic readiness or receptivity. What it all boils down to is that the human male can rape.

Man's structural capacity to rape and woman's corresponding structural vulnerability are as basic to the physiology of both our
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Man's structural capacity to rape and woman's corresponding structural vulnerability are as basic to the physiology of both our
sexes as the primal act of sex itself. Had it not been for this accident of biology, an accommodation requiring the locking together of two separate parts, penis into vagina, there would be neither copulation nor rape as we know it. Anatomically one might want to improve on the design of nature, but such speculation appears to my mind as unrealistic. The human sex act accomplishes its historic purpose of generation of the species and it also affords some intimacy and pleasure. I have no basic quarrel with the procedure. But, nevertheless, we cannot work around the fact that in terms of human anatomy the possibility of forcible intercourse incontrovertibly exists. This single factor may have been sufficient to have caused the creation of a male ideology of rape. When men discovered that they could rape, they proceeded to do it. Later, much later, under certain circumstances they even came to consider rape a crime.

In the violent landscape inhabited by primitive woman and man, some woman somewhere had a prescient vision of her right to her own physical integrity, and in my mind's eye I can picture her fighting like hell to preserve it. After a thunderbolt of recognition that this particular incarnation of hairy, two-legged hominid was not the Homo sapiens with whom she would like to freely join parts, it might have been she, and not some man, who picked up the first stone and hurled it. How surprised he must have been, and what an unexpected battle must have taken place. Fleet of foot and spirited, she would have kicked, bitten, pushed and run, but she could not retaliate in kind.

The dim perception that had entered prehistoric woman's consciousness must have had an equal but opposite reaction in the mind of her male assailant. For if the first rape was an unexpected battle founded on the first woman's refusal, the second rape was indubitably planned. Indeed, one of the earliest forms of male bonding must have been the gang rape of one woman by a band of marauding men. This accomplished, rape became not only a male prerogative, but man's basic weapon of force against woman, the principal agent of his will and her fear. His forcible entry into her body, despite her physical protestations and struggle, became the vehicle of his victorious conquest over her being, the ultimate test of his superior strength, the triumph of his manhood.

Man's discovery that his genitalia could serve as a weapon to generate fear must rank as one of the most important discoveries of
prehistoric times, along with the use of fire and the first crude stone axe. From prehistoric times to the present, I believe, rape has played a critical function. It is nothing more or less than a conscious process of intimidation by which all men keep all women in a state of fear.

## In the Beginning Was the Law

From the humblest beginnings of the social order based on a primitive system of retaliatory force-the lex talionis: an eye for an eye-woman was unequal before the law. By anatomical fiatthe inescapable construction of their genital organs-the human male was a natural predator and the human female served as his natural prey. Not only might the female be subjected at will to a thoroughly detestable physical conquest from which there could be no retaliation in kind-a rape for a rape-but the consequences of such a brutal struggle might be death or injury, not to mention impregnation and the birth of a dependent child.

One possibility, and one possibility alone, was available to woman. Those of her own sex whom she might call to her aid were more often than not smaller and weaker than her male attackers. More critical, they lacked the basic physical wherewithal for puni-
tive vengeance; at best they could maintain only a limited defensive action. But among those creatures who were her predators, some might serve as her chosen protectors. Perhaps it was thus that the risky bargain was struck. Female fear of an open season of rape, and not a natural inclination toward monogamy, motherhood or love, was probably the single causative factor in the original subjugation of woman by man, the most important key to her historic dependence, her domestication by protective mating.

Once the male took title to a specific female body, and surely for him this was a great sexual convenience as well as a testament
to his warring stature, he had to assume the burden of fighting off all other potential attackers, or scare them off by the retaliatory threat of raping their women. But the price of woman's protection by some men against an abuse by others was steep. Disappointed and disillusioned by the inherent female incapacity to protect, she became estranged in a very real sense from other females, a problem that haunts the social organization of women to this very day. And those who did assume the historic burden of her protectionlater formalized as husband, father, brother, clan-extracted more than a pound of flesh. They reduced her status to that of chattel. The historic price of woman's protection by man against man was the imposition of chastity and monogamy. A crime committed against her body became a crime against the male estate.

The earliest form of permanent, protective conjugal relationship, the accommodation called mating that we now know as marriage, appears to have been institutionalized by the male's forcible abduction and rape of the female. No quaint formality, bride capture, as it came to be known, was a very real struggle: a male took title to a female, staked a claim to her body, as it were, by an act of violence. Forcible seizure was a perfectly acceptable way-to men-of acquiring women, and it existed in England as late as the fifteenth century. Eleanor of Aquitaine, according to a biographer, lived her early life in terror of being "rapt" by a vassal who might through appropriation of her body gain title to her considerable property. Bride capture exists to this day in the rain forests of the Philippines, where the Tasadays were recently discovered to be plying their Stone Age civilization. Remnants of the philosophy of forcible abduction and marriage still influence the social mores of rural Sicily and parts of Africa. A proverb of the exogamous Bantuspeaking Gusiis of southwest Kenya goes "Those whom we marry are those whom we fight."

It seems eminently sensible to hypothesize that man's violent capture and rape of the female led first to the establishment of a rudimentary mate-protectorate and then sometime later to the fullblown male solidification of power, the patriarchy. As the first permanent acquisition of man, his first piece of real property, woman was, in fact, the original building block, the cornerstone, of the "house of the father." Man's forcible extension of his boundaries to his mate and later to their offspring was the beginning of his concept of ownership. Concepts of hierarchy, slavery and
private property flowed from, and could only be predicated upon, the initial subjugation of woman.

A female definition of rape can be contained in a single sentence. If a woman chooses not to have intercourse with a specific man and the man chooses to proceed against her will, that is a criminal act of rape. Through no fault of woman, this is not and never has been the legal definition. The ancient patriarchs who came together to write their early covenants had used the rape of women to forge their own male power-how then could they see rape as a crime of man against woman? Women were wholly owned subsidiaries and not independent beings. Rape could not be envisioned as a matter of female consent or refusal; nor could a definition acceptable to males be based on a male-female understanding of a female's right to her bodily integrity. Rape entered the law through the back door, as it were, as a property crime of man against man. Woman, of course, was viewed as the property.

Ancient Babylonian and Mosaic law was codified on tablets centuries after the rise of formal tribal hierarchies and the permanent settlements known as city-states. Slavery, private property and the subjugation of women were facts of life, and the earliest written law that has come down to us reflects this stratified life. Written law in its origin was a solemn compact among men of property, designed to protect their own male interests by a civilized exchange of goods or silver in place of force wherever possible. The capture of females by force remained perfectly acceptable outside the tribe or city as one of the ready fruits of warfare, but clearly within the social order such a happenstance would lead to chaos. A payment of money to the father of the house was a much more civilized and less dangerous way of acquiring a wife. And so the bride price was codified, at fifty pieces of silver. By this circuitous route the first concept of criminal rape sneaked its tortuous way into man's definition of law. Criminal rape, as a patriarchal father saw it, was a violation of the new way of doing business. It was, in a phrase, the theft of virginity, an embezzlement of his daughter's fair price on the market.

About four thousand years ago the Code of Hammurabi, chipped on a seven-foot column of diorite stone, made plain by its omissions that a female was allowed no independent status under Babylonian law. She was either a betrothed virgin, living in the house of her father, or else she was somebody's lawfully wedded
wife and lived in the house of her husband. According to Hammurabi, a man was to be seized and slain if he raped a betrothed virgin, but the victimized girl was considered guiltless. As an interesting indication of the powers and rights of patriarchs over their female dependents, Hammurabi decreed that a man who "knew" his own daughter (i.e., committed incest) was merely banished from the walls of the city. A married woman who had the misfortune to get raped in Babylon had to share the blame equally with her attacker. Regardless of how the incident occurred, the crime was labeled adultery and both participants were bound and thrown into the river. Appeal from such stern justice is revealing. A husband was permitted to pull his wife from the water if he so desired; the king, if he wished, could let his errant male subject go free.

Influenced by Hammurabi's code but lacking the glorious Bysel Tigris and Euphrates, the ancient Hebrews substituted death by stoning for a watery grave. When Moses received his tablets from God on the top of Mount Sinai, "Thou shalt not rape" was conspicuously missing from the Ten Commandments, although Moses received a distinct commandment against adultery and another, for good measure, against the coveting of thy neighbor's wife, bracketed this second time around with thy neighbor's house, his field, his servant, ox and ass. Like her Babylonian sister, a married woman within the Hebrew culture who was victimized by rape was considered culpable, adulterous and irrevocably defiled. She was stoned to death along with her attacker at the gates to the city. But unlike the woman of Babylon, who before her last gasp might be rescued by her grief-stricken husband, for the women of Israel there was no reprieve. Reprieve from adultery, real or imagined, had to wait till the Gospel of St. John, in which appears Jesus' famous statement, "He that is without sin among you, let him first cast a stone at her."

We must cut through the thicket of some minor passages in Deuteronomy, written long after the original Ten Commandments, to arrive at the true Hebraic concept of a criminal act of rape, one in which the violator, and not the violated, bore full responsibility for his unlawful act.

In the Hebrew social order, which differed only in its exquisite precision from the simpler Babylonian codes, virgin maidens were bought and sold in marriage for fifty pieces of silver. To use plain language, what a father sold to a prospective bridegroom or his
family was title to his daughter's unruptured hymen, a piece of property he wholly owned and controlled. With a clearly marked price tag attached to her hymen, a daughter of Israel was kept under watch to make sure she remained in a pristine state, for a piece of damaged goods could hardly command an advantageous match and might have to be sold as a concubine.

Like the Hebrew wife who was held responsible for her own defilement, a Hebrew daughter was given the task of guarding her own untouched flesh. If a man raped a virgin within the walls of the city both shared the same fate of death by stoning, for the elders reasoned that if the girl had screamed she would have been rescued. Patriarchal wisdom allowed that if the act of rape took place outside the city or while the girl was laboring in the field, for all her screaming, no one might hear, so a judicious solution was put into effect. The rapist was ordered to pay the girl's father fifty silver shekels in compensation for what would have been her bride price and the pair was simply commanded to wed. But if the maiden who was raped in a field was already betrothed to someone else, and betrothals in infancy were common, Hebraic wrath descended with unilateral vengeance on the rapist's head. No civil exchange of money and goods could be countenanced, for not only was the original betrothal null and void, but the house of the father had suffered an irreparable blow to its honor. In this singular instance the incautious rapist was stoned to death while the girl went unpunished, to be sold at a markdown to one who might have her.

One authority on the blood-vengeance justice of the early Assyrians has noted that under the lex talionis the father of a raped virgin was permitted to seize the wife of the rapist and violate her in turn. Before the codification of Mosaic law, Hebrew retribution for rape was even deadlier than this, particularly if the offender came from outside the tribe. The story of Dinah stands as a warning to any who might violate a Hebrew daughter. It is also a serious warning to young women of what might befall them if they stray too far from their father's house.

As told in Genesis, Dinah was a virgin daughter of Jacob by Leah. She was raped by a gentile when she left the house one day to go to visit some female friends. Dinah's attacker, who was not without his own tribal code, then applied to Jacob's family for permission to marry the woman he had violated. Pretending agree-
 IN THE BEGINNING WAS THE LAW 21 ment, Jacob's sons suggested to the eager young man that he and all the male members of his uncivilized tribe undergo the ritual of circumcision. Three days later, the Bible tells us, when the gentile tribe was still sore from the painful operation, Jacob's sons descended on their encampment, slaughtered the weakened men and made captives of their women and oxen. Thus was the house of Jacob vindicated, but what benefit accrued to Dinah is questionable.

Protecting wellborn daughters of Israel from rape by the threat of massive retribution was obviously serious business, but as the story of Dinah shows, men of the Hebrew tribes, like their neighbors, had no compunctions against freely raping women of tribes they had conquered, for in this way they prospered and grew. Captured slave women were lawfully employed as servants, field hands, concubines and breeders of future slaves in much the same manner that the eighteenth-century American slaveholder made use of his black female slaves, and indeed, this Biblical parallel was often cited as religious justification by upholders of American slavery.

The unfortunate lot of women caught in the middle of intertribal warfare within the confederated twelve tribes of Israel is demonstrated by a series of swiftly moving events reported in the Book of Judges. A Levite, accompanied by his unfaithful concubine, seeks rest and shelter for the night with an old man in Benjamite territory. Hearing that a stranger has come to town, some men of Benjamin approach the house with the intention of committing homosexual abuse. The Levite's protector offers up his own virgin daughter and the Levite's concubine to deflect the energy of the eager young bloods. They graciously decline the use of the daughter but they rape the concubine through the night. When the Levite discovers her dead on the doorstep in the morning, he calls on the other tribes of Israel to defend his honor. In the ensuing battle most of the Benjamite men and all of the Benjamite women are slaughtered. Now the Hebrew elders become seriously concerned, for without women the tribe of Benjamin will cease to exist. They arrange for the defeated Benjamites to catch and rape four hundred young virgins of neighboring Shiloh, and thus secure for them legal wives.

With all this lawful rape as the order of the day, it comes as no surprise that the Bible's major rape parable is not concerned
with the plight of an unfortunate female or even with the efforts of her father and brothers to avenge their house. The famous story of Potiphar's wife is an important morality lesson in Hebrew, Christian and Moslem folklore, and it expresses the true, historic concern and abiding fear of egocentric, rapacious man: what can happen to a fine, upstanding fellow if a vengeful female lies and cries that she has been assaulted.

Joseph the Israelite was a highly placed slave in the household of Potiphar the Egyptian. As recorded in Genesis, Potiphar's wife-she is not identified by a first name-cast a lecherous eye upon the Hebrew slave. She was always pestering this unwilling sex object to "lie with me," and the virtuous Joseph was forever reminding her of their master in common. One day Joseph and Potiphar's wife found themselves alone in the house. Seizing her opportunity, Potiphar's wife caught Joseph "by his garment" and commanded, "Lie with me." At this point, supposedly, Joseph fled-and Potiphar's wife began crying rape or its Biblical equivalent.

This is the Hebrew male side of the story, I must stress. When Potiphar came home his wife showed him Joseph's torn cloak and wailed, "The Hebrew . . . came in unto me to mock me!" Potiphar had no choice but to throw his favorite slave into prison. But God, as would be expected, remained on the side of the Israelite. Once in jail Joseph became quite the prison leader, and by correctly interpreting the Pharaoh's dreams he won a full pardon and rose to become prime minister. The moral of the story of Potiphar's wife is that a woman scorned-especially if she is gentilecan get a good man into a hell of a lot of trouble by crying rape.

The legend of Potiphar's wife, in some form or other, is a familiar staple in many ancient cultures. Joseph's misfortune occupies a place of importance in the teachings of the Koran and a similar tale has been traced to Egyptian folklore of 1300 B.C. A variation appears in Celtic myths. One historian of sexual attitudes has noted the frequent recurrence of the theme in romantic histories of the Crusades, where "very often the rapacious maiden is a Saracen who jumps into the bed of a crusader," all the more significant when we remember that the Crusades were marked by Christian rape and pillage of Moslems as the crusaders pursued the Holy Grail. The universal promulgation of a parable of rape that places the full burden of blame squarely on a lascivious female of
another race or nation can hardly be accidental. Aggressive warlike peoples must have found it highly expeditious to promote this sort of legend as they went about their routine business of conquering others. What better way, after all, to absolve themselves from guilt as they plucked the fruits of victory?

As the centuries rolled by and Jewish women began to win a measure of independence, the learned men who interpreted the Bible became increasingly concerned about an act that was not quite rape but had some elements of mutual seduction. The emergence of an independent female, one whose father had died or one who did not marry, began to affect the ground rules governing criminal-rape prosecutions for "she herself became a litigant," writes a modern rabbinical authority, and "her consent to the act had great bearing on the payments due." Talmudic theorists of the Middle Ages, the Jewish intellectual elite, manfully sought to cover all the new contingencies. Virginity remained the sine qua non of individual rape prosecutions, but maidens who displayed "sexual eagerness" were added to the official list of statutory nonvirgins, along with gentile women, captives and slaves.

Under Talmudic interpretation, a raped virgin was no longer required to marry her rapist. If she had acquired a semi-independent status and her age fell between three and twelve and a halfthe rabbis were real sticklers about the age of bona fide virginsshe was permitted to receive the fine of fifty coins herself. Allowing a female to keep the money tampered with the hallowed concept of rape as the theft of virginity. In time the award came to be seen as punitive damage for injury to a female's body, as well as payment for enjoying sexual intercourse with a virgin. This was real progress for women, hard won. The great Jewish theologian Maimonides was forever arguing that in his view a raped virgin had no right to receive monetary compensation. He did not prevail.*

Concepts of rape and punishment in early English law are a

* Maimonides dominated Jewish philosophic thought in the twelfth century and beyond, but on matters pertaining to women this strict constructionist was often overruled by other rabbis. It is a little-known fact that in addition to his other accomplishments, the great Maimonides was the author of a slender sex manual that had quite a vogue in its day. Women figure hardly at all in his little book, which is mainly about food. Not to put too fine a point on it, Maimonides' manual is a collection of recipes guaranteed by the author to sustain an erection.
wondrous maze of contradictory approaches reflecting a gradual humanization of jurisprudence in general, and in particular, man's eternal confusion, never quite resolved, as to whether the crime was a crime against a woman's body or a crime against his own estate.

Before the Norman Conquest of 1066 the penalty for rape was death and dismemberment, but this stern justice pertained exclusively to the man who raped a highborn, propertied virgin who lived under the protection of a powerful lord. Feudalism took root in the early Middle Ages as ownership of land became an inherited right, "the lands passing by immemorial custom from father to son . . . maintained, among other ways by the system of wardship and marriage." Since females were allowed to inherit property, a matter of necessity if there were no extant male heirs, "trading in marriages," to borrow a telling phrase from G. G. Coulton, was a lucrative enterprise among the nobility, practiced in much the same manner "as men trade in shares and investments today." For obvious economic reasons a landed heiress could not marry without permission of hei overlord, under penalty of losing her inherited fortune. Yet once the nuptials had taken place, their legal and churchly sanctity could not be challenged, and so the custom of "stealing an heiress" by forcible abduction and marriage became a routine method of acquiring property by adventurous, upwardmobile knights. As a matter of record, not until a fifteenth-century edict of Henry VII was heiress-stealing ruled a felony unto itself.

Gothic literature has made heiress-stealing a subject of great romance, replete with midnight assignations, loyal maidservants and a great thundering of horses' hooves, but in actuality it was predicated on the desire for land, not love. If a captured virgin managed to escape before her forced marriage, or if an errant knave had merely taken her on the spot, she could attempt to seek redress in the court of her lord's manor. Trial for capital crime in those days was by physical ordeal, and grueling tests by water and hot irons were probably employed to arrive at the "truth."

Henry of Bratton (Bracton), who lived and wrote in the thirteenth century, is our best authority for these ancient Saxon times, accepted by Coke, Hale and Blackstone, the later giants of English jurisprudence. Bracton informs us that during the tenth-century rule of King Athelstan, if a man were to throw a virgin to the ground against her will, "he forfeits the King's grace; if he shame-
lessly disrobes her and places himself upon her, he incurs the loss of all his possessions; and if he lies with her, he incurs the loss of his life and members." Vengeance did not stop at death, for, Bracton continued, "even his horse shall to his ignomy be put to shame upon its scrotum and tail, which shall be cut off as close as possible to the buttocks." A similar fate awaited the rapist's dog, and if he happened to own a hawk, "Let it lose its beak, its claws and its tail."

After his animals were cropped and his own human life was taken, a rapist's land and money were supposed to be given to the ravished virgin. But one manner of redemption was possible. As a benevolent way of saving him from terrible death, a raped virgin might be permitted by King and Church to accept her ravisher in marriage. Since consolidation of property was uppermost in the minds of men, we may assume that a violated virgin was encouraged or not encouraged toward matrimony depending on which arrangement of the land was most beneficial, or least inconvenient, to the domain of Church and King.

Punishment for raping a virgin of property was thoughtfully reduced to castration and the loss of both eyes by William the Conqueror. The mode of trial under William also switched from ordeal to combat, so we may assume that unless the stakes were high, few virgins were actually championed by their chivalrous kin. Speaking to this point, the English legal historians Pollock and Maitland remark, "In one respect a woman's capacity of suing was curtailed by her inability to fight."

Castration and blinding was still the appropriate penalty for raping a virgin in Bracton's day and he explained the law's intent"member for member"-with these words: "Let him lose his eyes which gave him sight of the virgin's beauty for which he coveted her. And let him lose as well the testicles which excited his hot lust."

King Henry II, the Plantagenet who married Eleanor of Aquitaine, brought the principles of Frankish law to England during his twelfth-century reign. If a raped virgin filed a civil suit or "appeal" and an indictment was obtained, the resulting trial was by jury in the king's assize instead of by combat or duel. This was clearly an advance in procedures. Bracton was most meticulous as to the proper form the suit should take. He entitled his instructions "An appeal concerning the rape of virgins." An appeal concerning the
rape of nonvirgins does not appear anywhere in his compendium, for Bracton was describing the king's jurisdiction, which by this time included murder, mayhem and major theft. "Minor" offenses were still being handled by the manorial courts. In fact, Bracton tells us that a raped virgin's appeal and a wife's appeal in the matter of a husband "slain within her arms" were the only suits a woman could bring to the courts of the king. The procedure a raped virgin was to follow went like this:

She must go at once and while the deed is newly done, with the hue and cry, to the neighboring townships and there show the injury done to her to men of good repute, the blood and her clothing stained with blood, and her torn garments. And in the same way she ought to go to the reeve of the hundred, the king's serjeant, the coroners and the sheriff. And let her make her appeal at the first county court, unless she can at once make her complaint directly to the lord king or his justices, where she will be told to sue at the county court. Let her appeal be enrolled in the coroners' rolls, every word of the appeal, exactly as she makes it, and the year and day on which she makes it. A day will be given her at the coming of the justices, at which let her again put forward her appeal before them, in the same words as she made it in the county court, from which she is not permitted to depart lest the appeal fall because of the variance . . .

If the man whom our raped virgin accused protested his innocence, "Let the truth be ascertained by an examination of her body, made by four law-abiding women sworn to tell the truth as to whether she is a virgin or defiled." If she proved to be defiled, the trial might continue; if she proved a virgin still, the case was dismissed and the false accuser was thrown into custody.

The man accused, Bracton writes; had several possible defenses. He might say
that he had her as his concubine and amica before the day and the year mentioned in the appeal . . . or that he had her and defiled her with her consent and not against her will, and that if she now appeals him it is in hatred of another woman whom he has as his concubine, or whom he has married, or that it is at the instigation of one of her kinsmen. He may also except that on the year and day the deed was supposed to be done he was elsewhere, outside the realm.
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ti2. . . . Or he may also except on the ground of an omission made in the appeal. . . . Many other matters may constitute exceptions though I do not now call them to mind.

Despite a spirited defense, the male justices who heard the case might sometimes decide they had to convict, and then our victimprosecutrix* would be given her old option of marrying her rapist as a benevolent way of saving him from gruesome mutilation.

Bracton allowed that this time-honored custom of redeeming a rapist through marriage could cause considerable mischief to the social structure, for "a common person might bring perpetual disgrace upon a woman of nobility and good family by a single act of defilement and take her to wife to the disgrace of her family." On the other hand, "But suppose that the ravisher is a nobleman and the woman raped a common person; will it be for the defiled person to exercise a choice and decide whether she will marry the nobleman or not?"

Apparently this was to be-if the nobleman valued his sight and his testicles-but the chance that a man of nobility might be convicted of raping a commoner would have been slight. "As a rule," Sidney Painter writes in his History of the Middle Ages, "the nobleman's crime was blamed on his men." Painter reports on one case in which a young girl was abducted on the highway, taken to a knight's house, and raped by the knight and his men: "The court solemnly accepted the statement of the knight that he was horrified to hear that she had not been in his house of her own free will. . . . Even in England," he continues, "if a member of the feudal class committed his crimes against anyone other than the king or a great lord, he was fairly safe from prosecution, or at least from punishment."
("Even in England" is an important qualifying phrase, for while the Middle Ages was a time of savage wife beating, Court

[^0]prostitution, and general all around lawlessness and feudal oppression, things seemed to be far worse for women on the Continent. The jus primae noctis, right of the first night or droit du seigneur, the custom of giving the manorial lord the right to take the virginity of the bride of any one of his vassals or serfs unless the bride and bridegroom paid a specific amount of produce in redemption dues -certainly a form of rape-appears to have been enforced irregularly in certain parts of Germany, France, Italy and Poland but not, however, in England. Still, it cannot be overstressed that "even in England" the law that evolved was feudal class law, designed to protect the nobleman's interest. Although it took place much later, the celebrated eighteenth century trial and acquittal of Frederick Calvert, the seventh and last Lord Baltimore, for a rape upon the body of Sarah Woodcock, a milliner, is a case in point. Baltimore had the twenty-nine-year-old virgin hat-maker abducted and he kept her a virtual prisoner for more than a week. At the trial he claimed consent and pleaded, "Libertine as I am represented, I am sure I have sufficiently atoned for every indiscretion, which a weak attachment to this unworthy woman may have led me into, by having suffered the disgrace of being exposed as a criminal at the bar." Apparently the judge and jury agreed. The wonder of Lord Baltimore's case is that it came to trial at all.)

How was justice secured for raped women who were not propertied virgins, that is, as Bracton himself was careful to enumerate, for "matrons, nuns, widows, concubines and even prostitutes"? The legal scholar who so minutely described the procedures and punishments in regard to "an appeal concerning the rape of virgins" hurriedly passed by the rest of womankind's rapists with the comment, "Punishment of this kind does not follow . .." although he did report that it might be "severe." What precise punishment did follow he never records, and probably for good, practical reason. Either he had few convictions to go by, or the penalties were not uniform, or he and his fellow men did not consider the matter of any great legal concern. All three of these possibilities are highly likely in concert. Pollock and Maitland, the law historians, write, "Concerning these matters we find little caselaw. Appeals of rape were often brought in the thirteenth century; but they were often quashed, abandoned or compromised." But what is significant is that by Bracton's time, the thirteenth century, the legal concept of criminal rape had clearly, if haphazardly, been
broadened by the manorial courts to include at least in principle the rape of "matrons, nuns, widows, concubines and even prostitutes." Bracton's glancing reference may be the first in written history.

The comprehensive Statutes of Westminster put forward by Edward I at the close of the thirteenth century showed a gigantic advance in legal thinking as the Crown, and by "Crown" Americans should read "state," began to take an active interest in all kinds of rape prosecutions, not just those concerning violated virgins. Our modern principle of statutory rape-felonious carnal knowledge of a child in which her "consent" is altogether immate-rial-dates from this time and these statutes.

Of critical significance, Westminster extended the king's jurisdiction to cover the forcible rape of married women as well as virgins, with no difference in punishment to offending males. To further erase the distinction between the rape of a virgin and the rape of a wife, the old, ignoble custom of redemption through marriage was permanently banned under suits by the king. In concession to the proprietary rights of husbands-for the Crown had ventured into an area it had never ventured into beforeWestminster also saw fit to legislate a definition of lesser ravishment, a sort of misdemeanor, applicable in cases where it could be argued that a wife did not object strenuously enough to her own "defilement." The aggrieved party in these cases was the husband, and the wife was peremptorily stripped of her dower. Within a marriage, the theory went-and still goes-that there could be no such crime as rape by a husband since a wife's "consent" to her husband was a permanent part of the marriage vows and could not be withdrawn.

To give the new law teeth, Edward I decreed that if a raped woman or her kin failed to institute a private suit within forty days, the right to prosecute automatically passed to the Crown. This bold concept, applicable only to virgins in previous reigns, was a giant step for the law and for women. It meant that rape was no longer just a family misfortune and a threat to land and property, but an issue of public safety and state concern.

The First Statute of Westminster, enacted in 1275, set the Crown's penalty for rape at a paltry two years' imprisonment plus a fine at the king's pleasure, no doubt to ease the effect of a major transition, for what had occurred at the Parliament of Westminster
was only tangentially and in retrospect a recognition of women's rights; its inexorable, historic purpose had been to consolidate political power in the hands of the king. But within a decade an emboldened Second Statute of Westminster amended the timorous First. By a new act of Parliament, any man who ravished "a married woman, dame or damsel" without her consent was guilty of a full-blown felony under the law of the Crown, and the penalty was death.*

It read better on parchment than it worked in real life, but the concept of rape as a public wrong had been firmly established.

From the thirteenth to the twentieth century, little changed. The later giants of jurisprudence, Hale, Blackstone, Wigmore and the rest, continued to point a suspicious finger at the female victim and worry about her motivations and "good fame."
"If she be of evil fame and stand unsupported by others," Blackstone commented, "if she concealed the injury for any considerable time after she had the opportunity to complain, if the place where the act was alleged to be committed was where it was possible she might have been heard and she made no outcry, these and the like circumstances carry a strong but not conclusive presumption that her testimony is false or feigned."

[^1]
## 3

## War

## This is my weapon, this is my gun <br> This is for business, this is for fun

-Drill Sergeant's Ditty

I then told him that, in spite of my most diligent efforts, there would unquestionably be some raping, and that I should like to have the details as early as possible so that the offenders could be properly hanged.
-General George S. Patton, Jr. War As I Knew It

It's funny about man's attitude toward rape in war. Unquestionably there shall be some raping. Unconscionable, but nevertheless inevitable. When men are men, slugging it out among themselves, conquering new land, subjugating new people, driving on toward victory, unquestionably there shall be some raping.

And so it has been. Rape has accompanied wars of religion: knights and pilgrims took time off for sexual assault as they marched toward Constantinople in the First Crusade. Rape has accompanied wars of revolution: George Washington's papers for July 22, 1780, record that one Thomas Brown of the Seventh Pennsylvania Regiment was sentenced to death for rape at Pa ramus, and it was Brown's second conviction at that. Rape in
warfare is not bound by definitions of which wars are "just" or "unjust." Rape was a weapon of terror as the German Hun marched through Belgium in World War I. Rape was a weapon of revenge as the Russian Army marched to Berlin in World War II. Rape flourishes in warfare irrespective of nationality or geographic location. Rape got out of hand-"regrettably," as the foreign minister was later to say-when the Pakistani Army battled Bang ladesh. Rape reared its head as a way to relieve boredom as American GI's searched and destroyed in the highlands of Vietnam.

In modern times, rape is outlawed as a criminal act under the international rules of war. Rape is punishable by death or impris onment under Article 120 of the American Uniform Code of Military Justice. Yet rape persists as a common act of war.

It has been argued that when killing is viewed as not only permissible but heroic behavior sanctioned by one's government or cause, the distinction between taking a human life and other forms of impermissible violence gets lost, and rape becomes an unfortunate but inevitable by-product of the necessary game called war. Women, by this reasoning, are simply regrettable victimsincidental, unavoidable casualties-like civilian victims of bombing, lumped together with children, homes, personal belongings, a church, a dike, a water buffalo or next year's crop. But rape in war is qualitatively different from a bomb that misses its military target, different from impersonal looting and burning, different from deliberate ambush, mass murder or torture during interrogation, although it contains elements of all of the above. Rape is more than a symptom of war or evidence of its violent excess. Rape in war is a familiar act with a familiar excuse.

War provides men with the perfect psychologic backdrop to give vent to their contempt for women. The very maleness of the military-the brute power of weaponry exclusive to their hands, the spiritual bonding of men at arms, the manly discipline of orders given and orders obeyed, the simple logic of the hierarchical com-mand-confirms for men what they long suspect, that women are peripheral, irrelevant to the world that counts, passive spectators to the action in the center ring.

Men who rape in war are ordinary Joes, made unordinary by entry into the most exclusive male-only club in the world. Victory in arms brings group power undreamed of in civilian life. Power for men alone. The unreal situation of a world without women be-
comes the prime reality. To take a life looms more significant than to make a life, and the gun in the hand is power. The sickness of warfare feeds on itself. A certain number of soldiers must prove their newly won superiority-prove it to a woman, to themselves, to other men. In the name of victory and the power of the gun, war provides men with a tacit license to rape. In the act and in the excuse, rape in war reveals the male psyche in its boldest form, without the veneer of "chivalry" or civilization.

Fighting to secure women was on a par with fighting to secure food among ancient primitive tribes, an activity that still survives in certain parts of the world. The practical Hebrews, anxious to get a law on the books for all contingencies, made no bones about the status of women who were captured in war. Female captives were allowable as slaves and concubines, according to Deuteronomy, but Hebrew men were discouraged from marrying them. If a Hebrew male did marry a captive woman, unlike a Hebrew woman she could be divorced without cause or complicated rigmarole.

Among the ancient Greeks, rape was also socially acceptable behavior well within the rules of warfare, an act without stigma for warriors who viewed the women they conquered as legitimate booty, useful as wives, concubines, slave labor or battle-camp trophy. Homer's Iliad describes the Trojan War as the attempt by Menelaus of Sparta to recapture Helen, who had been stolen by Paris along with her treasure. The face that launched a thousand ships was the ultimate prize. Since Helen was a queen, she lived as wife of Paris during her stay in Troy. Lesser women fared less advantageously during the war. The Trojan Chryseis was captured by the Spartans and allotted to Agamemnon as his concubine of the battle camp, and her father had to enlist the god Apolle to help reclaim her. Agamemnon angrily sought compensation for the loss of Chryseis by seizing Briseis, a slave-concubine that his warrior Achilles had won. Achilles responded by sulking in his tent and refusing to fight, and the Spartan cause began to suffer. Agamemnon was forced to make a delicate settlement for unity. He ceremoniously returned Briseis to Achilles, along with the following carefully enumerated loot from his treasure hut: seven tripods, twenty cauldrons, twelve horses, ten talents of gold and seven craftswomen. Briseis held out hope that Achilles might marry her after this elaborate negotiated settlement. but the warrior didn't. The battle-camp arrangements suited him fine.

The rape of the Sabine women, which supposedly led to the founding of Rome, is another famous example of woman-stealing in war, an event that captured the imagination of artists in later centuries who invariably painted the captured Sabines as fullfleshed and luscious and having a good time. In a curious bit of moralizing, Saint Augustine chose to quibble with the sneaky manner in which the Sabine women were raped.
14. Even if the Sabines were unfair to refuse to give their daughters [he argued in City of God], it was surely much more unfair to take them by force after this refusal. It would have been more just to have waged war against a people that refused a request for marriage with its daughters on the part of close neighbors, than against those who asked for the restoration of daughters who had been carried off. . . . It might have been in accordance with some sort of law of war, had the victor justly won the women who had been unjustly refused him; it was contrary to every law of peace that he seized those who had been denied him and then waged unjust war with their indig. nant parents.

Because the Sabine women had been carried off and raped before the war, Augustine called the operation a "shady trick."

There is no precise moment in history when bells clanged and rape in war universally came to be considered a criminal act, outside the province of a proper warrior. The historic development of the rights of women, like the development of nations, proceeded at an uneven pace. Totila, the Ostrogoth who captured Rome in 546 A.D., forbade his troops to rape the Roman women, but the source from which I rescued this obscure bit of history warned that "Totila stands out as a bright chivalrous knightly figure in an age of savagery." Nonetheless, Totila deserves a nod as a man ahead of his time.

One of the earliest surviving Articles of War was proclaimed by Richard II of England in 1385. Among the twenty-four articles governing the conduct of his soldiers, King Richard decreed "That none be so hardy as to . . force any woman, upon pain of being hanged." An equal penalty was applied to the hardy who pillaged a church. Yet as late as the seventeenth century, the Dutch jurist Grotius, who wrote at length on international military law, was forced to muse that some countries held that the dishonoring of
women in war was allowable while other countries held to the contrary. Grotius asserted that the more civilized of nations disallowed rape. The outlawing of rape in warfare, at least on the books, was an important advance for women, but despite the penalties, and whether or not they were rigorously applied, rape in warfare continued to flourish.

A simple rule of thumb in war is that the winning side is the side that does the raping. There are two specific reasons for this, one pragmatic and one psychologic, and neither has much to do with the nobility of losers or with the moral superiority of an heroic defense. First, a victorious army marches through the defeated people's territory, and thus it is obvious that if there is any raping to be done, it will be done on the bodies of the defeated enemy's women. Second, rape is the act of a conqueror. This is more than a truism. It helps explain why men continue to rape in war.

Long after the enemy's women had lost their utilitarian value as slave labor or battle-camp trophy, and long after rape was frowned upon by the rore civilized kings and generals, rape remained a hallmark of success in battle. In medieval times, opportunities to rape and loot were among the few advantages open to common foot soldiers, who were paid with great irregularity by their leaders. The Byzantine emperor Alexius is supposed to have extolled the beauty of Greek women in his appeals for recruits for the First Crusade. When the city of Constantinople was sacked in 1204, rape and plunder went hand in hand, as in the sack of almost every ancient city, Totila's Ostrogoths notwithstanding. "To the victor belong the spoils" has applied to women since Helen of Troy, but the sheer property worth of women was replaced in time by a far more subtle system of values. Down through the ages, triumph over women by rape became a way to measure victory, part of a soldier's proof of masculinity and success, a tangible reward for services rendered. Stemming from the days when women were property, access to a woman's body has been considered an actual reward of war.* "Booty and beauty" General

[^2]Andrew Jackson supposedly named it in New Orleans during the War of 1812 . He was commenting, naturally, on the English attitude.

Of course, the soldier-rapist may not see his act in these terms. In the thick of his cause, the rape for him may assume heroic proportions, justified by ideology or even by God. Sexual violence against women was fervently committed in the name of God, although not, we may believe, with His blessing, during the Wars of Religion in France. A remarkable description of one such religious rape, which occurred on December 18, 1567, near Provins, was recorded by Claude Haton, a local Catholic priest and a meticulous diarist. The victim was a Huguenot woman.

It so happened that this LeBlanc and his wife fell into the hands of some soldiers. The soldiers who held the woman did not hold her husband, but others did, and during this time they were not permitted to see or talk to each other. The woman was finally delivered from the hands of these soldiers and put at liberty but only after they had used and enjoyed her at their pleasure and led her through the streets with her feet and legs and head all bare. The only clothing she had on was an undergarment and an apron . . . made of red material all covered with blood. This happened on the 18th day of December. When they passed by the church of St.-Ayoul the poor Huguenot was brought inside. This was between eight and nine o'clock in the morning. At the entrance to the church she was forced to take holy water and sprinkle her face with it and then she was brought before the main altar where a priest was saying mass. Here she was forced to both knees and given a lighted candle to hold during the elevation of the mass. . . She was told to ask mercy of God . . . for the terrible sin she had committed in straying from the true Catholic religion and adhering to the false Huguenot faith.

[^3]Then, too, there is mention in history of those chivalrous souls, those knights and squires, who took it upon themselves to protect women of rank, though not of the lower classes, from assault by common soldiers. Froissart's chronicles of the Hundred Years' War between England and France are filled with romantic incidents such as the moment when Edward III occupied the castle of Pois and found it deserted except for two noblewomen "who would have been raped by the low-born archers had not two noted knights . . . rescued them." Sidney Painter observed in his book on French chivalry, "Froissart took great delight in describing the courteous treatment accorded by French and English knights to any ladies whom the fortunes of war had placed at their mercy. When a nunnery was pillaged and the nuns were raped, he was careful to point out that it had been done by the Germans." Knights, however, did not always respect the class system. The chronicler Monstrelet reported with shock that when the French Army captured Soissons in 1414, noblemen joined the ordinary soldiers in "indiscriminately raping women of all ranks."

When a victorious army rapes, the sheer intoxication of the triumph is only part of the act. After the fact, the rape may be viewed as part of a recognizable pattern of national terror and subjugation. I say "after the fact" because the original impulse to rape does not need a sophisticated political motivation beyond a general disregard for the bodily integrity of women. But rape in warfare has a military effect as well as an impulse. And the effect is indubitably one of intimidation and demoralization for the victims' side.

An aggressor nation rarely admits to rape. * Documentation of rape in warfare is something the other side totals up, analyzes and

[^4]propagandizes when the smoke has cleared after defeat. Men of a conquered nation traditionally view the rape of "their women" as the ultimate humiliation, a sexual coup de grace. Rape is considered by the people of a defeated nation to be part of the enemy's conscious effort to destroy them. In fact, by tradition, men appropriate the rape of "their women" as part of their own male anguish of defeat. This egocentric view does have a partial validity. Apart from a genuine, human concern for wives and daughters near and dear to them, rape by a conqueror is compelling evidence of the conquered's status of masculine impotence. Defense of women has long been a hallmark of masculine pride, as possession of women has been a hallmark of masculine success. Rape by a conquering soldier destroys all remaining illusions of power and property for men of the defeated side. The body of a raped woman becomes a ceremonial battlefield, a parade ground for the victor's trooping of the colors. The act that is played out upon her is a message passed between men-vivid proof of victory for one and loss and defeat for the other.

In April, 1746, King George's army led by the Duke of Cumberland put down an insurrection in the Scottish Highlands. The Highland clans that rallied to the banner of Bonnie Prince Charlie were thoroughly decimated in the Battle of Culloden. That battle, and the brutal pacification program that followed, marked the end of organized clan life in Scotland. The modern British historian John Prebble collected the story of Culloden and its aftermath from records kept by the proud old clans. In the clansmen's view, rape of their women was a deliberate act of tyranny by the English invader, and Prebble wrote the story as he found it.

Sexual mutilation of women on Culloden Moor during the battle proper was only the beginning. Lord George Sackville led a command of infantrymen to Moidart, where Clan Macdonald rebels "showed no enthusiasm for surrendering." A few screaming clansmen raided the rear of his column and captured some horses and provisions. Sackville "allowed his men to take revenge at the next hamlet, where the women were first raped and then held to watch the shooting and bayonetting of their husbands, fathers, brothers and sons."

Major Lockhart's platoons pushed through the glen at In verwick:

Where the River Doe meets the Moriston in a black waterfall Isobel Macdonald was raped by five soldiers, and her husband, skulking high in the heather, watched this in agony. There were other women raped, too, and always before the doors of their burning homes. . . . The women who had been ravished made pacts not to lie with their husbands until nine months were passed. "Which resolution," said the Laird of Glenmoriston, thinking of Isobel Macdonald and one other, "the husbands agreed to. But they happened luckily not to fall with child by the ravishing, nor to contract any bad disease."

Lockhart's next stop was at Strathglass, the land of the Chisholms and Frasers, where his soldiers raped a pregnant woman.

In July of that year, Captain Scott led an expedition to the Outer Hebrides in search of the fugitive Prince Charles. He was ferried from island to island by Captain John Fergusson of the H.M.S. Furnace. "The Laird of Raasay, John MacLeod, said that Scott's men raped a blind girl on Rona. . . . On the island of Raasay . . . they ravished two women whose names were Kristie Montgomery and Marion MacLeod."

Captain Fergusson had been cruising the Western Isles since March, raiding the islands for fresh beef and mutton, and, said one of the Macdonalds of Canna, "to make ane attack upon all the girls and young women in all the Isle, marryed or otherwise." The women of Canna took shelter in the caves.

In the cottage of Evan Mor MacIsaacs, where there had been two young girls, the sailors found only the mother. . . . Evan Mor was put under guard of drawn swords, and the sailors made ready to rape his wife, but she escaped from them into the darkness. They pursued her drunkenly, shouting and waving their cutlasses, and passing by her where she had hidden in a bog. The Macdonald of Canna who told this story to Robert Forbes said that Mrs. MacIsaacs was pregnant, and that she died of a miscarriage before morning.

The Furnace went next to the isle of Eigg, where the sailors went ashore and slaughtered cattle, pillaged houses and "ravished a girl or two."

At least one Highland woman got her revenge, Prebble records: "In Appin a young girl, whose cow had been shot by a soldier,
killed him with a stone when he attempted to rape her. The body was buried secretly at Airds . . ."

An act of rape in war that a husband or father is forced to watch is quite common. Sometimes it is simply a matter of proximity, as when Isobel Macdonald's husband skulked in the heather, but more often it is part of the plan, as in the house of Evan Mor MacIsaacs. Rape of a woman in war may be as much an act against her husband or father, for the rapist, as it is an act against the woman's body. The attitude of husbands after a rape is equally interesting. The ravished women of Inverwick did not sleep with their husbands for nine months after their assault. Although it appears from the Laird of Glenmoriston that this was a pact that the husbands agreed to, the more common experience is for the husbands to turn from their raped wives in revulsion-as witness the recent mass rejection of the raped women of Bangladesh. In war as in peace, the husbands of raped women place a major burden of blame for the awful event on their wives. The hallowed rights of property have been abused, and the property herself is held culpable.

A casual reader of history quickly learns that rape remains unmentionable, even in war. Serious historians have rarely bothered to document specific acts of rape in warfare, for reasons of their own scale of values and taste, as well as for lack of hard-andfast surviving proof. Thus the story of Culloden is exceptional for its wealth of detail. Systematic rape of Highland women by English forces during the occupation of Scotland fitted perfectly into a bold pattern of national subjugation. It also fitted logically into a retrospective analysis of the ultimate destruction of the proud and tightly knit hierarchical clans. Perhaps for these reasons the Highland lairds of Scotland understood, as few have, the military importance of rape, and kept their painful records.

Not until World War I was documentation of rape in warfare ever again preserved so faithfully.

## World War I

When the Germans invaded Belgium in August, 1914, rape was suddenly catapulted into prominence as the international metaphor of Belgian humiliation. This unprecedented attention
had little to do with an understanding of the rights of women. It had a lot to do with the evolution of a new form of battle-the scientific use of propaganda.

We are indebted for our most complete and factual knowledge of rape in World War I to the distinguished British historian Arnold Joseph Toynbee, who was a young Thucydides scholar at Oxford when the war broke out. Toynbee published two small volumes in 1917, one devoted to the early months of the war in Belgium and a second to the war in France. Both books were basically compendiums of German Army atrocities, gathered by Allied commissions of investigation and cross-checked against available German documents. As Toynbee and his contemporaries saw it, the German General Staff deliberately mounted a campaign of terror in the first three months of the war.*

From Liège to Louvain, as Toynbee wrote it, the German Army cut a swath of horror. Houses were burned, villages were plundered, civilians were bayonetted, and women were raped. "A number of women" were raped at Tremeloo. At Rotselaer "a girl who was raped by five Germans went out of her mind." In Capelle-au-Bois a woman told how "the German soldiers had held her down by force while other soldiers had violated her daughter successively in an adjoining room." At Corbeek-Loo "a girl of sixteen was violated by six soldiers and bayonetted in five places for offering resistance." An eyewitness who survived the siege of Louvain reported, "The women and children were separated. . . . Some German soldiers came up to me sniggering and said that all the women were going to be raped. . . . They explained themselves by gestures."

That was a sample of August in Belgium. The pattern held for September in France. Jouy-sur-Morin: "Two Germans came into a house carrying looted bottles of champagne, and violated a girl of eighteen-the mother was kept off with the bayonet by each sol-

[^5]dier in turn." Le Ferte-Gaucher: "The Germans broke into a house and violated a woman in the presence of her four-year-old child." Amillis: "They violated a woman, attacking her with bayonets drawn and revolver in hand." Beton-Bazoches: "They violated a woman . . . with her child three years old in the room." Sancy-lesProvins: "A woman whose husband was with the colours and who was alone in the house with four children was violated by a Germany cyclist quartered on her for the night." On the road from Fosse to Vitrival, an eyewitness recounted, "A soldier approached one of the women, intending to violate her, and she pushed him away. He at once struck the woman in the breast with his bayonet. I saw her fall."

Château-Thierry . . . Charmel . . . Gerbeviller . . . a tale of rape in each town. The terror continued in northern France through the month of October, broken briefly by the Battle of the Marne. It was the same story in Flanders and along the FrancoBelgian border. A British professor of constitutional law named J. H. Morgan examined the sworn statements of thirty women who were raped at Bailleul during eight days of occupation. Because he was a cautious lawyer he also demanded and received their medical certificates of injury. Professor Morgan later published his findings.

Outrages upon the honour of women by German soldiers have been so frequent that it is impossible to escape the conviction that they have been condoned and indeed encouraged by German officers. . . . At least five officers were guilty of such offences, and where the officers set the example the men followed. . . . In one case, the facts of which are proved by evidence that would satisfy any court of law, a young girl of nineteen was violated by one officer while the other held her mother by the throat and pointed a revolver, after which the two officers exchanged their respective roles. The officers and soldiers usually hunted in couples, either entering the houses under pretense of seeking billets or forcing the doors by open violence. Frequently the victims were beaten and kicked, and invariably threatened with a loaded revolver.

Nieppe . . . Laventie . . . Lorgies . . . Armentières . . . Estaires . . .

Toward the end of 1914 the strategy of warfare underwent a revolutionary change. Historians agree that war was "modernized": stationary trenches, barbed wire, machine guns, gas and gas
nasks replaced the concept of the maneuvering, marching army. From the best information available it appears that the incidence of rape and other weapons of terror employed by the German Army dramatically dropped off at approximately the same moment in time.

Interestingly, the young Arnold Toynbee was at great pains in 1917 to deny that the two events were related. He was convinced that the German Army abandoned rape and other terror tactics independent of their adoption of stationary trench warfare, and that the commission of atrocities on a grand scale during the first three months of the war was deliberate in its limits.

This has not been due to the immobility of the fronts [he argued], for although it is certainly true that the Germans have been unable to overrun fresh territories on the west, they have carried out greater invasions than ever in Russia and the Balkans, which have not been marked by outrages of the same specific kind. This seems to show that the systematic warfare against the civilian population in the campaigns of 1914 was the result of policy, deliberately tried and deliberately given up. This hypothesis would account for the peculiar features in the German Army's conduct

The imposition of a Machiavellian scheme on German Army ape is tantalizing, but I fear when Toynbee wrote those sentences he was serving the cause of propaganda more than the cause of history. It is logical to believe that rape may have been a deliberate tactic of the German Army during the first few months of the war, or if not deliberate, certainly not discouraged, but it seems more rational to conclude that the opportunity to rape was effectively cut down by the new system of stationary trench warfare, the frequency curtailed by military stalemate, and the horror of it superseded by the staggering loss of life as the war went on.

After the first three months of the war, the Allied countries no longer bothered to tally rape reports or tried to verify the rumors. There was no need. The war had given birth to a new and highly effective tool of battle: the scientific use of international propaganda. The German Army may have temporarily seized the military initiative, but in the vivid war of propaganda it was the Allied nations that swarmed the field and moved decisively. In the hands of skilled Allied manipulators, rape was successfully launched in
world opinion, almost overnight, as a characteristic German crime, evidence of the "depraved Boche" penchant for warfare by atrocity.

Never before in history had rape in war-the privilege of territorial conquest-boomeranged quite so spectacularly. Neutral America was the chief target of the propaganda technicians from both sides of the fence, but the unimaginative Germans never stood a chance. "The Rape of the Hun" became an instant byword in this country. It came to symbolize the criminal violation of innocent Belgium. It dramatized the plight of La Belle France. It charged up national patriotism and spurred the drive for Liberty Loans by adding needed authenticity to the manufactured persona of an unprincipled barbarian with pointed helmet and syphi litic lust who gleefully destroyed cathedrals, set fire to libraries, and hacked and maimed and spitted babies on the tip of his bayonet. As propaganda, rape was remarkably effective, more effective than the original German terror. It helped to lay the emotional groundwork that led us into the war.

In his 1927 study, Propaganda Technique in the World War, the pioneer work in propaganda analysis, Harold D. Lasswell wrote, "A handy rule for arousing hate is, if at first they do not enrage, use an atrocity." As for the propaganda value of rape, Lasswell speculated, "These stories yield a crop of indignation against the fiendish perpetrators . . . and satisfy certain powerful, hidden impulses. A young woman, ravished by the enemy, yields a secret satisfaction to a host of vicarious ravishers on the other side of the border."

Lasswell's Freudian analysis is a revealing glimpse of the male mentality. (It could hardly apply to the reactions of women.) It is even more revealing when we realize that he wrote those lines to leave the reader with doubt as to whether or not women actually were raped in any great number in Belgium and France. His next and final words on the subject were "Hence, perhaps, the popularity and ubiquity of such stories." But Lasswell's theory certainly does apply to the lustful, rape-mongering prose that was cheerily ground out by Allied propaganda mills once they moved into full swing.

German Atrocities: Their Nature and Philosophy by one Newell Dwight Hillis, a volume that was simultaneously published in Great Britain, the United States and Canada in 1918, is a vintage example. The author, a popular Brooklyn clergyman in his day, lovingly built his own amazing construct as to why German
soldiers committed rape. None of it had anything to do with hostility toward women, naturally.

Should the average American return home at night to find that his wife and children had been massacred and mutilated in his absence, he would not go to the office on the following morning . . . and weeks would pass before he could steel his hand to the accustomed task. Now the German war staff fully realized the true value of the atrocity as a military instrument. Their soldiers ran no risk in raping young girls, but they hoped that when the news of their crimes reached the armed opponent, the atrocity committed upon his wife or child would break his nerve and leave him helpless to fight.

The Reverend Hillis reported the desperate cry of a French soldier:
"The Germans have been in my land for a year. . . . My little house is gone, and gone my little shop! My wife is still a young woman! My little girl-she is just a little, little girl! Why, I never thought of her as a woman! And now our priest writes me that my young wife and my little girl will have babes in two months by these brutes!"

After which the author intoned,

Such devastations of the soul are why there must be no inconclusive peace. Unconditional surrender is the only word.

## Hillis was adept at painting a graphic picture:

When the Germans ruined a village near Ham, they carried away some fifty-four girls and women between the ages of fourteen and forty. These girls were held behind the lines among the camp women, kept for the Huns. One chilly morning last April a French boy, lying on a board on the bottom of his trench, heard the wild shrieks of a girl. Standing on tiptoe he peeped over the top. . . . One week of cruelty had driven the girl insane. The German soldiers had lifted her out of the trench, and with their bayonets had pushed her in the direction of the French lines. . . . What the French soldiers saw was a young woman, clothed in a dark blue skirt, her waist torn, her bosom exposed, her hair loose upon her shoulders. She was standing bewildered in No Man's Land. Now she
poured forth the pealing laughter of a maniac. . . . So terrible was the scene that for the moment the Frenchman and German alike forgot all warfare! Finally a German lifted his rifle to the shoulder, and as the girl, rising to her feet . . . screamed, "The Boche! the Boche!" his rifle cracked, and the young woman sank slowly down.

And then the clincher:
That is why the fire sparkles in the eyes of the Allied soldiers when ever you suggest peace by negotiation, or a peace without victory.

But the masterpiece of German Atrocities: Their Nature and Phi losophy was this convoluted paragraph:

## THE FOUL CRIME AGAINST WOMEN

Many Americans have looked with horror upon the photograph of the mutilated bodies of women. Sacred forever the bosom of his mother, and not less sacred the body of every woman. Not content with mutilating the bodies of Allied officers, of Belgian boys, they lifted the knife upon the loveliness of woman. . . . When the Hun joins the army . . . a few drops of blood are taken from the left arm, and the Wassermann blood culture is developed. If free from disease, the soldier receives a card giving him access to camp women, who are kept in the rear for the convenience of the German soldier. If, however, the Wassermann test shows that the German has syphilis . . . he must stay away from the camp women upon peril of his life . . . he will be shot like a dog. Having syphilis himself, the German will hand it on to the camp girl, and she in turn will contaminate all the other soldiers, and that means the Kaiser would soon have no army. Therefore, the soldier that has this foul disease
has but one chance, namely to capture a Belgian or French girl; but using her means contaminating her, and she in turn will contaminate the next German using her. To save his own life, therefore, when the syphilitic German has used a French or Belgian girl, he cuts off her breast as warning to the next German soldier. The girl's life weighs less than nothing against lust or the possibility of losing his life by being charged with the contamination of his brother German

After reading this kind of emotional propaganda it might be said quite seriously that the raped women of France and Belgium, by the way in which their violation was cleverly turned to Allied advantage, played a real role in the ultimate defense of their coun
tries. But if a reader believes that this unusual contribution far beyond the line of duty has been duly recognized in history, please be disabused of that notion. There was one further way to play with rape in World War I, and of course that happened, too. The final step was to deny that rape occurred at all.

When the war was over, a wholly predictable reaction set in. Scholars of the newly refined art of propaganda set about to unravel its mysteries by trying to separate fact from fiction. It was inevitable that a deep bias against women (particularly against women who say they have been raped) would show in their endeavor. There had been some gross lies in the manufacturing of Allied propaganda and these were readily brought to light by the experts. Among the most famous of the fabricated wartime stories was the tale of German soldiers who spitefully cut the hands off Belgian children to render them unfit for fighting when they grew up. A few widely circulated atrocity photographs were shown to have been lifted from other theaters of war. Some German Army excesses were indisputable, even if they had been blown out of proportion for propaganda purposes. Yes, the cathedral at Rheims had been burned, and yes, the library at Louvain had truly been vandalized. But what about the rape of all those women? The crime that is by reputation "the easiest to charge and the hardest to prove" has traditionally been the easiest to disprove as well. The rational experts found it laughably easy to debunk accounts of rape, and laughably was the way they did it.

One lengthy study, Atrocity Propaganda, 1914-1919, pub lished by the Yale University Press in 1941, expended no more than a few skimpy sentences to construct a witty dismissal of rape They are worth examining as a perfect example of between-us-men logic in a serious work that ironically purports to explore the nature of propaganda. The author, James M. Read, reported that in French accounts of the German terror the phrase des viols et des vols (translation: rapes and thefts) cropped up with frequency. (A police detective in any American city would hardly find such a combination startling since rape and theft are often committed together if an opportunity arises.) Read jumped on des viols et des vols as something suspicious if not downright sinister-a "euphonious concatenation"-and left the reader to speculate that if any crime had been committed, it was probably the French sin of alliterative exaggeration!

Having thus delivered a mortal blow to rape, Read finished it off in another half paragraph. Addressing himself to the validity of 427 depositions of atrocity taken in northern France during October and November of the first year of the war, after the German forces had retreated, he wrote, "Three hundred were sworn statements; approximately 100 were not attested, while the remainder lacked even names. The latter cases were those of women who had been attacked. One or two of these were almost ludicrous in the paucity of facts, such as the declaration of 'Dame X, 38 years old, at Compiègne.' " Professor Read then availed himself of the opportunity to reprint Dame X's testimony, which even he admitted was atypical: "I swear to tell the truth, and I consent to make a declaration to you of the facts of which I have been a victim, but I would be crushed if my deposition were made public . . ." To hammer home the point, Read wrote, "After this somewhat noncommittal statement followed four rows of dots."

The reluctance of Dame X to have the story of her humiliation set in type and publicized is far from an unusual attitude, even for our present day. After all, she had to live in Compiègne for the rest of her life. The dots do not refute her credibility; they merely deny authentic details to history. Other depositions, as we can see from Toynbee and Morgan, were obviously more specific. But the declaration of Dame X of Compiègne represents the sum total of factual information on rape that Read presented in his otherwise heavily annotated study of fact and propaganda in World War I. To the professor the case of Dame $\mathbf{X}$ was enough ammunition to make his point that rape reports could hardly be taken seriously by a scholar of his most estimable stature.

## World War II

As interpreted by the loyal philosopher-servants of the Third Reich, fascism's very nature was an exaggeration of the values that normal society held to be masculine. Goebbels himself had said as much, and before him Nietzsche, that fount of inspiration, had instructed, "Man should be trained for war and woman for the recreation of the warrior." Therefore, it was not surprising that the ideology of rape burst into perfect flower as Hitler's armies goose-
stepped over the face of Europe in the early days of World War II.

Hitler always said that the masses are essentially feminine, and his aggressiveness and charisma elicited an almost masochistic surrender and submission in his audience-a form of psychic rape. . . . He didn't convince his audiences; he conquered them.

We owe this vivid image of a Hitler speech to Albert Speer, but the metaphor is clearly Hitler's. The Nazi aim was to conquer, not merely to win or convince, and that, of course, was the heart of the matter. A mind that perceived-and then set about to institu-tionalize-the masses as weak and feminine, and the Jewish masses as especially weak and feminine, and the Bolshevik masses as equally weak and feminine (and strangely susceptible to the feminist notion that women are the equals of men), was a mind that naturally turned to rape as a means of suppression.

The analogy between Jews and women-and between Aryan supremacy and male supremacy-that the Third Reich promulgated has been discussed by Eva Figes and Kate Millett. The point I want to make here is that as rape is the quintessential act by which a male demonstrates to a female that she is conquered-vanquished-by his superior strength and power, it was perfectly logical within the framework of fascism that rape would be employed by the German soldier as he strove to prove himself a worthy Superman. In fact, it would have been highly illogical if rape were not in the German soldier's kit bag of weapons. Rape for the Germans, and to a similar extent for the Japanese, played a serious and logical role in the achievement of what they saw as their ultimate objective: the total humiliation and destruction of "inferior peoples" and the establishment of their own master race.

Reports of mob rape directed against Jewish women made their first appearance during the secretly ordered "spontaneous" riots of November, 1938, the Kristallnacht that began in Munich and spread throughout Germany in planned response to the assassination, by a Jew, of a minor German Embassy official in Paris. The Kristallnacht became the model for a pattern that was to be repeated in many towns in many places once the official war began.

When the advancing German Army approached a Polish or a

Russian village, the pattern of first-phase violence was almost invariable. Homes were looted, but first and especially the Jewish homes, and Jewish girls were singled out for torture and rape, often in front of their parents. Days, weeks or months later, depending on the timetable, the second, or "serious," phase began: the roundups of the Jewish population, the mass shootings, the herding into ghettos, and the eventual dispatch in boxcars to the concentration camps and the Final Solution. A Russian-Jewish woman named Sophia Glushkina gave this eyewitness account of the pattern of German terror in the city of Krasny, preserved for us in the unemotional form of a war-crimes trial deposition.

Before the war I lived in Minsk. On June 24, 1941, I saw my husband off to the front. I walked out of the town with my eight-year-old child, heading east. I decided to go to my birthplace-the city of Krasny-to get to my father and brothers. In Krasny the Germans caught up with me, on July 13 th.

On July 25th a notice was posted ordering a meeting of all residents of the town. At the meeting the Germans said that anybody may move into the houses of Jews. The Germans also announced that the Jews must obey all orders of German soldiers.

They began to raid apartments, to undress people, to beat them with sticks and whips.

On August 8th, SS men invaded my house. They grabbed my brother, Boris Semenovich Glushkin. He was 38 years old. They began to beat him; then they threw him out onto the street, tormented him and finally threw him into a cellar. The next morning they posted notices: "All inhabitants are invited to the public execution of a sheeny." My brother was brought out, he had an inscription on his chest saying that he was to be executed. He was undressed, tied to the tail of a horse and dragged off. When the moment of execution came, he was already half dead.

The next night at 2 o'clock they knocked on the door again. The commandant entered and demanded the wife of the executed Jew. She wept, being shaken by the dreadful death of her husband; the three children wept. She was taken away. We thought that she would be killed, but the Germans acted more beastly than that; they raped her right here in the yard.

On August 27th a special detachment arrived. The Jews were driven together and were told to bring all of their belongings immediately and turn them over to the Germans. Then they moved to the ghetto. The Germans fenced a plot of land in with barbed wire
and hung up a sign-"Ghetto, Entrance forbidden." All Jews, even children, had to wear six-pointed stars made of yellow cloth on their chests and on their backs.

In February one night the SS men dashed into the barracks. Their choice was an eighteen-year-old girl, Etta Kuznetsova. She was ordered to take her skirt off. She refused. She was beaten for some time. Her mother, fearing that they would kill her, whispered: "Do not resist." She undressed. Then she was placed on a chair, spot-lighted with a flashlight, tortured and assaulted. It is difficult to describe it all

After witnessing this second rape, Sophia Glushkina escaped to the forest with her young son and joined the partisans. Within hours of her flight, the remaining Jews of Krasny were herded into the town square and shot. She concludes her terse narrative, "For two years we fought, and then the day came when I saw the Red Army . . ."

Technically it was verboten for a German to rape a Jew under the stern prohibition against "race defilement"-the injunction against contaminating Aryan "blood" contained in the Nuremberg race laws of 1935 that extended under its own twisted logic to forcible intercourse as well as to marriage or extramarital liaison.* The fear of being caught at race defilement apparently created an arena of acute conflict for some of the Soldaten. In her published memoirs Sala Pawlowicz, a survivor of Bergen-Belsen, tells of a terrifying visit to the police station in Lask, her German-occupied Polish village. She was called in on a minor pretext, forced to strip before the assembled Gestapo men, slapped about and then dragged by one of them into an adjoining room.

I was in a small office and the German had a long heavy whip in his hand. "You don't know how to obey . . . I'll show you. But I can't have you, scum, because you're Jewish, and filthy. What a shame!" He swung the whip across my breasts. "Here's what you can have for being a dirty Jew-instead of me-this!" He lashed the whip again and again and I fainted.
*Race defilement was similar to the prohibition against "the mixture of the races" that was part of the legal code in the American South before and after slavery, and which hardly affected the rape of black women by white men. See subchapter on rape in slavery.

When Sala Pawlowicz regained consciousness she found herself lying naked and bloody in the street. According to her memoirs, the Nazis made nightly swoops through the ghetto in search of young Jewish girls, and despite her mother's attempts to hide her, she did not escape this attention. It was, she felt, part of a general sado-sexual humiliation that the Germans inflicted on the Jewish population. During daylight, the Germans would stage mass disrobings of men, women and children "for diversion": "They forced us to undress and lie on the ground as they walked by, laughing and making lewd comments. Then we were beaten with whips on our bare backs and chased through the ghetto. Their actions shamed me."

Sexual humiliation had a part to play as the Germans tightened their grip on the Warsaw ghetto. A series of depositions collected by members of the Warsaw Judenrat, the Nazi-established Jewish governing council, dwells on the German Army's attempt in late 1939 and early 1940 to cajole the Judenrat into setting up a brothel stocked with Jewish girls that would be divided into two sections, one for officers and one for ordinary soldiers. The Jewish brothel in the Warsaw ghetto was apparently never established. According to the Judenrat, this was where it drew the line; the German soldiers had to make do with mass forays into the ghetto in search of young women.

Surgeon-gynecologists of the ghetto methodically administered antitetanus shots to victims of German rape-" of course keeping secret the names," reported one Jewish doctor of Warsaw. His deposition goes on to say, "In one mirror shop in Swietokerska Street there was a mass raping of Jewish girls. The Germans seized the most beautiful and most healthy girls in the streets and brought them in to pack mirrors. After the work the girls were raped." Another affidavit tells of a similar incident on Franciszkanska Street, where " 40 Jewish girls were dragged into the house which was occupied by German officers. There, after being forced to drink, the girls were ordered to undress and to dance for the amusement of their tormentors. Beaten, abused and raped, the girls were not released till 3 A.m."

These depositions appear in The Black Book of Polish Jewry, a mid-war volume that was published in New York in 1943, before the final liquidation of the ghetto. Curiously, no full-length account of the Warsaw ghetto that I have ever examined, either fact
or fiction, details any incident of rape. It is possible that this failure has been a mere historic oversight, or that the awful destruction of the ghetto and the last bit of heroic resistance on the part of the Jews has overshadowed early stories of the rape of women, but I am inclined to a more cynical interpretation. The Black Book of Polish Jewry was published as a propaganda volume, a cry for help. After the war was over perhaps it was no longer politically necessary to believe the word of women, or to consider the special fate of females a matter of importance or significance.

Captured German documents presented at the Nuremberg warcrimes tribunal in 1946 corroborate the routine use of rape as a weapon of terror. The reason for the existence of these documents is fascinating. Sometimes German authorities in an occupied city made a straight-faced stab at collecting reports of informal atrocities under the pretext of a concern for legality. The official German position was that "uncontrollable elements" were responsible for the ugly excesses, an excellent excuse for the formal repressive measures that followed, which required cooperation from the ghetto leadership. Sometimes the gathering of information was inspired by more complex motivation, such as the desire of a German Army commander to build up a case against his SS competitor. In February, 1940, a German Army commander in Poland compiled a long list of complaints against the SS. Last on the list, Item 33, discussed the case of two policemen who had dragged two teen-age Jewish girls out of bed. One of the girls was raped in a Polish cemetery; the other, who got violently ill, was told they would "get her next time and pay her five zlotys." The Army commander who wrote up the report was outraged over the amateurish way in which the SS was attempting to deal with the problem of two million Jews.

What remained of Orthodox Jewry during the Final Solution, the remnants of the religious leadership, tried to stretch old doctrines to accommodate the new reality. Rape had to be dealt with because it raised unsettling philosophic and practical questions that required rabbinical interpretation.

A rabbi from the Kovno ghetto in Lithuania sought to formulate answers to many difficult situations as they arose for his dwindling flock. Jewish slave laborers were fed a bowl of soup that wasn't kosher. Were they permitted to eat the soup? Yes, they were. Could one purchase a certificate of baptism to save one's life?

No. Could circumcision be performed by a nonpracticing doctor since there were no pious circumcisers left alive in Kovno? Yes. Since the Germans published an edict ordering death for any Jewish woman who became pregnant, were abortion and contraception permitted? Yes. Suicide? No.

And, wrote the rabbi,
Immediately after we were liberated from the ghetto I was asked an important question which touched not only on the person who came before me but many other Jewish women as well who had survived humiliation and physical abuse by German officers. The specific question was this: A young woman of good family came to me crying that she was one of our poor sisters who had been humiliated by the Germans. Besides abusing her body, the Germans had tattooed on her arm the legend, Whore for Hitler's Troops. After liberation she had succeeded in finding her husband, and they hoped to resume their marriage and set up a proper Jewish home. They had lost all their children at the hands of the Germans. But, seeing the fearful tattoo on her arm, the husband was taken aback . . . Before living together as man and wife they had first to clarify whether she was permitted to him.

## The rabbi responded,

Far be it from any man to cast aspersions on pious Jewish women in such a plight as this. Rather, it is our duty to proclaim the reward they will receive for their suffering. We must avoid causing them any unnecessary anguish. Certainly husbands who have divorced their wives under similar circumstances have acted reprehensibly. Nor, in my opinion, need husband and wife in this case make any effort to remove the cursed legend on the wife's arm. Rather, let it be preserved and exhibited-not as a sign of disgrace and humiliation, but as the symbol of honor and courage, in behalf of those who were slaughtered. Let it be a reminder to us and to the world that God has taken and will take His revenge on His people's oppressors.

Jewish women alone did not suffer rape as the German Army advanced into Russia. All women were prey. From evidence presented to the Nuremberg tribunal the pattern becomes clear.

The people who came to Nuremberg armed with the bitterest memories and the best-kept records were the Soviet prosecutors,
for the Soviets, who sustained the worst numerical losses of any of the Allies, had been collecting their data and gathering their depositions throughout the long war. A distillation of atrocities committed by the Nazi invader during the dark days of 1941 was submitted as U.S.S.R. Exhibit No. 51. Prepared by the Russian foreign minister, V. M. Molotov, in January, 1942, as an interim war report directed to the Allied governments, it was entered into evidence at Nuremberg as "The Molotov Note." "Women and girls are vilely outraged in all the occupied areas," the diplomat had written.

- In the Ukrainian village of Borodayevka, in the Dnicpropetrovsk region, the fascists violated every one of the women and girls.
- In the village of Berezovka, in the region of Smolensk, drunken German soldiers assaulted and carried off all the women and girls between the ages of 16 and 30 .
- In the city of Smolensk the German command opencd a brothel for officers in one of the hotels into which hundreds of women and girls were driven; they were mercilessly dragged down the street by their arms and hair.
- Everywhere the lust-maddened German gangsters break into the houses, they rape the women and girls under the very eyes of their kinfolk and children, jeer at the women they have violated, and then brutally murder their victims.
- In the city of Lvov, 32 women working in a garment factory were first violated and then murdered by German storm troopers. Drunken German soldiers dragged the girls and young women of Lvov into Kesciuszko Park, where they savagely raped them . .
- Near the town of Borissov in Bielorussia, 75 women and girls attempting to flee at the approach of the German troops fell into their hands. The Germans first raped and then savagely murdered 36 of their number. By order of a German officer named Hummer, the soldiers marched L. I. Melchukova, a 16 -year-old girl, into the forest, where they raped her. A little later some other women who had also been dragged into the forest saw some boards ncar the trees and the dying Melchukova nailed to the boards. The Germans had cut off her breasts in the presence of these women, among whom were V. I. Alperenko and V.H. Bereznikova.
- On retreating from the village of Borovka, in the Zvenigorod district of the Moscow region, the fascists forcibly abducted several women, tearing them away from their little children in spite of their protests and prayers.


#### Abstract

- In the town of Tikhvin, in the Leningrad region, a 15 -year-old girl named H. Koledetskaya who had been wounded by shell splinters was taken to a hospital (a former monastery) where there were wounded German soldiers. Despite her injuries the girl was raped by a group of German soldiers and died as a result of the assault.


All of this occurred in the first flush of the German invasion.
Yet another aspect of wartime rape-rape as a method of military retaliation or reprisal-was briefly illuminated at the Nuremberg tribunal when it came the turn of the French prose cution.

Accounts of punitive measures taken by the Germans in occupied France during the summer of 1944 in response to the active presence of the Maquis (resistance fighters) were marked as evidence and read into the trial record. One Maquis stronghold was the region of Vecours. On June 15, 1944, the Germans staged a "surprise" raid on the village of St. Donat: "The Maquis had evacuated the town several days earlier . . . 54 women or young girls from 13 to 50 years of age were raped by the maddened soldiers." A raid at Nice on July 20, 1944, had a similar conclusion:
> having been attacked at Presles by several groups of Maquis in the region, by way of reprisal this Mongolian detachment, as usual commanded by the SS, went to a farm where two French members of the resistance had been hidden. Being unable to take them pris oners, these soldiers then arrested the proprietors of that farm (the husband and wife), and after subjecting them to numerous atroc ities, rape, et cetera, they shot them with machine guns.

As the French prosecutor sifted through his documents, the standard censoring mechanism that men employ when dealing with the rape of women was put into effect. "The Tribunal will forgive me if I avoid citing the atrocious details," he said with gallantry. "A medical certificate from Doctor Nicolaides who examined the women who were raped in this region-I will pass on."

The Far East equivalent of the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal was held in Tokyo in 1946. Now it was the Japanese war machine that was held under scrutiny, and now it was the master-race theory of the Land of the Rising Sun-with the Chinese nation forced to play the role of "inferior people"-that
the ultimate victors of World War II had cause to examine and pass judgment upon. At the Tokyo tribunal the full story of the Rape of Nanking, almost ten years after the fact, was finally made known.

Hard news out of Nanking was slow in coming when the Japanese Army took China's capital city in December, 1937. General Chiang Kai-shek had pulled out his Nationalist forces prior to the invasion, moving his capital westward to Hankow. Any Chinese civilian with the wherewithal had also fled, leaving the defenseless city to the poorer classes and a handful of foreign missionaries, including some Americans, who elected to stay. What happened next when the Japanese conqueror entered Nanking can only be described as an orgy of wholesale assault against the remaining civilian population.

Reports of unchecked violence, including terrifying accounts of mass rape, filtered out of the captured city despite an official news blanket ordered by Generalissimo Chiang. But when the silence was finally broken in January, a curious thing happened. Nanking had clearly been the victim of unlawful atrocity. As the Western press jumped into the breach, accounts of wanton murder and looting were gravely brought to the world's attention, but stories of rape were handled gingerly-almost reluctantly-by international reporters. "A few uninvestigated cases of rape were reported" was the way Life magazine cautiously chose to inform its readers.

Despite the cynicism brought to bear by the Western press, stories of systematic mass rape in Nanking were unusually persistent, so much so that the "Rape of Nanking" soon passed into common usage as the world-wide metaphor for that city's invasion. In June of 1938 the Nanking International Relief Committee, the missionary group that remained in the city, completed a survey of damage in the Nanking area. Its sixty-page report was a model of the detailed minutiae of devastation. Injury and death to the Chinese population was reported on a percentile basis, broken down by age, sex, previous employment and mode of death. Property loss from fire and looting by Japanese soldiers was estimated in neat, round sums from street to street. Loss of labor animals and damage to winter crops found permanent validation in separate columns marked water buffalo, oxen, donkeys, wheat, barley, broad beans, field peas, and, irony of ironies, rapeseed. As for the act that gave
its name to the Rape of Nanking, the compilers of the official report had only this-to say: "Among the injured females, 65 per cent were between the ages of 15 and 29 , although the terms and method of inquiry excluded rape per se."

Rape in Nanking might have passed out of history then and there, relegated in typical fashion to the dubious area of unsup ported wartime rumor. But as it turned out, the Allied Powers elected to hold an International Military Tribunal for the Far East once the global war was finished. In order to prove the awesome crimes against humanity, facts that a few years before had been inaccessible-"excluded" from the ever-so-proper "terms and method of inquiry"-suddenly loomed important. One of the de fendants in the docket at Tokyo was General Iwane Matsui, the man in charge of the Nanking invasion.

No raped women were called to testify at the Tokyo tribunal, but there were witnesses enough. The star witnesses, by and large, were the very same missionaries who had chosen to exclude rape from their official report of war damage. As it turned out, they had not been unmindful of the crime. Rather, the enormity of it apparently had paralyzed them. A page from the Nanking diary of American missionary James McCallum was entered into evidence:

Never have I heard or read of such brutality. Rape! Rape! Rape! We estimate at least 1,000 cases a night, and many by day. In case of resistance . . . there is a bayonet stab or a bullet. We could write up hundreds of cases a day.

Mrs. Shui Fang Tsen, director of dormitories at Ginling College, a missionary institution, submitted a lengthy deposition. At the start of the invasion the missionaries proclaimed Ginling an international safety zone, and the college grounds became a refuge for more than ten thousand frightened women and children. The "safety zone" hardly proved safe for women. According to Mrs. Shui, "Japanese soldiers would enter the grounds on the pretext of looking for soldiers, but were in fact looking for our girls." On the night of Decemver 17, 1937, a gang of soldiers forcibly entered the college and carried off eleven young women. Nine later made their way back to the grounds, "horribly raped and abused." "We never heard any more of the other two girls," Mrs. Shui reported. This was a typical incident.

Witness after witness told similar stories-girls dragged off by gangs of four or five men in uniform; abducted women forced to wash clothes for the Army units by day and to "service" as many as fifteen to forty men at night; women forced to perform sex shows for troops at play; fathers forced at gunpoint to rape their own daughters. Many of the stories had similar endings. When a group of soldiers was finished with a captured woman, a stick was sometimes pushed up into her vagina; in some cases the woman's head was severed. A statement from Mrs. Chang Kia Sze was read into the record:

The first day the Japanese entered Nanking they fired and burnt our home and we were proceeding to the refugee camp. There were the following in the party, my mother, my brother and his wife, two children of mine, and my brother's two children, aged 5 and 2 years of age.

As we were proceeding and came to a place called Lao Wong Fou in Nanking City, we were met by twelve Japanese soldiers, including some officers who wore swords. One of the soldiers wearing a sword, whom I thought was an officer, grasped my sister-in-law and raped and then killed her in the presence of her husband and children, who were killed at the same time. The husband was killed for trying to defend his wife and the two children were killed because they wept when their mother was being raped. The five-year-old girl was suffocated by having her clothing stuffed in her mouth and the boy was bayoneted. Their father and mother were both bayoneted and thereby killed. My mother was also bayoneted and died twelve days later. I fell to the ground and escaped later with my two children.

This all happened about 10 o'clock in the morning and in broad daylight on the streets of Nanking. I was an eyewitness to all of this. I went to the refugee camp and on the way saw many corpses, women and civilian men. The women had their apparel pulled up and looked like they had been raped. I saw about twenty, mostly women.

Wong Pan Sze, who was fifteen years old at the time of the Nanking invasion, submitted the following affidavit:

At the time the Japanese entered the city on December 13, 1937, I and my father and my sister had already been removed to live in a house on Shanghai Road No. 100 which was in the refugee
zone. There were about 500 persons living in that house, and I often saw the Japs come to the house asking and searching for women. On one occasion one woman was raped in the open yard. This happened in the night, and all of us could hear her cry while she was being raped. But when the Japs left we could not find her, they had taken her away with them. Twice I saw the Japs' truck come to the house and round up women living at the house. These women were taken away by the Japs and none of them returned with the exception of one girl who managed to get back home after having been raped by the Japs, and she told me that all the girls who had been taken in the trucks had been raped many times by the Japs, one after the other. This one girl who managed to get back to the house told me that she had seen one of the girls raped, and after being raped the Japs stuck weeds into her vagina, and the girl died from this treatment. At this time I was about 15 years of age. I hid every time a Jap came near the house and this is why the Japs never caught me . . .

Nanking was a totally defenseless city. The twenty or so foreign missionaries were totally unable to stem the tide. Reading through the pages of their testimony, one can't help thinking how woefully inadequate and ludicrous their efforts were as they literally ran about and tried to shoo away the Japanese soldiers they found assaulting Chinese women within their self-declared neutrality zone. For a time they made a stab at reporting a daily list of atrocities to the Japanese authorities. The reports averaged at least ten gang rapes a day-"sample cases" they called them. Chinese names were rarely recorded. The report filed on December 16 , 1937, duly entered into evidence, is pathetic, both in its sense of outrage and priority. Items No, 12 and 13 were as follows:
12. At 10 P.M. on the night of December 14, a Chinese home on Chien Ying Hsiang was entered by 11 Japanese soldiers who raped four Chinese women.
13. On December 14, Japanese soldiers entered the home of Miss Grace Bauer, an American missionary, and took a pair of furlined gloves, drank up all the milk on the table, and scooped up sugar with their hands.

The pathetic evidence submitted to the military tribunal was conclusive enough. The judgment at Tokyo found that "approxi-
mately 20,000 cases of rape occurred within the city during the first month of occupation." In its summation the tribunal stated:

Death was a frequent penalty for the slightest resistance on the part of a victim or the members of her family who sought to protect her. Even girls of tender years and old women were raped in large numbers throughout the city, and many cases of abnormal or sadistic behavior in connection with the rapings occurred. Many women were killed after the act and their bodies mutilated. . . . The barbarous behavior of the Japanese army cannot be excused as the acts of a soldiery which had temporarily gotten out of hand when at last a stubbomly defended position had capitulated-rape, arson and murder continued to be committed on a large scale for at least six weeks after the city had been taken.

One month before the Nanking invasion General Matsui had crowed that his mission was "to chastise the Nanking Government and the outrageous Chinese." He wanted, he proclaimed, "to dazzle China with Japan's military glory." Ten years later it was the considered opinion of the Tokyo tribunal that the sack of the city had been "either secretly ordered or willfully committed." For his part in the Rape of Nanking General Matsui was sentenced to be hanged.

Matsui's defense had been to deny all charges of illegal atrocities, particularly the accounts of rape, which he called mere "rumors, Chinese passing on the information, perhaps in fun." On cross-examination he repeated in exasperation, "I am only trying to tell you that I am not directly responsible for the discipline and morals of the troops under the respective armies under my command." His intelligence officer, Major Yasuto Nakayama, was a trifle more humble, correctly polite. Concerning cases of rape and assaults against women and girls, Nakayama testified, "I believe there were several cases of this to a limited extent, and I regret that such cases occurred. It is very improper for me to state an opinion before this Tribunal-however, I hope that such incidents will not in the future occur."

Had it not been for the Tokyo war-crimes tribunal, who would

* Given the size of the city and the concentration of assault, the Rape of Nanking was on a par with an event that occurred thirty-four years later, the Rape of Bangladesh.
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have believed the full dimensions of the Rape of Nanking? The Japanese, like all warring governments, were mindful that rape in war was an unconscionable crime under the Hague Convention, and they did their best to cover their unfortunate traces. The attempted cover-up was duly entered into evidence at the postwar trials.

In February, 1939, the Japanese War Ministry issued a set of top-secret instructions to commanders in the field regarding the explicit stifling of certain kinds of conversation heard among men returning home on furlough. This was after the occupation of Nanking and Hankow, and the soldiers of the Rising Sun had been rather loose-tongued about where they had been and what they had done. The orders gave examples of the sort of remarks to be avoided in the future, citing quotes that had appeared in foreign newspaper stories:
-"One company commander unofficially gave [us] instructions for raping as follows: 'In order that we will not have problems, either pay them money or kill them in some obscure place after you have finished.'"
-"If the army men who participated in the war were investigated individually, they would probably all be guilty of murder, robbery or rape."

- "At we captured a family of four. We played with the daughter as we would with a harlot. But as the parents insisted that the daughter be returned to them we killed them. We played with the daughter as before until the unit's departure and then killed her."
-"In the half year of battle about the only things I learned are rape and burglary."

America had not yet entered the war, and the secret orders were an effort to avoid unfavorable criticism at home and abroad.

Agnes Newton Keith, an American who spent World War II in a Japanese prisoner-of-war camp on Borneo and later wrote Three Came Home, a spunky narrative of her adventures, was the victim of an attempted rape in her detention camp, and of retaliatory violence when she tried to report it. Mrs. Keith was of the opinion that her Japanese guards were under orders not to molest their Western detainees. Yet early one morning as she sat on the
steps of her barracks, a prison guard approached and threw her a pack of cigarettes.

> The guard hesitated, giggled, and .... then bent quickly over me, ran his two hands roughly down my breasts, over my thighs, and forced them violently up between my legs. The gesture so astounded me that I was paralyzed. I could think of nothing but, Well, it's fortunate I have on slacks.
> What followed then was unpleasant, a kind of unpleasantness that a woman resents more than any other, and which hurts her as much psychologically as physically. The soldier was strong and rough and crude and nasty, and he enjoyed humiliating me; his ideas of pleasure were new ones to me; had they been familiar I still could not have liked them.

In the ensuing scuffle Mrs. Keith managed to kick her assailant in the stomach. "He stumbled backwards down the stairs, and there hesitated as to what to do: whether to kiss, or to kill, or to pull up his pants and go." By this time several other women in the barracks had rallied to her cries and the thwarted guard "picked up his fallen rifle and went sourly down the path."

The indomitable Agnes Keith reported this attempted rape to the prison-camp authorities, who rewarded her with several fractured ribs and a dislocated shoulder for her "Fies."

Concentration-camp rape and institutionalized camp brothels in which women were held against their will for the pleasure of the soldiery were a most sinister aspect of the abuse of women in World War II, since acceptance of continuous rape without protest was held out as a possible chance for survival. According to a document in the Vatican archives, as early as March, 1942, the papal envoy in Bratislava, Archbishop Giuseppe Burzio, informed Pope Pius XII that the Nazis were taking young Jewish women from their families to make them prostitutes for German soldiers on the eastern front and were preparing for the total deportation of all other Jews.

House of Dolls, the nightmare novel by Ka-Tzetnik, describes a day's routine in a nameless forcible brothel in which Jewish females under threat of death prepared their cots for the precise arrival at 2 P.M. of the German soldiers. The daily routine was bitterly called Enjoyment Duty and the soldiers, when finished,
were expected to file reports on the performance of their Dolls. Three negative reports meant death. Ka-Tzetnik 135633 was the Auschwitz camp number of the pseudonymous author, and despite his use of the novel form-some things are still too horrible for nonfiction-there is not much reason to doubt that the House of Dolls existed.

Dr. Alina Brewda, a Jewish woman from the Warsaw ghetto who was permitted to practice medicine at Auschwitz, devoted a chapter in her wartime memoirs to an Auschwitz brothel of Aryan women prisoners, some of whom she covertly treated and aborted. A deposition taken by the Jewish Anti-Fascist Committee of the U.S.S.R. gave testimony concerning a concentration camp in Tulchin, Rumania, "under the rule of the infamous Petekau who asked each night for two Jewish virgins." A New York Times frontpage account in 1944 of the liberation of Vught, a Nazi concentration camp in Holland, reported, "Violation of young Jewish women by prison wardens was a common occurrence." A German brothel in the captured city of Smolensk "into which hundreds of [Russian] women and girls were driven" was mentioned at the Nuremberg tribunal. Similarly it was entered into evidence at the Tokyo tribunal that during the Japanese occupation of the Chinese city of Kweilin, in Kwangsi Province, "They recruited women labor on the pretext of establishing factories; they forced the women thus recruited into prostitution with the Japanese troops."

I would like to say with conviction that a noticeable difference in attitude and behavior toward women existed on the part of the armies of liberation as opposed to the armies of conquest and subjugation in World War II, and that the weight of evidence presented at Nuremberg and Tokyo conclusively proves it. Patterns of German and Japanese aggression clearly included overt expression of contempt for women as part of an overall philosophy of the master race, as well as a most pragmatic means of terror. Rape fit well, was conceptualized even, as a fascist act of domination. Yet it is in the nature of any institution in which men are set apart from women and given the extra power of the gun that the accruing power may be used against all women, for a female victim of rape in war is chosen not because she is a representative of the enemy, but precisely because she is a woman, and therefore an enemy. An all-male army cannot help but become imbued with a sense of its own male mastery, and in the last analysis, the Axis war machine
merely carried the male ideology to a further degree, to an unacceptable exaggeration.

As it happened, the right side won in 1945, and the evil that was brought forward for judgment was undeniably the ultimate evil; no one who has ever examined the holocaust literature of World War II can walk away from it without the knowledge that she or he has peered directly into the pit of hell. But those who judged at Nuremberg and Tokyo were those who had emerged from the war victorious. It was the other side that was held accountable. No international tribunals were called to expose and condemn Allied atrocity, no war-crimes depositions were taken from "enemy" women, no incriminating top-secret documents from our side of the war were held up to merciless light. A theorist of rape must admit that the evidence has been unfairly weighted.
I am not suggesting that an equality of rape existed in World War II. I doubt that it did. But Allied soldiers did commit rape, with gusto. The sexual assault may not have been a logical weapon within a total concept of "destruction of inferior peoples," but it was just as real a humiliation for the female victims. Instead of a motive of out-and-out conquest we may substitute a motivation (or excuse) of retaliation and revenge. Logic of a sort pertains here as well. I rather suspect that Allied rape, for the rapists, was often joyous-a sporadic, hearty spilling over and acting out of antifemale sentiment disguised within the glorious, vengeful struggle, an exuberant manifestation of the heroic fighting man who is fighting the good fight.

When Ilya Ehrenburg, the flinty Soviet novelist-turned-war correspondent, larded his front-line dispatches in 1942 with tales of German rape, the accounts he wrote set his ideological juices flowing.

These filthy lechers have now come to Russia. They are pol luting our houses. They are violating and infecting our women. Red Army men, in the name of our girls' honour, in the name of our women, in the name of human purity, smash these fornicating Fritzes!

Ehrenburg's job was to light a patriotic fire, and what better kindling was there than the tried-and-true "protection of our women"? These were the dark days for the Russians, before Stalin-
grad, and Ehrenburg may be pardoned, I suppose, for creating the simplistic slogan, "To gain an hour is to save a Russian man from the rope and a Russian girl from dishonour." His call to arms and human purity became faintly ridiculous three years later when the glorious Red Army, risen at last like a great bear from slumber, turned around and raped German women on the road to Berlin with a ferociousness that matched those "fornicating Fritzes."

The German actress Hildegard Knef described the fall of Berlin from a woman's perspective in her fiercely written autobiography, The Gift Horse. As Knef stood in a doorway watching truckloads of women and children rattle past, refugees from Frank-furt-on-Oder, Strausberg and Spindlersfeld, the women shouted, "Clear out, the Russians'll rape you." Knef dressed herself in a German Army uniform, she tells us, to avoid assault. Later, crouched in a makeshift bunker with her male comrades she heard
screams, dreadful heartrending screams, high thin shrill. I call out softly to the next hole: Are you there?

Yes.
What's that screaming?
Russians are in that house over there started on the women shitshitohshitohshit.

When the actress was eventually captured, she had a remarkable exchange with her Russian interrogator. "What you do in German army?" he asked repeatedly in halting German. She coolly answered, "I didn't want to be raped." Furious with her response, the Soviet officer could only bellow, "Russian soldiers not rape! German swine rape!"

But Russian soldiers did rape. Cornelius Ryan, author of The Last Battle, a well-researched narrative of the fall of Berlin, was one of the few historians to write of rape in war in its proper perspective. "The fear of sexual attack lay over the city like a pall," Ryan wrote at the start of his story, for six years into World War II Berlin was very nearly a city of women. Refugees who fled the advancing Soviet troops knowledgeably told Berliners what to expect: front-line Red Army men were disciplined and well behaved; they did not rape-but the second wave was close to a disorganized rabble, and it was they who committed the atrocities. (This makes supreme sense. The front-line troops, some of whom were veterans

Stalingrad, had an important, heroic job of retribution to do for heir country. Those bringing up the rear had missed out on the rost emotional and satisfying moment of the war-to be among he first Russians to march on German soil-and they would thus ave been more inclined to take out their vengeance on persons nd property.)

Rumor turned to reality when, in Ryan's words, "hordes of ussian troops coming up behind the disciplined front-line vetrans . . . demanded the rights due the conquerors: the women f the conquered." Ryan conducted his research in Berlin in the arly sixties, aided by a team of interviewers. Although no functiongg administration capable of documenting the extent of the ramage existed in Berlin in 1945, the trail was not quite cold and the istorian managed to obtain firsthand accounts.

Ursula Köster was sleeping in a basement shelter with her arents and her three children when four Russian soldiers beat in he door with their rifles. They searched the cellar, confiscated ome canned goods and watches, and then held her parents and hildren at gunpoint while, one after another, all four assaulted er. At dawn two more soldiers found their way to the cellar; they, oo, raped her.

Anneliese Antz was dragged screaming out of a bed she shared ith her mother and was raped by a Soviet officer. When he was nished he stroked her hair, murmured, "Good German," and sked her not to tell anyone an officer had raped her. A food parcel or her was dropped off the following day. Anneliese's older sister, lse, was raped by a trooper who entered their cellar with a pistol in ach hand. As he ripped off her sweaters and ski pants he stopped inquire, "Are you a German soldier?" Ilse told her interviewer, I was not surprised. I was so thin from hunger I hardly looked like woman." After the act her attacker told Ilse, "That's what the Sermans did in Russia." He left, but returned to spend the rest of he night with her-to guard her from other soldiers. Ilse Antz's rotection lasted just so long; she was later raped by another oldier.

Hannelore von Cmuda, seventeen years old, was raped by a nob of drunken soldiers. When they were finished they fired three hots into her body; she survived.

Margarete Promeist, warden of an air-raid shelter, watched for wo days and nights as "wave after wave of Russians came into my
shelter plundering and raping. Women were killed if they refused. Some were shot and killed anyway. . . . I I found the bodies of six or seven women, all lying in the position in which they were raped, their heads battered in." Frau Promeist herself was assaulted despite her appeals that "I am much too old for you."

Mother Superior Cunegundes of Haus Dahlem, an orphanage and maternity hospital run by the Mission Sisters of the Sacred Heart, was shot at by a soldier when she tried to interfere with the rape of the mission's Ukrainian cook, Lena. The mission was overrun with soldiers who entered the maternity wards and raped pregnant women and those who had given birth.

Some women of Berlin committed suicide, either in fear of rape or in shame after the act. Some avoided assault by making themselves appear diseased or as unattractive as possible with the aid of coal dust, iodine and bandages. Others found ingenious places to hide and remained in cellars and holes until the danger had passed. And some, Ryan wrote, "saved themselves from rape simply by fighting back so fiercely that the Soviet soldiers stopped trying and looked elsewhere." Jolenta Koch was one such fighter. Tricked into entering an empty house by a soldier who had a buddy waiting inside, Frau Koch "put up such resistance that both men were glad to see her go."

Dora Janssen avoided assault by claiming she had tuberculosis. Her servant, Inge, was less lucky and was injured so badly she could not walk. Frau Janssen ran into the street and told a man who looked like an officer what had happened. He responded, "The Germans were worse than this in Russia."

Klaus Küster, a member of the Hitler Youth, saw three Rus sians grab a woman on the street and take her into a hallway. He followed. One soldier trained his pistol on Klaus. The second held the screaming woman while the third raped her. Klaus watched the Russian who had done the raping emerge from the doorway. Tears were streaming down the soldier's face as he wailed, "Ya bolsho svinya" -"I am a big pig."

In 1951 a committee of anti-Communist German scholars directed by Dr. Theodor Schieder of the University of Cologne set about to document the flight and expulsion of German nationals from Eastern and Central Europe in the wake of the Red Army victories of 1944-1945. Volume I of the compendium they pro duced concerned itself with the fate of German refugees east of the
der-Neisse Line, in what is now Poland. In the abridged selection personal testimonies available in English there are close to thirty parate depositions from women regarding mass rape by Russian ad Polish soldiers. The testaments have a certain sameness about em, a sameness not of fabrication but of the universality of a oman's experience in war.
E.L. was trapped in Posen (now Poznań) when the Russians tered the city. She attested:

When we were lying in bed at night we kept hearing steps coming up the stairs; these were always Russians, who were sent by the Poles into the dwellings of the Germans. They beat on the door with their rifle-butts until it was opened. Without any consideration for my mother and aunt, who had to get out of bed, we were raped by the Russians, who always held a machine pistol in one hand. They lay in bed with their dirty boots on, until the next lot came. As there was no light, everything was done by pocket torches and we did not even know what the beasts looked like. During the day we had to work hard, and at night the Russians left us no peace. . . . One could hardly any longer call it raping, for the women were passive instruments, for one could not protect one's self or refuse, and one therefore suffered it.

Where could one lodge a complaint?" echoed a schoolteacher om Breslau (now Wroclaw). "Everywhere one was chased away ke a stray dog."
And so it went for German women at the close of the war. At uremberg the Soviet prosecutors had tried to show that the rape Russian women had been part of a systematic Nazi campaign of fror and genocide. At the Tokyo tribunal the Allies had made a milar case against the Japanese. Jewish pleas for help from the Jarsaw ghetto had used the mass rape of Jewish women to monstrate their systematic annihilation. Arnold Toynbee, among Vorld War I propagandists, had sought to use rape as evidence of e bestial campaign of terror by the Hun, and the lairds of the cottish Highlands, we may recall, used evidence of rape by Enish soldiers as proof of their enemy's efforts to destroy them as a etional people. And so it was not surprising that when the antiommunist German professors compiled their documents, they, o, attempted to make nationalistic sense out of what they had und.

The raping of German women and children by Soviet officers and men was systematic in the truest sense of the word [they wrote], Apart from the physical and spiritual suffering inflicted upon the huge numbers of women raped, the brutality and shamelessness with which this was done increased the fear and terror of the German population. It is clear that these rapings were the result of a manner of conduct and mentality which are inconceivable and repulsive to the European mind. One must partially attribute them to the traditions and notions in the Asiatic parts of Russia, according to which women are just as much the booty of the victors as jewelry, valuables, and property in dwellings and shops. The nature and the huge numbers of rapings would be inconceivable if there had not been a fundamental motive of such a kind at the back of the minds of the Soviet troops.

The German professors went further. Ilya Ehrenburg, they said, had incited the Russian troops to rape by his front-line dispatches and patriotic leaflets.

Ehrenburg's role as whipping boy for Russian rape crops up in such odd places that it is worth some study. The memoirs of Admiral Doenitz, published in English in 1959, quote a purported Ehrenburg leaflet as follows:

Kill! Kill! In the German race there is nothing but evil! . Follow the precepts of Comrade Stalin. Stamp out the fascist beast once and for all in its lair! Use force and break the racial pride of these Germanic women. Take them as your lawful booty . . . you gallant soldiers of the Red Army!

Karl Bednarik, the contemporary Viennese sociologist, takes the Ehrenburg leaflet at face value and writes, "The offer of the defeated enemy's women as a safety valve to the ravening troops here wears only the most transparent veil of ideology."

In fairness to Ehrenburg, I must admit that I could not find this particular exhortation to "break the racial pride of these Germanic women" and "take them as your lawful booty" among the hundreds of Ehrenburg war dispatches I examined. Neither could Cornelius Ryan, who quotes the Doenitz version in his book and remarks that many Germans he interviewed did claim to have seen it. Ryan pursued the matter to Moscow, where Soviet newspaper editors and historians, he found, were "defensive" about the entire
natter of rape. Most Moscow historians he spoke with attributed he worst of the atrocities to vengeance-minded ex-prisoners of war vho were released as the Russian troops advanced to the Oder. A ewspaper editor told him, "We were naturally not one hundred ercent gentlemen; we had seen too much."

Ryan discovered that Ehrenburg had once been publicly reprihanded for propaganda excesses by the military newspaper Red tar. That clinched it for Ryan, I think, and he came away believng that the leaflet was not a fake. I am not as certain, imbued as I m with the history of faked propaganda leaflets. Bearing in mind hat Ehrenburg was Jewish, the wicked exhortation makes too puch of a neat package for the defeated Nazis and smacks of the currilous Protocols of the Elders of Zion.

Bednarik's suggestion (he gives no source for his use of the faflet) that Ehrenburg was consciously trading off women's bodies gainst a more fearful, wanton destruction by an avenging Red rmy is an interesting extrapolation. He, too, like the German rofessors, needed to pin the blame on someone. Milovan Diilas, he renegade Yugoslav Communist turned fierce anti-Communist, so discusses Red Army rape in his overly emotional and not Itogether trustworthy Conversations with Stalin. Djilas reports hat according to complaints filed by the local populace, the Soviet rmy committed 121 rapes and many rape-murders in his coun-y- "figures that are hardly insignificant if it is borne in mind that he Red Army crossed only the northeastern corner of Yugoslavia." jilas claims to have taken up the matter with Stalin himself.
"Djilas, Djilas!" he says the Russian dictator answered him. Does Djilas, who is himself a writer, not know what human 1ffering and the human heart are? Can't he understand it if a oldier who has crossed thousands of kilometers through blood and re and death has fun with a woman or takes some trifle?"

Not all Russian soldiers were rapists, and individual instances kindness toward women are sprinkled throughout the German counts of Red Army atrocity. But there is no point, it seems to e, in trying to pin the blame for the Soviet troops' behavior on an hrenburg leaflet, a Stalin attitude of "boys will be boys" or, ughably, on certain national characteristics. In war or peace men o not need orders or permission or a particular national heritage to ommit an act of rape. Soviet rape was especially ironic because the ussians themselves had made so much of the New Soviet Man-
and pay her five zlotys," they were trying to turn an act of rape into an act of whoring in which the victim shared responsibility. Similarly, when the Japanese commander told his men in the field that to avoid any problems of rape, "either pay them money or kill them," he was utilizing the same principle. German and Japanese military brothels, into which conquered women were forcibly placed, were considered examples of Axis war crimes at the Nuremberg and Tokyo tribunals, but the American military never rounded up women for purposes of prostitution during World War II , as far as I know. The lure of the dollar to starving women in wartorn, liberated countries was coercion enough.

But the difference between prostitution and rape in war is real, for there are always those men who choose, or prefer, to rape.
"Rape has nothing to do with the availability of willing women or prostitutes," a member of the U.S. Army Court of Military Review told me in Washington. "Wherever there are soldiers, there are prostitutes in a war."
"Then what makes men rape in war?" I asked rhetorically.
"I don't know," he answered. My reluctant conversation part ner was a retired colonel who preferred that I not use his name.

I had gone to Washington to see what figures might be available on the number of U.S. Army courts-martial for rape in World War II. Not that the lump sum of men brought to trial and conviction by the military would be an accurate reflection of the actual number of rapes committed by our armies-far from it-but a set of statistics would at least be a beginning, and might offer some sort of perspective, especially since I doubted that these statistics had ever before seen the light of day.

The office of the Judge Advocate General complied with my request to the best of its ability. It was able to give me the number of convictions stemming from general courts-martial for rape from January, 1942, to June, 1947, broken down by six-month periods.

These Army figures include Air Force convictions (informa tion from the Navy and the Marine Corps was not available for World War II). They were culled from the ledgers of general courts-martial since rape is a capital offense under military juris prudence. As in civilian law, cases of rape have a way of getting knocked down to lesser charges before trial. The military may try its rapists on lesser charges, such as assault with intent to commit rape, sodomy, assault with intent to commit sodomy, carnal knowl
U.S. Army General Courts-Martial for Rape, World War II

| Convictions |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Jan.-June, 1942 | 1 |  |
|  | July-Dec., 1942 | 10 |  |
|  | Jan.-June, 1943 | 25 |  |
|  | July-Dec., 1943 | 43 |  |
|  | Jan.-June, 1944 | 52 |  |
|  | July-Dec., 1944 | 82 |  |
|  | Jan.-June, 1945 | 60 |  |
|  | July-Dec., 1945 | 247 |  |
|  | Jan.-June, 1946 | 355 |  |
|  | July-Dec, 1946 | 50 |  |
|  | Jan.-June, 1947 | $\underline{46}$ |  |
|  |  | Total |  |
|  | 971 |  |  |

dge (the equivalent of statutory rape, involving a minor), or under utilitarian catchall known as lewd, lascivious and indecent acts. Sodomy, for example, carries a maximum sentence of ten years.

The Army Judiciary could give me no statistics on the number f convictions for these lesser charges, nor could it give me the umber of reported rapes, arrests, and rape cases brought to trial, o compare with the number of convictions. If the records available or Vietnam (see pages 98-99) may be used as a gauge, the number f military convictions for rape is approximately 60 percent of the umber of cases tried, slightly higher than the civilian conviction ate.

To the detriment of history, the Army Judiciary could shed no ght on the median sentence given to those GI's convicted of rape, or could it give me a theater-by-theater breakdown. It did have vailable the information that out of the 971 rape convictions, 52 ldiers were executed. An additional 18 men (not included among e971) were executed after a combined conviction for rape and urder. (The military has executed no one for a capital offense nce 1962.)

One obvious fact emerges from the record of the Army's Vorld War II convictions for rape. More than two-thirds of the nvictions took place not during the war itself but during the cupation. This, I was assured by the Clerk of the Court, was not e result of a backlog of courts-martial. "In the Second World ar," he told me, "courts-martial were held right in the locus. A
man was tried shortly after the offense; there was no delay. In those days the theater commander could take affirming action without a Washington review."

It was the Clerk of the Court's opinion that the geometric progression of convictions from 1942 into 1946 represented not only an increase in troop strength-by 1945 the United States had mobilized twelve million persons, overall, as compared to two million in 1941-but demonstrated a fact of war. In his words, "There is more rape during an occupation because soldiers have more time on their hands during an occupation."

This observation, coming from a retired Army colonel who has spent the last twenty years reviewing courts-martial, must carry a certain amount of weight. However, one can speculate that a preponderant number of courts-martial took place during the occupation because the military judges also had more time on their hands during the occupation. It seems logical to assume that during ${ }^{2}$ time of heavy fighting a military tribunal would be reluctant to convene over a case of rape involving an enemy woman, if indeed the woman or a member of her family had been able to step forward, find the proper authority, and make the complaint. Dur ing the years of occupation, when some semblance of cooperation between the military and the local civilian authority would be established, a case of rape would stand a better chance of being processed to justice.

## Bangladesh

Indira Gandhi's Indian Army had successfully routed the West Pakistanis and had abruptly concluded the war in Bangla desh when small stories hinting at the mass rape of Bengali women began to appear in American newspapers. The first account I read from the Los Angeles Times syndicated service, appeared in the New York Post a few days before Christmas, 1971. It reported tha the Bangladesh government of Sheik Mujibur Rahman, in recogni tion of the particular suffering of Bengali women at the hands o Pakistani soldiers, had proclaimed all raped women "heroines" the war for independence. Farther on in the story came this omi nous sentence: "In traditional Bengali village society, whero women lead cloistered lives, rape victims often are ostracized."

Two days after Christmas a more explicit story, by war correondent Joseph Fried, appeared in the New York Daily News, atelined Jessore. Fried described the reappearance of young Benli women on the city streets after an absence of nine months. me had been packed off to live with relatives in the countryside d others had gone into hiding. "The precautions," he wrote, roved wise, if not always effective."

A stream of victims and eyewitnesses tell how truckloads of Pakistani soldiers and their hireling razakars swooped down on villages in the night, rounding up women by force. Some were raped on the spot. Others were carried off to military compounds. Some women were still there when Indian troops battled their way into Pakistani strongholds. Weeping survivors of villages razed because they were suspected of siding with the Mukti Bahini freedom fighters told of how wives were raped before the eyes of their bound husbands, who were then put to death. Just how much of it was the work of Pakistani "regulars" is not clear. Pakistani officers maintain that their men were too disciplined "for that sort of thing."

Fearing I had missed the story in other papers, I put in a call a friend on the foreign desk of The New York Times. "Rape of ngali women?" He laughed. "I don't think so. It doesn't sound e a Times story." A friend at Newsweek was similarly skeptical. th said they'd keep a lookout for whatever copy passed their y. I got the distinct impression that both men, good journalists, ought I was barking up an odd tree.*
In the middle of January the story gained sudden credence. An ian relief secretary for the World Council of Churches called a ess conference in Geneva to discuss his two-week mission to ngladesh. The Reverend Kentaro Buma reported that more than 0,000 Bengali women had been raped by Pakistani soldiers durthe nine-month conflict, a figure that had been supplied to him Bangladesh authorities in Dacca. Thousands of the raped men had become pregnant, he said. And by tradition, no Mosn husband would take back a wife who had been touched by

NBC's Liz Trotta was one of the few American reporters to investigate the gladesh rape story at this time. She filed a TV report for the weekend
another man, even if she had been subdued by force. "The new authorities of Bangladesh are trying their best to break, that tradition," Buma informed the newsmen. "They tell the husbands the women were victims and must be considered national heroines. Some men have taken their spouses back home, but these are very, very few."

A story that most reporters couldn't find in Bangladesh was carried by AP and UPI under a Geneva dateline. Boiled down to four paragraphs, it even made The New York Times.

Organized response from humanitarian and feminist groups was immediate in London, New York, Los Angeles, Stockholm and elsewhere, "It is unthinkable that innocent wives whose lives were virtually destroyed by war are now being totally destroyed by their own husbands," a group of eleven women wrote to The New York Times that January. "This . . . vividly demonstrates the blind ness of men to injustices they practice against their own women even while struggling for liberation." Galvanized for the first time in history over the issue of rape in war, international aid for Bengali victims was coordinated by alert officials in the London office of the International Planned Parenthood Federation. The Bangladesh government, at first, was most cooperative. In the months to come the extent of the aggravated plight of the women of Bangladesh during the war for independence would be slowly revealed.

Bengal was a state of 75 million people, officially East Paki stan, when the Bangladesh government declared its independence in March of 1971 with the support of India. Troops from West Pakistan were flown to the East to put down the rebellion. During the nine-month terror, terminated by the two-week armed inter vention of India, a possible three million persons lost their lives ten million fled across the border to India, and 200,000, 300,000 0 possibly 400,000 women (three sets of statistics have been vari ously quoted) were raped. Eighty percent of the raped womes were Moslems, reflecting the population of Bangladesh, but Hind and Christian women were not exempt. As Moslems, most Bengal women were used to living in purdah, strict, veiled isolation tha includes separate, secluded shelter arrangements apart from men even in their own homes. The Pakistanis were also Moslem, bu there the similarity stopped. Despite a shared religious heritage Punjabi Pakistanis are taller, lighter-skinned and "rawboned" com pared to dark, small-boned Bengalis. This racial difference would
rovide added anguish to those Bengali women who found themelves pregnant after their physical ordeal.

Hit-and-run rape of large numbers of Bengali women was rutally simple in terms of logistics as the Pakistani regulars swept hrough and occupied the tiny, populous land, an area little larger han the state of New York. (Bangladesh is the most overcrowded ountry in the world.) The Mukti Bahini "freedom fighters" were ardly an effective counterforce. According to victims, Moslem tharis who collaborated with the Pakistani Army-the hireling zakars-were most enthusiastic rapists. In the general breakdown f law and order, Mukti Bahini themselves committed rape, a tuation reminiscent of World War II when Greek and Italian easant women became victims of whatever soldiers happened to ass through their village.

Aubrey Menen, sent on a reporting assignment to Bangladesh, constructed the, modus operandi of one hit-and-run rape. With pore than a touch of romance the Indian Catholic novelist chose his archetypal subject a seventeen-year-old Hindu bride of one onth whom he called "the belle of the village." Since she was, ter all, a ravished woman, Menen employed his artistic license to aint a sensual picture of her "classical buttocks": ". . . they ere shaped, that is, as the great Sanskrit poet Kalidasa had preribed, like two halves of a perfect melon."

Menen got his information from the victim's father. Pakistani pldiers had come to the little village by truck one day in October. olitely and thoroughly they searched the houses-"for pamhlets," they said. Little talk was exchanged since the soldiers oke a language no one in the village could understand. The bride one month gave a soldier a drink of coconut juice, "in peace."
At ten o'clock that night the truckload of soldiers returned, aking the family by kicking down the door of their corrugated on house. There were six soldiers in all, and the father said that ne of them was drunk. I will let Menen tell it:

Two went into the room that had been built for the bridal couple. The others stayed behind with the family, one of them covering them with his gun. They heard a barked order, and the bridegroom's voice protesting. Then there was silence until the bride screamed. Then there was silence again, except for some muffled cries that soon subsided.

In a few minutes one of the soldiers came out, his uniform in disarray. He grinned to his companions. Another soldier took his place in the extra room. And so on, until all the six had raped the belle of the village. Then all six left, hurriedly. The fathe found his daughter lying on the string cot unconscious and bleed ing. Her husband was crouched on the floor, kneeling over hi vomit.

After interviewing the father, Menen tracked down the young woman herself in a shelter for rape victims in Dacca. She was, h reported, "truly beautiful," but he found her mouth "strange." I was hard and tense. The young woman doubted that she would ever return to her tiny village. Her husband of one month had refused to see her and her father, she said, was "ashamed." The villagers, too, "did not want me." The conversation, Menen wrote proceeded with embarrassing pauses, but it was not without high tension.

I took my leave. I was at the door when she called me back.
"Huzoor," a title of honour.
"Yes?"
"You will see that those men are punished," she said.
"Punished. Punished. Punished."
Menen's report on the belle of the village was artfully drawn but it did dramatize the plight of thousands of raped and rejected Bengali women. Other observers with a less romantic eye provide more realistic case studies. Rape in Bangladesh had hardly bee restricted to beauty. Girls of eight and grandmothers of seventy-fiv had been sexually assaulted during the nine-month repressiop Pakistani soldiers had not only violated Bengali women on the spot; they abducted tens of hundreds and held them by force i their military barracks for nightly use. The women were kept nake to prevent their escape. In some of the camps, pornographic movid were shown to the soldiers, "in an obvious attempt to work the men up," one Indian writer reported.

Khadiga, thirteen years old, was interviewed by a photojous nalist in Dacca. She was walking to school with four other git when they were kidnapped by a gang of Pakistani soldiers. All fil were put in a military brothel in Mohammedpur and held captil
six months until the end of the war. Khadiga was regularly pused by two men a day; others, she said, had to service seven to n men daily. (Some accounts have mentioned as many as eighty saults in a single night, a bodily abuse that is beyond my ability fully comprehend, even as I write these words.) At first, Khadiga id, the soldiers tied a gag around her mouth to keep her from reaming. As the months wore on and the captives' spirit was oken, the soldiers devised a simple quid pro quo. They withheld e daily ration of food until the girls had submitted to the full nota.
Kamala Begum, a wealthy widow, lived in a Dacca suburb. hen the fighting started she sent her two daughters into the untryside to hide. She felt she could afford to stay behind, secure her belief that she was "too old" to attract attention. She was saulted by three men, two Pakistanis and one razakar, in her pme.
Khadiga and Kamala Begum were interviewed by Bérengère Aragon, a woman photographer, in a Dacca abortion clinic.
Rape, abduction and forcible prostitution during the nineonth war proved to be only the first round of humiliation for the Engali women. Prime Minister Mujibur Rahman's declaration at victims of rape were national heroines was the opening shot of ill-starred campaign to reintegrate them into society-by oothing the way for a return to their reluctant husbands or by ding bridegrooms for the unmarried ones from among his Mukti hini freedom fighters. Imaginative in concept for a country in rich female chastity and purdah isolation are cardinal principles, e "marry them off" campaign never got off the ground. Few ospective bridegrooms stepped forward, and those who did made plair that they expected the government, as father figure, to esent them with handsome dowries.
"The demands of the men have ranged from the latest model Japanese car, painted red, to the publication of unpublished ems," a government official bitterly complained. Another stuming block, perhaps unexpected by the Bangladeshis, was the attide of the raped women. "Many won't be able to tolerate the esence of a man for some time," the same official admitted.
But more pressing concerns than marriage had to be faced. octors sent to Bangladesh by International Planned Parenthood
discovered that gynecological infection was rampant. "Almost every rape victim tested had a venereal disease," an Australian physician told The New York Times.

The most serious crisis was pregnancy. Accurate statistics on the number of raped women who found themselves with child were difficult to determine but 25,000 is the generally accepted figure. Less speculative was the attitude of the raped, pregnant women. Few cared to bear their babies. Those close to birth ex pressed little interest in the fate of the child. In addition to an understandable horror of rearing a child of forcible rape, it was freely acknowledged in Bangladesh that the bastard children with their fair Punjabi features would never be accepted into Bengai culture-and neither would their mothers.

Families with money were able to send their daughters expert abortionists in Calcutta, but shame and self-loathing and lack of alternatives led to fearsome, irrational solutions in the rura villages. Dr. Geoffrey Davis of the London-based Internations Abortion Research and Training Center who worked for months in the remote countryside of Bangladesh reported that he had heard of "countless" incidents of suicide and infanticide during travels. Rat poison and drowning were the available means. Davis also estimated that five thousand women had managed to abot themselves by various indigenous methods, with attendant medica complications.

A Catholic convent in Calcutta, Mother Theresa's, opened it doors in Dacca to women who were willing to offer their babies fo overseas adoption, but despite the publicity accorded to Mothe Theresa, few rape victims actually came to her shelter. Those who learned of the option chose to have an abortion. Planned Parent hood, in cooperation with the newly created Bangladesh Centro Organization for Women's Rehabilitation, set up clinics in Dacc and seventeen outlying areas to cope with the unwanted preg nancies. In its first month of operation the Dacca clinic alond reported doing more than one hundred terminations.

The Bangladesh Central Organization for Women's Rehabili tation, created by Bengali women themselves, proved to be heroic moving force. In a country with few women professionals those who had the skills stepped forward to help their victimize sisters. One, a doctor, Helena Pasha, who admitted that prior to the war she had thoroughly disapproved of abortion, gave freely of he
time and services with little monetary compensation. Women social workers like Tahera Shafiq took over the organizational work and gave aid and comfort that the traumatized rape victims could not accept from men. Tahera Shafiq was adamant on one point. Rape or forcible prostitution were false, inadequate words to describe what the Bengali women had gone through. She preferred in conversation to use the word "torture."

Rehabilitation meant more than comfort, tenderness and bortion. The women's organization sought to train the homeless, ejected women in working skills. Handicrafts, shorthand and typng were the obvious choices-small steps until one remembers that most of the women had never been outside their rural villages pefore. The hoped-for long-range goal of "rehabilitation" still remained marriage. "An earning woman has better prospects of mariage than others," one social worker said dryly. But for many of the tortured women, aid and succor arrived too late, or not at all. 'Alas, we have reports of some who have landed in brothels," a male government official acknowledged. "It is a terrible tragedy."

As the full dimensions of the horror became known, those vho looked for rational, military explanations returned again and gain to the puzzle of why the mass rapes had taken place. "And a ampaign of terror includes rape?" Aubrey Menen prodded a Benali politician. He got a reflective answer. "What do soldiers talk bout in barracks? Women and sex," the politician mused. "Put a fun in their hands and tell them to go out and frighten the wits put of a population and what will be the first thing that leaps to their mind?" Fearing the magnitude of his own answer, the politiian concluded, "Remember, some of our Bengali women are very eautiful." Mulk Raj Anand, an Indian novelist, was convinced of onspiracy. The rapes were so systematic and pervasive that they ad to be conscious Army policy, "planned by the West Pakistanis a deliberate effort to create a new race" or to dilute Bengali ationalism, Anand passionately told reporters.

Theory and conjecture abounded, all of it based on the erroeous assumption that the massive rape of Bangladesh had been a time without precedent in modern history.

But the mass rape of Bangladesh had not been unique. The umber of rapes per capita during the nine-month occupation of Pangladesh had been no greater than the incidence of rape during ne month of occupation in the city of Nanking in 1937, no greater
than the per capita incidence of rape in Belgium and France as the German Army marched unchecked during the first three months of World War I, no greater than the violation of women in every village in Soviet Russia in World War II. A "campaign of terror" and a charge of "conscious Army policy" had been offered up in explanation by seekers of rational answers in those wars as well, and later forgotten.

The story of Bangladesh was unique in one respect. For the first time in history the rape of women in war, and the complex aftermath of mass assault, received serious international attention. The desperate need of Sheik Mujibur Rahman's government for international sympathy and financial aid was part of the reason; a new feminist consciousness that encompassed rape as a political issue and a growing, practical acceptance of abortion as a solution to unwanted pregnancy were contributing factors of critical importance. And so an obscure war in an obscure corner of the globe, to Western eyes, provided the setting for an examination of the "unspeakable" crime. For once, the particular terror of unarmed women facing armed men had full hearing.

## Vietnam

Among the secret documents concerning American involvement in Vietnam that came to light with the publication of The Pentagon Papers was a report on a covert mission headed by U.S Air Force Colonel Edward G. Lansdale in 1954-1955. Set into motion right after the fall of Dienbienphu while the French met with the Vietminh at Geneva, the broad purpose of the Lansdale mission was "to assist the Vietnamese in unconventional warfare" by undertaking paramilitary operations and by waging political psychological warfare-"psywar" for short in Pentagon terminology.
"Psywar" consisted of carefully planted rumor campaigns designed to confound the forces of Ho Chi Minh and undermine Hanoi's relationship with the Chinese Communists. The first rumor campaign concerned rape. Mindful of Nationalist Chinese troop behavior in 1945 and seeking to play on Vietnamese fears of Chinese occupation under Vietminh rule, an American-trained

Vietnamese Psywar Company was instructed to dress in civilian clothes, infiltrate Hanoi and spread the rumor that a Chinese Communist regiment had raped the women of a North Vietnamese village. According to the Lansdale Team's report as printed
n The Pentagon Papers, "The troops received their instructions ilently, dressed in civilian clothes, went on their mission, and failed to return. They had deserted to the Vietminh."

The Vietnam war, not just America's twenty-year involvement but beginning with the original struggle for independence against the colonial French, has been a sociological crucible of rape in which certain groups of people have been observed to behave lifferently from other groups of people, and for this reason it sheds valuable light on the rape mentality. In one respect, of course, this var was no different from others-rarely, if ever, was rape conidered newsworthy enough to find its way into the dispatches of a oreign correspondent.

In December, 1972, when the Paris "peace" talks had finally eached an intensive phase, I had several long interviews in New York with Peter Arnett, Associated Press correspondent in Vietham for eight years. Like the rest of the Saigon press corps, this Pulitzer Prize winner had never filed a rape story from Vietnam, put like the rest of the press corps he had certainly been aware of ts incidence. When he began to think about it, Arnett was able to lelineate rape in Vietnam on many levels.

Before 1954 the foreign presence in Vietnam had been 'rench, and it was Arnett's reading of history that the French paratroopers maintained a stricter discipline than their mercenary egionnaires who were permitted to rape and loot, or so he had reard from South Vietnamese for whom life "before the Amerifans" was already folklore.

It was Arnett's impression that the South Vietnamese Army, the ARVN, had participated in little raping at the beginning of the ong war, but with the escalation of the conflict, concomitant with growing brutalization, the incidence of ARVN rape increased. isciplinary machinery within the South Vietnamese Army was lways lax but other factors militated against ARVN rape. In the government-controlled areas, the larger cities, an illegal act was lways punishable and therefore dangerous: a raped woman might urn out to be a wife or daughter of a well-connected family. "The

ARVN could not go through Saigon and rape, obviously," he told me, but the situation was different during military operations. "When the government-controlled areas came under pressureremember the Tet offensive-anything could happen. There could be little redress by the population. Nongovernment-controlled areas, the free-fire zones, were always fair game."

South Vietnamese soldiers were allowed to keep their families with them at their base camps. Arnett believed that the presence of the wives and a general availability of sex (the brothel system has been a traditional part of Vietnamese society) gave ARVN soldiers less cause to rape. Another possibility is that the presence of wives and children on the campgrounds would exert a moral force against the rape of other women. Also, the ARVN's military operations were always in the neighboring vicinity and of short duration. Not only did the men understand that they were to soon return to their wives and/or brothels, there was also a strong probability that they might actually know, or even be related to, the girls in the villages they passed through. "A lot of casual rape was avoided because of family relations," Arnett had concluded.

That family relations served as a deterrent is a point to give one pause. The American Civil War, like Vietnam, in some respects a struggle of brother against brother, is considered a low-rape war by those few historians who have thought about it. Injunctions against assaulting one's sister or one's buddy's sister are part of the code of honor among men; furthermore, anonymity between rapist and victim is an important factor in rape since an unknown woman is more easily stripped of her humanity.

In contrast to the ARVN, the South Vietnamese Rangerselite fighting troops who were transported from one part of Vietnam to another-were commonly credited with a higher incidence of rape by the foreign press. Set down in an unfamiliar area, the Rangers were without family ties and less likely to know or care about the feelings of the local women. As the elite corps of the South Vietnamese, I might add, they may also have been influenced by a swaggering, swashbuckling self-image.

Similarly, when the regular South Vietnamese forces were sent into Cambodia during the brief invasion of May, 1970 they freely looted and raped in every village they passed through-to such an extent that the Lon Nol government ("our" Cambodian
government) officially protested. "It must be harder to rape your own nationality" was Arnett's sudden insight when he put his own facts together.

Regarding this Cambodian invasion, the pro-Communist Prince Sihanouk later remarked in black humor that President Thieu actually had been "very useful" to him: "Yes, indeed," he told Italian journalist Oriana Fallaci, "Sirik Matak used to say that the North Vietnamese and Vietcong behaved badly in Cambodia. But when he saw Thieu's soldiers-those wild beasts who murdered children, raped women, burned houses, destroyed temples, he had to admit: 'Sihanouk's Vietnamese were better.' In short, if Thieu had not sent his wild beasts, there wouldn't be so many Khmer Rouge; young Cambodians would not have flocked into the resistance groups in tens of thousands."

As the war dragged on, geographic and nationality considerations diminished. "After being in a war and seeing your buddies killed, your intent becomes evil," Arnett believes. "It was true for fll troops-all they'd think about was eating, drinking and screwng." And so the South Vietnamese Army, which raped little at the beginning of the war, stepped up its activity. The "main molest-ng"-Arnett's phrase-was done at the special interrogation centers. "Under the pretext of finding out Vietcong information they would pick out an attractive young girl in a village and march her along in their column to take her to the interrogation center. Sometimes the unit might lead her into the forest, and then we, the reporters, would hear screams. She could be raped down the ine at stages before she was finally released. When she was prought before the commander even then it might turn out that he new her family and then she'd be let go."

Arnett was among those reporters who heard screams when nterrogation units led a woman into the forest, and like the others, he never investigated further. "The South Vietnamese are a private people and it was always done quietly. They were much less likely o have a public gang-rape scene than the Americans," he offered by way of sociological explanation. He also used the typical reporter's stand-by that rape was "hard to verify," although he adnitted that "at the end of the war all women who came out of Army jails would say they had been raped." Time magazine, in a vrap-up on Saigon's political prisoners that appeared in December,

1972, stated with caution, "Horror stories abound and most Saigonese accept them as true. One woman recently released from central police headquarters reported that her interrogators shoved a rubber stick up her vagina."

Torture of female political prisoners traditionally includes rape or variations of genital abuse. Whether sadistic torture leads by its own logic to the infliction of sexual pain, or whether the motive of eliciting political information is merely a pretext for the commission of hostile sexual acts, the end result for a woman is almost inevitable. As German soldiers in 1944 tortured and raped Maquis supporters, and as French paratroopers tortured and raped Algerian resistance leaders a decade later, so in the year $197^{2}$ beyond the horrors of the interrogation centers in South Vietnam one heard of electric shocks and rape applied to female political prisoners in Argentina and severe beating and electric shocks ad ministered to the sexual organs of male and female prisoners in Brazil, including the doubly vengeful act, "a woman raped in front of her husband by one of his torturers." Six months later the pattern was repeated by the Portuguese in the colonies of Angola and Mozambique, and a year after that by the military government of Chile. Throughout much of the world the pretext of securing political information has led, in a woman's case, to rape.

With political objectivity Peter Arnett, a New Zealander, told me that it was common knowledge among the Saigon press corps that the Vietcong and the North Vietnamese Army rarely committed rape. "The VC used terror as a daily weapon," he said bluntly. "They would line up and behead the leaders of a village as a matter of course, but rape was not part of their system of punishment. They were prohibited from looting, stealing food or rape, and we were always surprised when they did it. We heard very little of VC rape."

The Vietcong prohibition against rape went further than moral suasion. American military intelligence routinely made available to reporters captured documents taken from dead Vietcong in the field. Among these documents Arnett had several times seen papers referring to Vietcong soldiers who were reprimanded, sent to the rear or even shot for rape. "The VC would publicize an execution for rape," he said. "Rape was a serious crime for them. It was considered a serious political blunder to rape and loot. It just
wasn't done. At the same time they made women who were raped by the other side into heroines, examples of enemy atrocity."*

Arnetf's analysis of why the Vietcong didn't rape went beyond their efficient system of reprimands. He was aware that Vietcong women played a major role in military operations and that the presence of women fighting as equals among their men acted ggainst the sexual humiliation or mistreatment of other women, and he understood that a guerrilla force depends for its survival upon the good will of the people, men and women alike. He was also mindful of what he called the Vietcong's "sense of dedication" to their revolutionary mission. To elaborate on this point he employed an interesting comparison. "I knew American officers," he said, "who did not use the brothels during all the time they were in Vietnam. These men were so involved with their dedication to winning the war that they literally did not need sex, while it was a different story, of course, for the American enlisted men. I think the Vietcong could control their lust from a similar sense of dedication."

The AP correspondent and I differed strongly during our several interviews over whether "lust" or a powerful male need for sex had anything to do with the incidence of wartime rape, or, for that matter, the use of Army brothels, and I am at great pains to quote him fairly, although I disagree with this part of his analysis. Observers in Vietnam, and 99 and $9 / 10$ ths of them were men, were isually confounded by the lack of Vietcong rape (if they thought pbout it at all).t The experience of Kate Webb, United Press

The concept that a revolutionary guerrilla army of peasants does not rape vas laid down with simple eloquence in 1928 by the great Chinese general Chu Teh, whose motto was "Take not even a needle or thread from the people." Chu Teh's rules, a far cry from the practices of his enemy, the Kuomintang, included, "return all straw on which you sleep before leaving a louse; speak courteously to the people and help them whenever possible; eturn all borrowed articles; pay for everything damaged; be honest in all pusiness transactions; be sanitary-dig latrines a safe distance from homes nd fill them up with earth before leaving; never molest women; do not misreat prisoners."
CBS correspondent Dan Rather, who was assigned to Vietnam in 1965 966 , told me that he, for one, remained unaware of any political distinctions I rape habits during his one-year tour. "Rape was not something that was oremost in my mind when I talked to people," Rather admitted. "My averge story was shooting, shelling and bombing. The pattern was to jump on a elicopter, shoot a story very quickly and ship the film back to Saigon. I

International's bureau chief in Cambodia, bears this out. Webb, like Arnett a New Zealander, was captured and kept prisoner by the Vietcong for twenty-three days. Months after her release she said, "Everybody wants to know if I was raped. And when I tell them no, most people seem to be disappointed. They don't understand the Vietnamese code of very strict behavior."

An American, Dr. Marjorie Nelson, who worked in a medical rehabilitation center for Vietnamese civilians from 1967 to 1969 and was captured along with another American woman by Vietcong in Hué during the Tet offensive, also needed to protest her chastity after her release. She said, "This is a question that I know comes up in the minds of, well, certainly of any GI who's been in Vietnam, and many other people. Certainly this thing could have occurred, and I think on a couple of occasions we were simply lucky that it didn't. However, once we were in the camp it was quite clear that the cadre also were concerned about this, and they made sure that our privacy was respected."

And so we come to the Americans-where first we must look at institutionalized prostitution, for as the American presence in Vietnam multiplied, the unspoken military theory of women's bodies as not only a reward of war but as a necessary provision like soda pop and ice cream, to keep our boys healthy and happy, turned into routine practice. And if monetary access to women's bodies did not promote an ideology of rape in Vietnam, neither did it thwart it.

General George S. Patton, who had been so pragmatic about expectations of rape, is credited with the desire to experiment with military brothels during his World War II command, an idea he abandoned when he became convinced that the uproar they would create among wives and mothers back in the States might hurt the
never did a rape story, and if you had been doing my job I don't think you would have, either. Everywhere you looked there was a horror and a brutality Rape may have been mentioned to me several dozen times while I was in Vietnam. When you see women crying, and you see that universal look of bitter ness and anger, you find out about rape. My own limited experience led me to conclude that everybody who passed through a village did it-steal a chicken and grab a quick piece of ass, that sort of thing. Based on my own experience I would have to say that the Americans and the Korean troops were probably the worst-they had the least to lose-but I wouldn't build any case for the other side's superior morality. Vietnam was a loosely organized gang war and the women caught it from all sides."
war effort. Patton did not have his way in World War II but his ghost must have approved of Vietnam.

The tradition of military brothels had been established in Vietnam long before the American presence. The late Bernard Fall, who wrote so vividly of the war in its early years, detailed with enthusiasm the French Army's particular contribution to the use of women in war-the mobile field brothel, or Bordel Mobile de Campagne, stocked with girls imported from Algeria. "The B.M.C.'s would travel with units in the combat zones," Fall wrote, and in general, the French Army in Indochina kept them pretty much out of sight of American newsmen and officials. 'You can ust imagine the howl if some blabbermouth comes out with a tatement to the effect that American funds are used to maintain pordellos for the French Army,' said one colonel." A mobile field rothel, Fall reported, was inside the famous fortress of Dienienphu when the French surrendered.

By the time the Americans had fully replaced the French in ndochina the war had sufficiently disrupted South Vietnamese ociety to a point where it was no longer necessary to import oreign women for the purpose of military prostitution. I do not nean to imply that prostitution was unknown in Vietnam before he long war. As Peter Arnett told me, "Prostitution was a timeonored tradition. Certain heads of families would not think twice efore routinely selling their daughters if they needed the money." ut as the long war progressed, prostitution increasingly became he only viable economic solution for thousands of South Vietamese women. By 1966 the problem had reached such proporions that a Committee for the Defense of the Vietnamese Voman's Human Dignity and Rights was organized in Saigon by everal hundred women educators, writers and social workers, acording to an AP dispatch. The wire service reported that "bitter vords" were expressed at the first meeting. "The miserable condiions of war have forced our people to sell everything-their wives, hildren, relatives and friends-for the American dollar," a woman ducator was quoted. The Committee for the Defense of the ietnamese Woman, overwhelmed by the reality of the Vietnam 'ar, was never heard from again.

The American military got into the prostitution business by egrees, an escalation process linked to the escalation of the war. nderlying the escalation was the assumption that men at war
required the sexual use of women's bodies. Reporter Arnett saw the gradual acceptance of U.S. military-controlled and -regulated brothels as a natural outgrowth of what he called "the McNamara theory": "In 1965 the main idea was to keep the troops contented and satisfied. Ice cream, movies, swimming pools, pizza, hot dogs, laundry service and hootch maids. The hootch maids were brought in as maids, not as prostitutes. Sex with a hootch maid was a private arrangement, a relationship of convenience. A lot of hootch maids did become prostitutes, however, but in the early days if they were discovered at it, they were fired."

The hootch maids were the first step toward accommodation; bar girls and massage parlors soon followed. According to Arnett the rear-area troops caused the most "problems": "There was a lo of discontent and boredom. The men were aware that they were soldiers who weren't fighting, who weren't getting any medals They might drive into town to the illegal brothels, but for reasons of VD and security the brothels were off limits." (Massage parlors, that vague gray area of sexual action from Saigon to New York City, were always considered legal.)

In 1965 the Marine Corps base at Danang began experiment ing with organized battalion trips to town on a once-a-month basis, but according to Arnett it was a disaster: "The men would hil town like animals, they couldn't cope, it was pure chaos." After this early experience the Marine command decided to confine their men to the base camp, but the inviolate law of supply and demand went into operation. A shantytown of brothels, massage parlors and dope dealers, known as Dogpatch, soon ringed the base. "The marines would bust through the wire at night-the Marine command could live with that," the reporter told me.

It was Arnett's opinion (not shared by me) that the U.S Army was "more enlightened" than the Marine Corps when came to sexual accommodation. By 1966 the 1st Cavalry Divisio at An Khe, in the Central Highlands, the 1st Infantry Division a Lai Khe, twenty-five miles north of Saigon, and the $4^{\text {th }}$ Infantr Division at Pleiku had established official military brothels within the perimeter of their base camps.

The Lai Khe "recreation area" belonging to the base camp 0 the 3 rd Brigade, 1st Infantry Division was a one-acre compound surrounded by barbed wire with American MP's standing guard a the gate. It was opened only during daylight hours for securit
reasons. Inside the compound there were shops that sold hot dogs, hamburgers and souvenirs, but the main attraction was two concrete barracks, each about one hundred feet long-the military whorehouses that serviced the four-thousand-man brigade. Each building was outfitted with two bars, a bandstand, and sixty curtained cubicles in which the Vietnamese women lived and worked.

An individual cubicle contained little more than a table with a thin mattress on it and a peg on one wall for the girl's change of lothing. On the opposite wall a Playboy nude centerfold provided decoration and stimulation for the visiting soldier. The women who lived in the Lai Khe recreation-center cubicles were garishly nade up with elaborate, sprayed bouffant hairdos and many had enlarged their breasts with silicone injections as a concession to Western fetish. The sexual service, as Amett described it, was quick, straight and routine," and the women were paid five hunIred piasters (the equivalent of two dollars in American money) for each turn by their GI clients. Americans always paid in piasters. for each trick she turned, a girl would get to keep two hundred piasters (seventy-five cents), the rest going to various levels of payoffs. By turning eight to ten tricks a day a typical prostitute in he Lai Khe compound earned more per month than her GI lients, Arnett advised me-a curious sidelight to a not-so-free interprise system.

Refugees who had lost their homes and families during the war and veterans of the earlier Saigon bar trade formed the stock of the brothel. They were recruited by the province chief, who took iis payoff, and were channeled into town by the mayor of Lai Khe, who also got his cut. The American military, which kept its hands partially clean by leaving the procurement and price arrangement 0 Vietnamese civilians, controlled and regulated the health and ecurity features of the trade. "The girls were checked and swabbed very week for VD by Army medics," my informed source told me pprovingly.

Military brothels on Army base camps ("Sin Cities," "Disneyands" or "boom-boom parlors") were built by decision of a diviion commander, a two-star general, and were under the direct perational control of a brigade commander with the rank of coloel. Clearly, Army brothels in Vietnam existed by the grace of Irmy Chief of Staff William C. Westmoreland, the United States imbassy in Saigon, and the Pentagon.

Venereal disease, mostly gonorrhea, was a major preoccupation of the military in Vietnam. One official brothel outside Saigon had a sign on the wall of the bar that read "girls with tags are clean." Lest the declaration failed to make its point, a sign on the opposite wall spelled out "girls without tags are diseased." It was mandatory for all units to report their incidence of VD to the higher-ups, since it reflected on military discipline as well as on the health of the soldiery, and a high VD count was charged against the merit rating of a battalion. "Most units lied about their VD count," Arnett believed. It was also his understanding that the reported VD rate "was high from the beginning" in relation to other wars and to a normal civilian population. (In 1969 GI's contracted venereal disease in Vietnam at a reported rate of 200 cases per 1,000 persons; the United States rate at the time was 32 per 1,000 .) Company commanders often went to ingenious lengths to lower their counts. One commander, Arnett told me, boasted that there was no VD at all in his company. His method of protecting his men was highly enterprising: "He didn't allow them to use the official brothel, he didn't trust it. It turned out he kept six girls sequestered on his part of the base and had them shot full of penicillin every day."

I am sorry that it is not within the scope of this book to explore the lives of Vietnamese women who became "Occupation: prostitute" as a direct result of the foreign military presence in their country. It is a story that should be told in detail, from the tremendous source of revenue that prostitution provided their beleaguered country, to accounts of Saigon brothels filled with ten-year-old girls, to the incidence of work-related deaths from tuberculosis and venereal disease, and with a special nod of recognition to those who survived. I have dwelt on official U.S. military prostitution, and the concomitant concern for control of venereal disease, because it is necessary to understand the military mind before proceeding to an examination of GI rape.

Except for the Marine Corps, which attempted to enforce a relatively strict moral code, the use of women's bodies on the base camps was seen as a way to "keep the boys happy." Officers werc not expected to engage in whoring; the institution was made avail able for the foot soldier, or "grunt," the fellow with the least to gain from being in Vietnam, the one who needed to be mollified
and pacified-perhaps because he was fighting a war he did not understand and because he daily faced the possibility that he might be killed. As Arnett cautioned me to remember, "These guys were always thinking, 'I'm gonna get screwed tonight-this may be my last.'"

It was this mollification aspect, and not a belief that soldiers required the use of a woman's body out of some intrinsic male urge, that motivated the U.S. Army to get into the prostitution business. A regular tour of duty in Vietnam consisted of a one-year stretch, not an unconscionably long period of time to be without a woman, and relief from sexual tension could be, and I presume routinely was, accomplished by masturbation. As one GI prisoner of war remarked upon his repatriation in February, 1973, "This stuff about not being able to live without sex is nonsense. What I dreamed about was food and medicine." And while the military's emphasis on avoidance of venereal disease is certainly commendable, for all the anti-VD training films and for all the concern about merit ratings, there was no comparable cautionary training against committing rape.

At peak strength the United States had slightly under 550,000 men in South Vietnam, twelve divisions of infantry and marines. Nine men were required to back up and service one man in the field, so there were never more than sixty or seventy thousand men available for combat at any given time and only one-fifth of these men were operating in highly populated areas. From what we already know about the rape of women in war, we can say that no more than fourteen thousand GI's in Vietnam at any given time had the two prerequisites: access and opportunity. It stands to reason that there would be fewer incidents of rape, overall, in the highlands because there were fewer Vietnamese people in this forbidding terrain. In contrast, the two divisions that worked in the heart of the population-the gth Division in the Mekong Delta and the Americal Division (to which Lieutenant William Calley belonged), which operated along the central coast-had particuarly bad reputations for atrocity.

Despite the intense propaganda throughout the long war, our Imerican soldiers did not believe that they were "liberating" anyone, nor were they perceived as liberators. Men in the field were perpetually in a tenuous, frustrating semicombat situation. As

Arnett described it, "There were no fixed targets, no objectives, no highways to take-it was patrol and repatrol, search and destroy. Anything outside the perimeter of the base camp or the nearest government-controlled village was enemy territory, and all civilians were treated as enemy. It was so easy to rape on a squad level Soldiers would enter a village without an interpreter. Nobody spoke Vietnamese. It was an anonymous situation. Any American could grab any woman as a suspect and there was little or no recourse to the law by the people."

Raping and looting go hand in hand in warfare but there was little to loot in the villages of South Vietnam. Arnett believed that the juxtaposition of fragile, small-boned Vietnamese women against tall, strong American men created an exaggerated mascu-line-feminine dynamic that lent itself readily to rape (a similar situation had occurred in Bangladesh). He thought that the Americans participated more in gang rape than in individual as sault, the style of the South Vietnamese Army, "because the Americans were trained in the buddy system, for security. They were warned against the dangers of individual fraternizing on oper ations." The likelihood of sexual assault diminished, he believed, "if the company commander was present-a career officer, a captain or a lieutenant. The noncoms and soldiers had less at stake., His final observation, shared by his Vietnamese wife, his wife's family and others he knew, was that whatever the incidence of atrocity from 1965 on, "the Americans' personal conduct was far better historically than the French, their mercenaries, or the Japanese."
U.S. Army court-martial statistics for rape and related charges in Vietnam from January 1, 1965, to January 31, 1973, are as follows:

| Rape |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| tried | 38 |
| convicted | 24 |
| Rape and Assault | (Combined Charge) |
| tried | 5 |
| convicted | 3 |
| Assault with Intent to Commrt Rape |  |
| tried | 8 |
| convicted | 4 |


| Attempted Rape <br> tried | 18 |
| :--- | :--- |
| convicted | 10 |
| Sodomy |  |
| tried | 11 |
| convicted | 5 |
| Atrempted Sodomy |  |
| tried | 5 |
| convicted | 3 |
| Carnal Knowledge(Statutory Rape) <br> tried | 1 |
| convicted | 1 |

Total tried: 86 . Total convicted: 50 . Conviction rate: $5^{8}$ percent.
To avoid redundancy and overkill I have spared my readers a stopover in Korea during this long march through the history of rape in war. However, partial figures for Army court-martial convictions from May 31, 1951, to May 30, 1953, are available. Rape: 23; assault with intent to commit rape: 9 . Thus, in a two-year period in Korea there were more convictions in these two categories than there were during eight years of U.S. involvement in Vietnam. It also should be kept in mind that the United States had a peak troop strength in Korea of 394,000 men while the peak troop strength in Vietnam reached 543,400 . It is possible to conclude from this limited comparison that the rape rate was higher per soldier in Korea (unlikely) or that investigatory and court-martial procedures for rape-related charges were more lax in Vietnam.

Court-martial statistics for other branches of the service in Vietnam were more difficult to obtain. In my many inquiries to the different branches of the military I found the Army Judiciary to be the most open and most cooperative of all, and this despite the Army's recognition that in a sense there was little to gain from cooperation. As a retired colonel in the Judge Advocate General's office told me, "Whatever we give you, some people will say the Army is a bunch of criminals and the rest will say we run kangaroo courts."

Air Force court-martial statistics for rape and related charges in Vietnam, Thailand and the Philippines from 1965 to 1973 were:

| Rape |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| tried | 2 |
| convicted | 1 rape; 1 lesser offense |

Article 134 ("lewd, lascivious and indecent acts")*
tried
convicted
12
8

The Air Force also released to me their world-wide figures for this time span, including offenses traceable to base camps in the continental United States:

Convictions for Rape
Additional convictions, men charged with rape but convicted of a lesser offense 11
Convictions under Art. 134

Because of a conversion to computerized information retrieval, I was told, court-martial statistics for the Navy and the Marine

[^6]Corps in Vietnam were available only for the period from 1970 to 1973 and were limited to convictions for rape, carnal knowledge and "lesser offenses." The Navy man explained the paucity of figures from his branch with the astute comment, "Remember, we didn't live there."


What meaning can be read into all of these figures? As an indicator of the actual number of rapes committed by the American military in Vietnam they are practically worthless. If in the United States a mere one in five rapes is reported, what percentage might have been reported in Vietnam, where a victim who survived the assault knew no English, had little or no recourse to the law, and was considered an enemy, a gook, a slope, a slant, or a "female Oriental" in the legal language of the courts-martial briefs?

A Clerk of the Court in the U.S. Army Judiciary could provide me with no raw arrest figures to compare with actual courtsmartial, nor could he give me an official breakdown on the length of sentence imposed. My cursory examination of a handful of convictions led me to believe that a sentence of two to eight years t hard labor might be typical for rape, even in cases in which the rictim had been murdered; that sodomy, attempted rape and atempted sodomy were preferred as charges because they carried esser penalties; and that sentences were routinely cut in half by a oard of review.

New Yorker writer Daniel Lang detailed one specific incident fl gang rape in Vietnam. In November, 1966, a squad of five men on reconnaissance patrol approached the tiny hamlet of Cat Tuong, in the Central Highlands. Their five-day mission was to ave been a general search for VC in the area, but when they intered the village they searched instead for a young girl to take long with them for five days of "boom boom." It was understood yy the men that at the end of the patrol they would have to kill her
and hide her body. Lang used pseudonyms for the five soldiers and the real name of the victim, Phan Thi Mao, a name that the soldiers never learned until their court-martial proceedings.

Mao was picked out by the men because for some reason a gold tooth in her mouth amused them. She was perhaps twenty years old. As the soldiers knew precisely the intent of their action, so, too, did the women of the village, who cowered, wept and clung to one another as Mao's hands were bound efficiently behind her back before she was marched down the road. In one of the most pathetic incidents of the entire affair, Mao's mother ran after the soldiers with her daughter's scarf, the only act of protection she could think of. One of the men took it and tied it around their captive's mouth.

Of the five men in the patrol only one, Private First Class Sven Eriksson, did not participate in Mao's rape and murder. As Lang described the ordeal, individual acts of superfluous cruelty practiced on Mao appeared to be a competition for a masculinity pecking order. Eriksson, for refusing to take his turn in Mao's gang rape, was derided by the patrol leader, Sergeant Tony Meserve, as a queer and a chicken. One of the followers, Manuel Diaz, later haltingly told the military prosecutor that fear of ridicule had made him decide to go along with the rest: "Okay, let's say you are on a patrol. These guys right here are going to start laughing you out Pretty soon you're going to be an outcast from the platoon."

After her murder, Phan Thi Mao was reported as "one VC, killed in action." Eriksson's resolve that the crime would not go unpunished met with a curious wall of resistance from his superiors back in the base camp, and the men in his platoon who heard the story began to view him as a whistle-blowing troublemaker. He became half convinced that he narrowly escaped a fragging. "Whatever I could do depended on finding someone with both the rank and the conscience to help me," he told Daniel Lang. "Otherwise I'd stay boxed in by the chain of command."

Summarily transferred to another camp one day after the alleged fragging, Eriksson finally managed to tell his story to a sympathetic Mormon chaplain who alerted the Criminal Investigation Division. Mao's decomposing body was found on the hill where Eriksson said it would be and her sister was located and was carefully interrogated. A separate court-martial was held for each of the four men in the winter of the following year. In each of the
rials Eriksson's manhood was brought into question by the deense. "It was just that he was less than average as far as being one If the guys," a sergeant in his old platoon testified, while Sergeant
ony Meserve was depicted by his superior officer as "one of the est combat soldiers I have known." With one exception the efendants went through their courts-martial convinced they were uilty of no wrongdoing. The man who drew the heaviest penalty, fe imprisonment, had his sentence cut on review to eight years.

Rape played a role during the most infamous atrocity of the ietnam war, the My Lai massacre of March 16, 1968. Thanks to eymour M. Hersh, who broke the story of the Army investigation My Lai and the subsequent court-martial of Lieutenant William . Calley, and who later wrote a meticulous account of My Lai's estruction, we are able to glimpse the casual, continuing war gainst women contained within a larger assault.

According to Hersh and others, including New York Times eporter Joseph Lelyveld, members of Charlie Company, Captain rnest Medina's unit within the Americal Division, had begun busing women near their base camp in Quang Ngai Province a onth before the destruction of My Lai. Although these rapes ere common knowledge in the unit, there were no official repriands. One gang rape and murder of a peasant woman, set upon hile she labored in a field with her baby at her side, had even een photographed step by step by one of the participants with his istamatic camera.

Charlie Company was a "grunt" unit. "As always," Hersh rote, "the men assigned to infantry units were those who upon tering service performed poorly on the various Army qualificaon and aptitude examinations." Most of the men in C Company volunteered for the draft; most were between the ages of ghteen and twenty-two; nearly half were black.

The systematic shooting of old men, women and children at y Lai began at breakfast time. By 10:30 A.M. most of the wanton struction of unarmed human beings (accounts vary between 109 d 567 lives; the Army's Criminal Investigation Division settled 347) had already been accomplished and the soldiers were oling themselves out-loafing, smoking, methodically setting fire whatever huts and houses remained standing, and shooting ragglers and wounded survivors with short bursts of fire. It was at is time that enlisted men Jay Roberts and Ron Haeberle, a
reporter-photographer team assigned by the Department of De fense to officially record the My Lai "operation," witnessed theil first attempted rape of the day. Hersh transcribed their impres sions: "A few men now singled out a slender Vietnamese girl 0 about fifteen. They tore her from the group and started to pull at her blouse. They attempted to fondle her breasts. The women an children were screaming and crying. One G.I. yelled, 'Let's what she's made of.' Another said, 'V.C. Boom Boom,' meanin she was a Viet Cong whore. . . . An old lady began fighting with fanatical fury, trying to protect the girl. Roberts said, 'She fighting off two or three guys at once. She was fantastic. Usuall they're pretty passive. . . . They hadn't even gotten that chick's blouse off when Haeberle came along.' One of the G.I.s finally smacked the old woman with his rifle butt; another booted her in the rear."

Aware of the presence of an Army photographer, a G abruptly resolved the incident by shooting both women. Haeberle's photograph, a shot taken seconds before the double murder, published in Life magazine twenty-one months later when story of My Lai was made public. Back in Vietnam, Senator Trar Van Don, a leader of the "loyal opposition" to the Thieu-K government, was conducting his own investigation into the My La affair. He routinely showed the Life photograph to Do Tan Nhon a hamlet chief who had survived the massacre. Nhon identified the two women in the picture as his wife and daughter.

Senator Don's investigation turned up further accounts o rape, attempted rape and rape-murder during the assault on M Lai. A rice farmer named Le Tong saw a woman raped after the GI's had killed her children. A peasant named Khoa witnessed rape and murder of a thirteen-year-old girl. The same attacker then turned on Khoa's wife, but before they could rape her, Khoa' small son, riddled with bullets, fell on his mother's body, covering her with blood. The soldiers lost interest in the woman and move away.

Seymour Hersh questioned each of his soldier-informant about rape at My Lai. He concluded, "Most of the company kne" there were rapes that day in March, but remained reluctant to tall about them." A philosophic explanation from John Smail, a squad leader in the 3rd Platoon, struck Hersh as quotable. "That's
everyday affair," Smail said. "You can nail just about everybody on that-at least once. The guys are human, man."

Informant John Paul told Hersh that later in the evening ome of the GI's brought two women from one of the My Lai pamlets down to the beach, but Paul wasn't certain what happened to them. Gregory Olsen and Roy Wood recalled a vivid incident furing the following morning's mopping-up operation. Three Viethamese men and a woman were sighted running from a burning put in one of the hamlets and members of the 2nd Platoon gave thase. The men got away but the woman was caught. Olsen saw the woman stripped naked and flung over a GI's shoulder. "He said he was going to put it to her, but she was too dirty," Olsen eported. Roy Wood had a more specific recollection. The whole nd Platoon "caught her ass," he remembered. "They all raped her . . . tore her up." Bleeding badly, the woman later managed 0 make an escape. Most of Charlie Company eventually learned of the 2nd Platoon's group rape and the grapevine had it that the voman was a North Vietnamese Army nurse. "She sure must have een tough," Wood mused with some wonderment. "She took on Il of them."

Helicopter door gunner Ronald L. Ridenhour was the con-cience-striken GI whose persistent letters to Washington did nuch to keep alive the My Lai investigation. Ridenhour's first view as from the air a few days after Charlie Company's assault. The amlet was deserted. Flying over a rice paddy, Ridenhour and his ilot sighted a body on the field below. The pilot propelled their raft downward for a closer look. "It was a woman," Ridenhour ter said with emotion. "She was spread-eagled, as if on display. he had an 11 th Brigade patch between her legs-as if it were me type of display, some badge of honor."

At least three members of Charlie Company were formally harged with rape in connection with the My Lai massacre. Alrough the Army eventually confirmed in its official findings that stematic rapes had indeed taken place, the charges against the ceused men were quietly dropped.

Three months after My Lai, another gang rape by members of he 11th Infantry Brigade, Americal Division, occurred near divion headquarters at Chu Lai. Adjudged "a serious incident" by the ilitary, this case involved two officers and at least four enlisted
they had witnessed and committed during their period of service in Vietnam. They were now Vietnam Veterans Against the War and they named their convocation "The Winter Soldier Investigation: An Inquiry into American War Crimes." Using the vernacular of young men whose wartime aggressor experience had left them cynical, guilt-ridden and wised-up fast, they spoke to one anothe and to their audience with a mixture of anguish and toughness From vet to vet, the stories they told were amazingly similar: cans of C rations thrown from trucks and deliberately aimed at the heads of Vietnamese beggar children who lined the road; "Mad Minutes"-indiscriminate firing along the perimeter of the base camp; "the Bell Telephone Hour"-wiring the genital areas of male and female prisoners to field phones during interrogation procedures; the burning of villages; the destruction of crops; and always, the special systematic abuse of women.

Sergeant Scott Camil, a forward observer with the 1st Bat talion, 11 th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division from March 1966, to November, 1967, and later a leader of the VVAW, had this exchange with a panel moderator:

Camil: When we went through the villages and searched people the women would have all their clothes taken off and the men would use their penises to probe them to make sure the didn't have anything hidden anywhere. And this was raping b it was done as searching.

Moderator: As searching. Were there any officers present there?

Camil: Yes there were.
Moderator: Was this done on a company level?
CamiL: Company level.
Moderator: The company commander was around when this happened?

Camil: Right.
Moderator: Did he approve of it or did he look the othe way or-

Camil: He never said not to or never said anything about it The main thing was that if an operation was covered by the press there were certain things we weren't supposed to do, but if there was no press there, it was okay. I saw one case where a woman was shot by a sniper, one of our snipers. When we got up to hed she was asking for water. And the lieutenant said to kill her. So
ripped off her clothes, they stabbed her in both breasts, they spread her eagle and shoved an E tool up her vagina, an entrenching tool, and she was still asking for water. And then they took that out and they used a tree limb and then she was shot.

Moderator: Did the men in your outfit, or when you witnessed these things, did they seem to think it was all right to do anything to the Vietnamese?

Camil: It wasn't like they were humans. . . . They were a gook or a Commie and it was okay.*

Lance Corporal Thomas Heidtman, 3rd Battalion, 5 th Marine Regiment, 1st Marine Division, 1966-1967, described a sideline of the "Burning 5 th Marines":

One thing that was more or less like a joke . . . and it would get a laugh every time from somebody, was if we were moving through a village and there was a woman present. Her clothes, at least the top half of her clothes, were just ripped. I've seen that happen, and done it several times, probably thirty, forty times I've seen civilians with their clothes just-just because they were female and they were old enough for somebody to get a laugh at-their clothes, the top of their clothes, at least, would be ripped. Just torn right down. It only takes one hand to rip those kind of clothing. They're real thin silk or whatever, and they would be shoved out into the ditch and we'd just keep going.

Sergeant Michael McClusker was with the Public Information Office, I Corps, 1st Marine Division in 1966-1967, "which meant that I was a reporter-photographer and spent all of my time out in the field. It was almost like watching the same film strip coninually, time after time after time."

The next instance happened also in the same month of September when a squad of nine men, that was a Chu Lai rifle squad, went into this village. They were supposed to go after what they called a Viet Cong whore. They went into the village and instead of capturing her, they raped her-every man raped her.

From the testimony of Camil and others it becomes evident that the grotesueries and mutilations practiced by America's famous Boston Strangler (see pages 200 to 206) were no different in concept and execution from those efformed by American GI's in Vietnam.

As a matter of fact, one man said to me later that it was the first time he had ever made love to a woman with his boots on. The man who led the squad was actually a private. The squad leader was a sergeant but he was a useless person and he let the private take over his squad. Later he said he took no part in the raid, it was against his morals. So instead of telling his squad not to do it, because they wouldn't listen to him anyway, the sergeant went into another side of the village and just sat and stared bleakly at the ground, feeling sorry for himself. But at any rate, they raped the girl, and then, the last man to make love to her shot her in the head.

Sergeant Jamie Henry was nineteen years old in $1967-1968$ when he served with the 4 th Infantry Division. He told the conference that he had reported his information to the Army's Criminal Investigation Division. "I gave them names, dates, grid coordinates, etc., but they'll probably say it's a lie."

We moved into a small hamlet, 19 women and children were rounded up as VCS-Viet Cong Suspects-and the lieutenant that rounded them up called the captain on the radio and he asked what should be done with them. The captain simply repeated the order that came down from the colonel that morning, to kill any' thing that moves, which you can take any way you want to take it. . . . I looked toward where the supposed VCS were, and two men were leading a young girl, approximately 19 years old, very pretty, out of a hootch. She had no clothes on so I assumed she had been raped, which was pretty SOP, and she was thrown onto the pile of the 19 women and children, and five men around th circle opened up on full automatic with their M-16s. And that was the end of that. Now there was a lieutenant who heard this over the radio in our company-he was going nuts. He was going to report it to everybody. After that day he calmed down and th next day he didn't say anything about it.

Specialist/4 Joe Galbally, who served in the 198th Light In fantry Brigade, Americal Division, 1967-1968, said, "I was a Pfc. in an infantry company, which meant that there was about seventy five of us turned loose on the civilian population in Vietnam."

These people are aware of what American soldiers do to them so naturally they tried to hide the young girls. We found one hiding
in a bomb shelter in sort of the basement of her house. She was taken out, raped by six or seven people in front of her family, in front of us, and the villagers. This wasn't just one incident; this was just the first one I can remember. I know of 10 or 15 of such incidents at least.

Sergeant Michael Hunter served two tours of duty in Vietam, from 1968 to 1970. His first tour was with the 1st Air Cavalry pivision.

Now as far as atrocities, my company, Bravo Company, 5th of the 7 th, when we were outside of Hué shortly after the Tet offensive, went into a village-and this happened repeatedly after wards-and searched for enemy activity. We encountered a large amount of civilian population. The civilian population was brought out to one end of the village, and the women, who were guarded by a squad and a squad leader at that time, were separated. I might say the young women were separated. . . . They were told at gunpoint that if they did not submit to the sexual desires of any GI who was there guarding them, they would be shot for running away. And this was put in the language as best possible for people who cannot speak Vietnamese, and they got the point across because three women submitted to the raping of the GIs.

Specialist/4 Timon Hagelin from Philadelphia was assigned the Graves Registration Platoon, 243rd Field Service Company, Logistics Command in 1968-1969. He was sent to Dak To.

While I was on the base taking care of KIAs as they came through, I made friends with people in my company that I considered basically nice people. We used to get together at night and talk. I went down to a certain place where they all were and as I approached it, I heard a scream. Someone was obviously very scared. As I got down to the door, I called one of my friends. He punched this chick on the side of the head. The girl was, you know, Vietnamese people are a lot smaller than American people. It doesn't take that much to hurt one of those people, you know? They gave her a couple of good shots and the girl finally started yelling, "Me do, me do, me do," and about seven of them ripped her off. I know the guys, and I know basically they're not really bad people, you know? I just couldn't figure out what was going on to make the people like this do it. It was just part of the everyday routine, you know.

Captain John Mallory, civic action officer for the 1st Squadron 11 th Armored Cavalry Regiment, 1st Air Cavalry Division 1969-1970, testified with precision.

On one occasion a North Vietnamese Anny nurse was killed by the 11th Armored Cavalry troops; subsequently a grease gun of the type used in automotive work was placed in her vagina and she was packed full of grease.

Specialist/5 Don Dzagulones, an interrogator with the $635^{\text {th }}$ Military Intelligence Detachment, attached to the 11 th Infantr) Brigade, Americal Division in Quang Ngai Province in 1969, men tioned that "most of the prisoners we had were women. It wasn't uncommon to have a mother and daughter coming in the same group of prisoners."

Another time they brought in a woman prisoner who also was alleged to be a spy. They continued the interrogation in a bunker and she wouldn't talk. I don't think she even gave them her name So they stripped off her clothing and they threatened to rape het which had no effect on her at all. She was very stoic. She just stood there and looked at them defiantly. So they threatened to burn her pubic hairs. And I guess it wasn't done on purpose, I'm sure d that, but they lighted a cigarette lighter and she caught on fire She went into shock. I guess she was unconscious, so they called the medics. The medics came and they gave the medics instructions to take her to the hospital under the pretext of being in a com from malaria, which they did. And nothing was ever done about that.

As a matter of historical record, by the time The Winter Soldier Investigation had been convened, the feminist movement and the antiwar movement had gone their separate and distind ways, each absorbed with its own issues to the exclusion of the other, with no small amount of bitterness among movemen troopers whose energies, ideologies and sense of priority pulled them in one direction or the other. As a woman totally committed to the feminist cause I received several requests during this time to march, speak and "bring out my sisters" to antiwar demonstrations "to show women's liberation solidarity with the peace movement.' and my response was that if the peace movement cared to raise the
ssue of rape and prostitution in Vietnam, I would certainly join in. This was met with stony silence on the part of antiwar activists whose catchwords of the day were "anti-imperialism" and "Amerian aggression," and for whom the slogan-it appeared on butons - "Stop the Rape of Vietnam" meant the defoliation of crops, pot the abuse of women. Communications between feminist roups and antiwar groups were tense as they sought to raise our onsciousness and we sought to raise our own. I am sorry that the pace movement did not consider the abuse of women in Vietnam n issue important and distinctive enough to stand on its own herits, and I am sorry that we in the women's movement, strugling to find our independent voices, could not call attention to his women's side of the war by ourselves. The time was not ight.


## 4

## Riots, Pogroms and Revolutions

Uprisings, riots, revolutions and minor skirmishes with racial and political overtones all have provided an outlet, and some times even an ideological excuse, for men to practice rape on women. And sometimes, when it suited propaganda purposes, in dividual cases of rape were actually preserved in the form of deposi: tions taken from the injured women, eyewitness accounts from unimpeachable observers, newspaper stories and agitational pam-phlets-admittedly with partisan motive, and most rarely, long after the fact in official investigations.

The attitude of historians toward this kind of documentation has usually been to ignore it as tangential, inconsequential or ${ }^{35}$ possessing dubious validity. Occasionally an account of rape slips into a history book as a bit of color, a paragraph of vivid, guts) writing, but it is never treated as more than an isolated incident And nowhere has this mob rape been equated with the everyday "domestic" occurrence, the kind that until recently was viewed ? "aberrant" behavior. Instead, historical rape-rape connected with those apocalyptic events that try men's souls, etc.-has been equated with the nether regions of "atrocity" that after the fact is always suspect because it is so unpleasant, so shocking that wi would prefer to consider it exaggeration. And too, as we know, the experience of women is always suspect, and discounted. Anothe reason for the relegation of rape to the area of suspect is that those who sought to expose it invariably had a political motivation; and
he true underside of women's history emerge.

## The American Revolution

As early as 1768 American patriots began collecting reports of exual abuses by British soldiers. In response to colonial unrest ecause of the unpopular Townshend Acts, British troops landed n Boston that September, creating in effect a garrison city. Coninuing friction between the soldiers and the local populace evenually culminated in the famous Boston Massacre, but from the noment the British forces landed, an anonymous group of Ameri-ans-Henry Knox, the Greenleafs, John Adams and Josiah Quincy hay have been among them-secretly prepared a weekly account city life under military rule and distributed their reports to mpathetic liberal newspapers in Boston, New York and London. he weekly unsigned column, known as A Journal of the Times or Journal of Occurrences, appeared in print for more than a year, rminating as suddenly as it began. The Journal, according to one istorian, was "the first systematic gathering and retailing of news und in American newspapers," a sort of pre-wire-service syndiation.

It was the patriots' stated purpose to show what happens to a ty under "the insolence of power." Among the news items they thered to give evidence of "the great impropriety and grievance quartering troops in town" was a considerable amount of tempted rape. Continuing sexual harassment of Boston's women the British forces was viewed by the patriots not as a series of nrelated, irrational acts performed by boisterous soldiers, but as integral part of colonial oppression. "Perhaps," they wrote, "by eating the most respectable of our inhabitants in this sort, it is tended to impress our minds with formidable ideas of a military vernment, that we may be induced the sooner to give up such ifling things as rights and privileges." Some relevant items:

November 9, 1768: A married woman living in Long Lane, returning home in the night, was seized by the neck and almost
strangled, she was then thrown upon the ground and treated with great indecencies. Another woman at New Boston was rudely handled. . . . The mention of such abuses as these is by no mean intended to insinuate a want of care in the commanding officers but to show the great impropriety and grievance of quartering troops in the town. . . . These are times in which no inhabitant knows what ground he stands upon, or can call his own.

December 12, 1768: A married lady of this town was the othe evening, when passing from one house to another, taken hold of by a soldier, who otherways behaved to her with great rudeness. woman near Long Lane was stopped by several soldiers, one of whom cried out seize her and carry her off; she was much surprised but luckily got shelter in a house nearby. Another woman pursued by a soldier into a house near the north end, who dared to enter the same, and behave with great insolence. . . . These art further specimens of what we are to expect from our new corr servators of the peace.

April 30, 1769: The quartering of troops in the body of a town is as ruinous to the soldiery as it is distressing to the inhabitants every day furnishes out instances of their debaucheries and sequent violences. As an aged woman at the north end of Bostor was setting the other evening in a lower room, having no person in the house with her, a soldier came in and seeing her have Bible on the table before her, he expressed his approbation of he piety and attempted a kind of exposition upon some parts thereof But soon dropping this discourse, he acquainted her that he had? bad swelling on his hip and should be glad of her advice. Whik the good woman was attending to his relation, this abandoned wretch seized her by the shoulders, threw her upon the floor, and not withstanding her years, attempted a rape upon her, whic was prevented by the resistance and screams occasioned by hi brutal behavior. He thought it proper to hurry off, taking with hiif a bundle of shirts and other linen, which had just been sent in the house for washing and ironing, a business which the per followed to obtain a livelihood.

May 17, 1769: A woman at the north end enter'd a complaint with Mr. Justice Ruddock against a soldier and some others for violent attempt upon her, but a rape was prevented by the timel appearance of a number of persons for protection, when the soldio made his escape.

July 3, 1769: On Tuesday morning the 27th of June, a womar going to the south market for a fish stopt at the shop of Mr. Cha under Liberty Tree, appearing to be faint. They got some water, bu
on raising her up she died instantly. A jury of inquest was summoned, and upon examination she appeared to be one Sarah Johnson, of Bridgewater, on whom it appeared by evidence and several marks that violence had been perpetrated the 24th inst. by soldiers unknown, which probably was the cause of her death. Several physicians who were called in upon the occasion declared that upon examining the body, they observed sundry livid spots, which evidently demonstrated violence. From the combined appearances, upon opening the body, they were of the opinion that she had been recently ravished, and had resisted to the utmost; and that the over exertion of her strength might probably terminate in a syncope or faintness, which they thought might be the immediate cause of her death.

A cavalier view from the other side was recorded when the merican Revolution was in full swing by Captain Francis awdon, who wrote to his uncle, the Earl of Huntingdon, in igust, 1776 , that he appreciated Southern women because they d not publicly take issue after being raped by British soldiers. As the women of Staten Island, they were "fair nymphs" for the itish soldiers, who were "as riotous as satyrs. A girl cannot step to the bushes to pluck a rose without running the most immint risk of being ravished, and they are so little accustomed to ese vigorous methods that they don't bear them with the proper ignation, and of consequence we have most entertaining courts artial every day."
By contrast a terse communiqué from Colonel George easam to General Anthony Wayne in January, 1777: "The emy make great devastation in their retreat, burning without tinction Tory's as well as Whig's houses. Great part of Princevn destroyed. Tory's as well as Whig's wives and daughters ished and carried off with them."
The British and Hessian campaigns in New York and New sey were the most notorious for abuses against civilians, and counts of rape were sometimes written up by correspondents for Pennsylvania Evening Post.

Since I wrote to you this morning I have had an opportunity of hearing a number of the particulars of the horrid depredations committed by that part of the British army which was stationed at and near Pennytown, under the command of Lord Cornwallis.

Besides the sixteen women who had fled to the woods to avoid their brutality and were there seized and carried off, one man had the cruel mortification to have his wife and only daughter (a chilg of ten years of age) ravished; this he himself, almost choked with grief, uttered in lamentations to his friend, who told me of it, an also informed me that another girl of thirteen years of age taken from her father's house, carried to a barn about a mile, the ravished, and afterwards made use of by five more of these brutes Numbers of instances of the same kind of behavior I am assurec of have happened. . . . Another instance of their brutality pened near Woodbridge: one of the most respectable gentlemes in that part of the country was alarmed by the cries and shriek of a most lovely daughter; he found an officer, a British officer, in the act of ravishing her. He instantly put him to death; two othe officers rushed in with fusees, and fired two balls into the father who is now languishing under his wounds.

An unsigned contemporary narrative of the battles of Trenton and Princeton, written in 1777, described specific burnings, rob bings and plunderings during " 26 days of tyranny" and then remarked:

The Damages Done by these Plunderings and Desolation must amount very high and Occasion much Trouble to the Suf ferers. Yet they are Vastly short of Another Horrid Outrage thi I have not yet mentioned, I mean the Ravishing of Women, which by a Great Defect in Human Nature that is against both Justice and Reason We Despise these poor Innocent Sufferers in this Brutz Crime Even as long as they live. In time of Peace to avoid s miserable and lasting Reproach I am of the Opinion That manf honest virtuous women have suffered in this Manner and kept Secret for fear of making their lives miserable and so many ${ }^{\text {a }}$ those Capital Crimes escape Punishment. In time of War whe those Unnatural Miscreants are sure of Getting off with Impunit they commit them the more frequently.

The anonymous narrator took note of the ravishments alread mentioned in "the Friendly Post" and added "Another Tretche ous Villany":

There was two of Gen. Howe's light Horsemen Quartered Pensneck about two miles from Princetown Who Pretended to

Young Woman that they was Searching for Rebels, and had been Informed that some of them were Secreeted in the Barn and desired her to go with them and Show them the most Secret Places there. And She (Knowing that no body was there) to convince them, Went to the Barn with them to show them that no body was there. And when they had got her there, one of them Laid hold on her Strangled her to Prevent her crying out while the Villain Ravisht her, and when he had done, he Strangled her Again While the Other Brute Repeated the horrid crime upon her again. She is a Farmer's Daughter but her name with her Father's must be kept Secreet to Avoid the Reproach above Mentioned.
lot only was this author mindful that guilt for a rape devolves pon the female victim-a lifetime of observation led also to this onclusion: "This is far Worse in this Respect than an Indian Var, for I Never heard nor read of their Ravishing of Women lotwithstanding their cruelty to their captives."

On New Year's Day, 1777, General George Washington sued a special order to his Continental Army forbidding the plundering of any person": ". . . it is expected that humanity hd tenderness to women and children will distinguish brave mericans, contending for liberty, from infamous mercenary ravgers, whether British or Hessians." Washington's army was not pe-free and there were executions for this crime (see page 31), ut as might be expected, the pattern of sexual abuse was imposed the aggressor army fighting on foreign soil. That same January e Continental Congress appointed a committee to look into regular conduct on the part of King George's army and in April e committee issued its report.
The report was divided into four sections: devastation of untry and destruction of property; inhumane treatment of prishers; savage butchery of those incapable of resistance; and the use of women. Part Four, the abuse of women, was written with eat delicacy.

The committee had authentic information of many instances of the most indecent treatment and actual ravishment of married and single women; but such is the nature of that most irreparable injury that the persons suffering it, though perfectly innocent, look upon it as a kind of reproach to have the facts related and their names known. Some complaints were made to the commanding
officers on this subject, and one affidavit made before a justice of the peace, but the committee could not learn that any satisfaction was ever given, or punishment inflicted, except that one soldier in Pennington was kept in custody for part of a day.

Attempting a political analysis, the committee concluded that these abuses reflected the attitude of a soldiery "towards a people whom they have been taught to look upon, not as freemen defending their rights on principle, but as desperadoes and profligates who have risen up against law and order in general and wish the subver sion of society itself. This is the most charitable and candid man ner in which the conmittee can account for the melancholy truths which they have been obliged to report."

The Continental Congress ordered the printing of six thou sand copies of the committee report (two thousand in German) for distribution among enemy soldiers, but historians are uncertain that this proviso was ever carried out. As a matter of fact, committee had far more than one affidavit at its disposal. In th county of Hunterdon, New Jersey, a justice of the peace named Jared Saxton collected six affidavits in a two-day period. Each incident of rape had taken place in Hunterdon County "sometime in December last past," most of the abuses involved three or more soldiers who came to the house saying they were looking for rebels and at least two of the women were dragged off to the British encampment for further assaults. Each of the victims (Mar) Campbell, five months' pregnant; Abigail Palmer, thirteen year old; the sisters Elizabeth and Sarah Cain; Mary Phillips, a widow; and Rebekhah Christopher) was illiterate and signed her depos tion with her mark.

Mary Campbell's affidavit was fairly typical:
New Jersey
Hunterdon County
Mary Campbell wife of Daniel Campbel in the County aforesaid being Sworn on the Holy Evangelists of Almighty Deposeth and saith that sometime in December last past, a null ber of Soldiers belonging to the King of Great Britain's Arml came to the House of her Father, where she the Deponent ther was, when one of the Soldiers asked her to go to the Door \& said he wanted to speak with her, which she Refused \& told him b might speak to her in the House if he had anything to say, whe Two of them Seized hold of her Arms \& dragged her out of th

House to an old Shop near the Dwelling House, Broke open the Door \& pulled her in against all her cries \& Intreaties \& Swore if she did not hold her Tongue they would Run her thro with a Bayonet; her mother also plead with them \& Intreated of them not to use her Daughter in such a Base \& Cruel manner, but to no purpose. But finally three of said Soldiers Successively had Knowledge of the Body of this Deponent, she being five months \& upwards Advanced in her Pregnancy at that Time \& further this Deponent Saith not.
Sworn Before me March the 22 Day 1777 -
Jared Saxton, one of the Justices her
Assigned to keep the Peace in \& for Mary $m$ Campbell the said County of Hunterdon mark

As members of a scapegoat minority, Jewish women were istorical victims of rape during the fearful pogroms in Poland and ussia or wherever they happened to live. The Cossack revolt of 648 under the leadership of the Hetman Bogdan Chmelnitzky as significant to Ukrainian nationalism but it was a memorable isaster for the Ukrainian Jews, who were known to the Cossacks the people who collected the rent for the hated Polish absentee ndlords. (Jews, who were not allowed by law to own land, had een forced into a disagreeable role as agents of commerce.) hmelnitzky's Cossacks rode through the tiny villages and gave ent to their nationalism by raping Jewish women and maiming nd killing others of both sexes, including children, who happened cross their path.
Chmelnitzky's pogroms were replicated in the Ukraine in $881-1882$, where again the issue for the peasants was the presence the Jewish rent collectors, and periodically thereafter: $1891-1892$, 903-1906 and 1919-1920. Each wave had its own set of ideologi$l$ circumstances, each wave raised the slogan "Beat the Jews" as a llying cry for God and country, and each wave featured the rape Jewish women. The Czarist government was not without comlicity, for with its existence threatened by revolution, "Beat the "ws" became a strategic divertisement from its own repressions.
A writer, Ande Manners, has noted that the early pogroms lad a desultory, amateurish quality: a few hundred feather beds
slashed; houses and shops looted; several dozen people killed; here and there, a few skulls fractured, broken limbs, and girls and women raped." The slashing of feather beds had a double signifi cance, she tells us. Besides being symbolic of the good married life-the soft mattresses were the dowries of Jewish brides-"occa sionally apprehensive parents rolled up their pretty young daugh. ters in them, to conceal the girls from predatory, lustful Cossacks.' Other hiding places were pickle barrels-the opera singer Beverly Sills's mother was hidden in one during a pogrom-and mounds of earth or sand. (Similar hiding places were used by Southern blacks when the KKK was riding.) Later pogroms were characterized by all-out murder, but rape was not abandoned as a tactic. It became a prelude to murder, forgotten in the horror of the larger act. ${ }^{*}$

The wave of pogroms that began in 1919 took place amid the general confusion (and umbrella) of the Russian Revolution, during the bitter civil war between the Whites and the Reds. Rowdy volunteers from Denikin and Kornilov's White Army, for whom "Beat the Jews" had long been the answer to everything, including now, the Communist menace, had a field day of wanton destruc tion. Reports from the Russian Red Cross and from Zionist organi zations have furnished the documentation. (The Soviets were concerned about the plight of the Jews, but not overly so; they were waging a revolution. Their advice was "Join the Red Army!") According to a Red Cross report, a typical pogrom on a small Jewish shtetl went like this: "The gang breaks into the township, spreads all over the streets, separate groups break into the Jewish houses, killing without distinction of age and sex everybody they meet, with the exception of women, who are bestially violated before they are murdered."

The Red Cross meticulously reported on some Jewish refugees from Ladyshenka, "where an ordinary, simple pogrom took place": "On July 9th, a peasant brought to the Jewish hospital in Uman the last two Jews from Ladyshenka. . . . These were two young

* Assaults on Jewish women during the pogroms had their geographic paralle during this period of time in the massive rape of Armenian women by the Turks in 1895 and 1915 , as part of a continuing Turkish effort to destroy the Armenian people. See the account by Henry Morgenthau, Ambassador Mor genthau's Story, Doubleday, 1918.
ewish girls, frightfully beaten and bruised, one with her nose cut off and the other with her arms broken. They are both in Kiev now and both suffer from venereal disease."

A Zionist group reported from Kremenchug: "No sooner had the Volunteers entered the town than destruction commenced. All houses, without exception, were plundered. Three hunfred and fifty cases of rape have been registered; neither children of 2 nor old women of 60 were spared. After they had been ravished, he little girls were thrown down the water-closets."

A report from Fastov, where six hundred were killed and welve hundred were wounded: "The soldiers threw themselves pon the girls under age with a perfectly brutal fury and ravished hem before the very eyes of their parents, powerless to interfere. ome particularly atrocious scenes took place in the courtyard of he synagogue where the Jews had sought refuge. The courtyard vas covered with the bodies of women, children, old men, and oung girls who had been ravished. Many people became insane."

Some anti-Bolshevik Jews in Ekaterinoslav sent an urgent, bsequious appeal to General Denikin:

[^7]an adulteress who was unfit to return to her husband's bed. Prag. matism eventually won out. Since the Jewish people were spread throughout the Diaspora and lived under other nation's laws, rabbinical law could not really be enforced. But defilement of women had and continues to have a deep psychological hold on the minds of men who have traditionally viewed women as "their" women. This attitude is hardly restricted to Jews; it is quite universal. Divorce after rape is not uncommon.

It is reasonable to conjecture that the reputation for unbridled sensuality that has followed Jewish woman throughout history (Rebecca as opposed to Rowena; Henry Miller's frequent reference to "thick Jewish cunt") has its origins in the Jewish woman's historical experience of forcible rape, and is a projection onto them of male sex fantasies. In this respect, Jewish women and black women have a common bond: the reputation for lasciviousness and promiscuity that haunts black women in America today may be attributed to the same high degree of historical forcible rape.

Sartre's famous quote about Jewish women in Anti-Semite and Jew, written at the close of World War II, is a poetic insight into this phenomenon: "There is in the words 'a beautiful Jewess' a very special sexual signification, one quite different from that contained in the words 'beautiful Rumanian,' 'beautiful Greek,' or 'beautiful American,' for example. This phrase carries an aura of rape and massacre. The 'beautiful Jewess' is she whom the Cos sacks under the czars dragged by her hair through the streets of her burning village. And the special works which are given over to accounts of flagellation reserve a place of honor for the Jew ess . . ."

## The Mormon Persecutions

It is worth noting the similarity of experience, as far as women were concerned, between such disparate events in time and place as the Ukrainian pogroms and, for example, the Mormon persecul tions in this country and the periodic outbreaks of white mob violence against blacks. In each historic interlude a mob of men, sometimes an official militia, armed itself with an ideology that offered a moral justification-"for the public good"-to commit
cts of degradation upon women. In each interlude a campaign of error, and a goal that included the annihilation of a people, proided a license to rape. In each interlude the symbol of the mob's atred and contempt became its exuberant destruction of other hen's property, be it furniture, cattle, or women. Further, it matered little to the rapists acting under the cover of a mob whether r not their victims were "attractive." This, too, is significant, since argues that sexual appeal, as we understand it, has little to do ith the act of rape. A mob turns to rape as an expression of power hd dominance. Women are used almost as inanimate objects, to tove a point among men.

Latter-day Saints, or Mormons, began settling in and around idependence, Missouri, in 1831, to the local residents' dismay. olygamy was not at issue-it had not yet become a church canon. he Missourians could not tolerate the Mormon belief in their ving prophet, Joseph Smith, who communicated directly with od, their strange clannish ways and, surprisingly, in view of later istory, the Mormon readiness to accept free Negro and mulatto pnverts, which the locals felt "would corrupt our blacks and instite them to bloodshed." (Missouri was a slave state.)
A rowdy mass meeting was held in Independence in April, 333, and two months later a "call" was circulated to rid the state the undesirables, "peaceably if we can, forcibly if we must," for ee sake of "our wives and daughters." (A Mormon version of the ob's call adds the line, "We will whip and kill the men; we will estroy their children, and ravish their women!") The Mormons plied that their settlement was already at the western limits of nited States territory and a further move would put them uarely among the Indians, who "might massacre our defenseless omen and children with impunity." To show that they meant nsiness, a mob broke into the offices of the Mormon newspaper ad destroyed the press. The Mormons signed an agreement to ave the county within the year. Most of them crossed the wide lissouri and moved northward to Clay and Caldwell counties.
That wasn't good enough for the Missourians. In October, 838, the governor called up the militia and issued his notorious Ider: "The Mormons must be treated as enemies and must be terminated or driven from the State if necessary, for the public ood." By this time the principal Mormon settlement was located
in Far West, Caldwell County. The militiamen marched on F West, tricked the Mormon leaders, including Joseph Smith, in surrendering, and then, according to official Mormon history:

The mob was now let loose upon the unarmed citizens Far West, and under the pretext of searching for arms they ra sacked every house, tore up the floors, upset haystacks, wanton destroyed much property, and shot down a number of cattle, ju for the sport it afforded them. The people were robbed of the most valuable property, insulted and whipped; but this was not ti worst. The chastity of a number of women was defiled by forc some of them were strapped to benches and repeatedly ravishe by brutes in human form until they died from the effects of th treatment. The horrible threat made a few years before been at last carried out-We will ravish their women!

Back in the camp where Joseph Smith and the others were unde guard, the militiamen "related to each other their deeds of murde and rapine, and boasted of raping virtuous wives and maidens, unt the prisoners were heartsick with the disgusting details of the crimes." The Latter-day Saints were driven from Missouri. Tho began the trek to Illinois, where prophet Smith was lynched in jail cell, and eventually to peace and prosperity in Utah.

## Mob Violence Against Blacks: The KKK

White mob action against communities of Southern blac during the Reconstruction period featured the rape of wome along with the burning of churches, schools and homes. Th Memphis Riot of May, 1866, grew out of white hostility to th presence in town of a black state militia unit. The mob, whom the victims judged to be largely Irish, and in collusion with the loc white police force, wreaked its vengeance on the entire black com munity. Forty-six blacks and five whites were killed. In the Con gressional investigation that followed, several black women spol of rape.

Frances Thompson: Between one and two o'clock Tues night seven men, two of whom were policemen, came to my hous I know they were policemen by their stars. . . . They said the
must have some eggs, and ham, and biscuit. I made them some biscuit and some strong coffee, and they all sat down and ate. A girl lives with me; her name is Lucy Smith; she is about 16 years old. When they had eaten supper, they said they wanted some women to sleep with. I said we were not that sort of women, and they must go. . . . One of them laid hold of me and hit me on the side of my face, and holding my throat, choked me. Lucy tried to get out of the window, when one of them knocked her down and choked her. They drew their pistols and said they would shoot us and fire the house if we did not let them have their way with us. All seven of the men violated us two. Four of them had to do with me, and the rest with Lucy.
Q.-Were you injured?
A. -I was sick for two weeks. I lay for three days with a hot, burning fever.

Lucy Smith: We had two trunks. They did not unlock them but just jerked them open. They took $\$ 100$ belonging to Frances, and $\$ 200$ belonging to a friend of Frances, given to her to take care of. . . . They tried to take advantage of me, and did. I told them I did not do such things, and would not. One of them said he would make me, and choked me by the neck. . . . After the first man had connexion with me, another got hold of me and tried to violate me, but I was so bad he did not. He gave me a lick with his fist and said that I was so damned near dead he would not have anything to do with me.

> Q.- Were you injured?
> A. -1 bled from what the first man had done to me.

Lucy Trbss: A crowd of men came in that night. . . . They just broke the door open and asked me where was my husband; I replied he was gone . . . I said, "Please do not do anything to me; I am just here with two little children."
Q.-Did they do anything to you?
A.-They done a very bad act.
Q.-Did they ravish you?
A.-Yes, sir. . . . There was but one that did it. Another man said, "Let that woman alone-that she was not in any situation to be doing that." . . . They put me on the bed, and the other men were plundering the house while this man was carrying on.
Q.-What did they mean by saying you were not in a condition to be doing that?
A.-I have been in the family way ever since Christmas
Q.-How many rooms were there in your house?
A.--Only one.
Q.-And this took place in the presence of all these men? A. - Yes, sir.

Cynthia Townsend:
Q.-Do you know of any violence being committed on t women in your neighborhood?
A.-Yes, sir; I know of some very bad acts. . . . There is woman who lives near me by the name of Harriet; Merriweath was her name before she married. . . . There were as many three or four men at a time had connexion with her; she was lyin there by herself. They all had connexion with her in turn aroun and then one of them tried to use her mouth.
Q.-Did you see these men go into the house?
A.-Yes; I saw them going into the house and saw them coming out, and afterwards she came out and said they made her d what I told you they did; she has sometimes been a little derange since then, her husband left her for it. When he came out of th fort and found what had been done, he said he would not ha anything to do with her any more.

The Memphis Riot of 1866 was an uncoordinated mob action About the same time, in Tennessee, a secret, organized force terror haphazardly came into being and rapidly spread from state t state: the Ku Klux Klan, dedicated in the name of chivalry an patriotism to stopping the Radical Reconstruction movement. Th ideology of the Klan as regards rape was typically two-faced. Blo oaths, mumbo jumbo and sworn compacts to "protect" Souther womanhood from the black menace, as sympathetically dramatize by D. W. Griffith in The Birth of a Nation, were predicated on the false assumption, no doubt spread by the Klan itself, that rape white women by black men was unheard of before the Civil Wa thanks to the law and order maintained by the institution slavery. The holy mission of the Klan was ostensibly to step the law-and-order gap created by Reconstruction. Actually, the to political mission of the Klan had little to do with white wome although it was not the first and hardly the last time that "prote tion" of women has been used to hide a male group's real purpo The Klan's nightriding was aimed at frightening off newly enfra
hised black male voters, who were naturally drawn to the Radical epublicans, the party of Reconstruction. Raping black women as one method of intimidation, along with unsigned threats, pooky costumes, whipping, burning and outright murder.

In 1871 a joint Congressional committee began an investigaon into the activities of the Klan, recently outlawed by an Act of ongress. It was a mite late: Reconstruction had already faltered, ut the evidence collected as the investigation moved from state to ate was most telling. Several black women who were called to stify spoke of rape.

Harriet Simril of York County, South Carolina: They came back after the first time on Sunday night after my old man again, and this second time the crowd was bigger. . . . They called for him and I told them he wasn't there. . . . They searched about in the house a long time, and staid with me an hour that time. . . . they were spitting in my face and throwing dirt in my eyes . . . they busted open my cupboard, and they ate all my pies up, and they took two pieces of meat . . . and after a while they took me out of doors and told me all they wanted was my old man to join the Democratic ticket; if he joined the Democratic ticket they would have no more to do with him; and after they had got me out of doors, they dragged me out into the big road, and they ravished me out there.

> Q.-How many of them?
A.-There were three.
Q.-One right after the other?
A. - Yes, sir.
Q.-Threw you down on the ground?
A. - Yes, sir, they throwed me down.
Q.-Do you know who the men were who ravished you?
A.-Yes, sir, I can tell who the men were; there was Ches McCollum, Tom McCollum, and this big Jim Harper. .

Ellen Parton of Meridian, Mississippi: . . . Wednesday night was the last night they came to my house . . . they came on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday; on Monday night they said they came to do us no harm; on Tuesday night they said they came for the arms; I told them there was none, and they said they would take my word for it; on Wednesday night they came and broke open the wardrobe and trunks, and committed rape upon me; there was eight
of them in the house; I do not know how many there were outsid they were white men . . . I called upon Mr. Mike Slamon, who w one of the crowd, for protection . . . Mr. Slamon had an oil-clot and put it before his face, trying to conceal himself, and the ma that had hold of me told me not to call Mr. Slamon's name more; he then took me in the dining room, and told me I had to d just what he said; I told him I could do nothing of the sort; that w not my way, and he replied, "by God, you have got to," and the threw me down . . . . he had a black velvet cap on; after he g through with me he came through the house and said he was afte the Union Leagues; I yielded to him because he had a pistol drawt when he took me down he hurt me ... I yielded to him on tha account; he . hurt me with his pistol

Hannah Tutson of Clay County, Florida, was visited by Klan men who wanted her land: When they came to my house that nigh the dog barked twice . . . I got up and went out of doors . . . bu I could see nothing; I went back into the house, and just as I got int bed five men bulged right against the door, and it fell right in th middle of the floor, and they fell down. George McRae was the firs to get up. . . . He said, "Come in, True-Klux." I started to scream and George McRae catched me right by the throat and choked me . . . Then there were so many hold of me that they got me out doors. After they got me out, I looked and I saw Jim Phillips, Georg McRae, and Henry Baxter. . .. They took and carried me to pine, just as large as I could get my arms around, and then they tic my hands there. They pulled off all my linen, tore it up so that did not have a piece of rag on me as big as my hand. They tied me and I said, "Men, what are you going to do with me?" They said "God damn you, we will show you; you are living on another man premises." I said, "No; I am living on my own premises; I gave $\$ 15$ for it and Captain Buddington and Mr. Mundy told me to sta here." They . . . whipped me for a while. . . . George McRa would act scandalously and ridiculously toward me and treat shamefully. . . . He would get his knees between my legs and sa "God damn you, open your legs." I tell you men, he did act ridicu lously and shamefully, that same George McRae. He sat down ther and said, "Old lady, if you don't let me have to do with you, I wi kill you." . . . They whipped me . . . and got liquor of some kin and poured it on my head, and 1 smelled it for three weeks, so the it made me sick.

[^8]Q.-You spoke about some of them "wanting to do with you," as you expressed it.
A. - Yes, sir.
Q.-What one was that?
A.-George McRae.
Q.-Did you give way to him?
A.-No, sir; George McRae acted so bad, and I was stark naked. I tell you men, he pulled my womb down so that sometimes now I can hardly walk.
Gerda Lerner, who unearthed and published much of the timony quoted above in her documentary history, Black omen in White America, makes the point that "there are no fords of the rape and violation of white women whose husbands male relatives were associated with the Republican cause. Such actices were confined to black women." Since she called attenIn to an omission for the purpose of making a case for the special litical abuse of black women through sexual means, and since is line of reasoning comes perilously close to the old leftist sition that "when a black woman is raped the crime is political, $t$ when a white woman is raped the charge is hysterical" (see hapter 7, "A Question of Race"), I feel I must try to set the cord straight.

Under the guise of punishing immorality, Klansmen often tipped white women they accused of adultery, ". . . and somehes faithful wives who wouldn't come across to a Kluxer," cording to one historian of Klan activity. The celebrated trial in 25 of D. C. Stephenson, Grand Dragon of the Klan in Indiana, the rape and murder of a white woman-Stephenson was ually convicted-is another example of the use of Klan power to ate sexual terror irrespective of race. Further, William M. mstler speculated at length on the role played by the New Jersey on in The Minister and the Choir Singer, his account of the Hallills adultery and murder case.
No one would want to deny that blacks were the special target the Klan, and that black women suffered special abuse because ey were women, but rather than try to separate out white women d claim they got off scot-free, a higher political understanding is ned by recognizing that sexual intimidation knows no racial tinctions, and that the sexual oppression of white women and ck women is commonly shared.

## Mob Violence Against Whites: The Congo

When Congolese forces began celebrating independence July, 1960, by raping Belgian women, including nuns, and a fo scattered accounts appeared in the papers, my attitude was one disbelief. I chalked up the stories to fraudulent rumors, basical racist, designed to embarrass the cause of Patrice Lumumba, he and martyr of Congo self-determination. I was not alone in skepticism. The entire world press tended to divide along politio lines. Pro-Lumumba papers in England, such as the well-bry Manchester Guardian, ignored the rape stories altogether, wh papers that took a jaundiced view of African nationalism, such the sensationalist Daily Mail and Daily Express, reported the rap with gusto. In this country the small, vociferous left-wing pro considered the rapes to be vicious, deliberate lies; while to Williz Buckley's conservative National Review, which supported se sionist Katanga, the rapes were proof of "what the black savag are doing." The battle lines of truth were drawn, as they frequent are, on the bodies of women.

Fifteen years have passed since those tragic early days of th Congo's struggle for independence, and my views remain changed as far as Lumumba is concerned. I still believe he was $t$ hope of the Congo. But a woman's politik operates independent traditional male forces of left and right, as everything in this bo should make clear. There was rape in the Congo, a lot of Lumumba's denials notwithstanding. And it was not a plot of th CIA or Belgian mining interests, nor was it an hysterical fantasy sex-starved nuns.

To understand the politics of rape in the Congo, one needs know a little history. The Force Publique was created by Ki Leopold II at the close of the nineteenth century and used by $t$ Belgian colonialists to enforce their rule-a rule unmatched brutality by other colonial powers. The Force was a native am illiterate and poorly paid, black myrmidons who did the bidding an elite corps of European officers. To supplement their wages, ${ }^{t}$ black troops were allowed to live off the land. One penetratio observer, the British anticolonialist E. D. Morel, wrote in $190^{\circ}$ "Wherever its operations have ranged, native livestock has almo totally disappeared; native preventive measures against the spre
of venereal disease have been impossible of application. From far and wide . . . women have been raided in enormous numbers to satisfy its lusts. . . . It has been admitted in one official document that 'a veritable slave trade in women' was carried out by them."

In 1960 the Force Publique became a rogue elephant-loyal neither to Lumumba, Kasavubu, nor to the hated, departing Belgians, who knew they had created an irresponsible monster. A few days after the formal ceremonies of independence, the Force mutinied at the Thysville barracks. The mutiny spread quickly to other cities. Helen Kitchen, editor in chief of Africa Report, observed: "The attacks on European women, many in the Congo believe, were a product of heavy drinking and a gesture of revenge for humiliations suffered in the past. But it probably should also be taken into account that some politicians had led the 'Congolese people to believe that independence would deliver to them not only jobs, the cars, and the government houses heretofore enjoyed by the Belgians but also their personal property. And to many Congolese soldiers, a woman is still regarded as 'property."'

Young King Baudouin set up a commission in Brussels to locument accounts of rape, and a white paper, "Congo July 1960: Evidence," was published that year as a Belgian government propasanda pamphlet. It is a meticulous document in many respects. Dates and hours of the rapes are recorded. Women's names are conspicuously deleted. All told, the Belgian white paper reported 94 instances of rape of European nationals in a ten-day period rom July 5 to July 14 , with individual women reporting as many as wenty violations in a single night. Some excerpts:

Kisantu, July 5, 1960: Mrs. **** was in her home with her mother and four children. Towards 1600 hours a number of native soldiers sequestered her in a bedroom, and four of them raped her in turn. Between 1900 and 2000 hours, 12 more soldiers and a gendarme arrived. After pushing her husband and children outside, 12 of these men raped her in the same room. Mrs. ${ }^{* * * *}$ was therefore raped 16 times.

Banza-Boma, July 5, 1960: Mrs. ${ }^{* * * *}$ together with a small child stated that she was two months' pregnant when soldiers took her onto a verandah and four of them raped her in turn.

Matadi: On July 8, towards 1100 hours, 12 native policemen arrived in Matadi and took away the fit men at gunpoint. Mrs.**** sought refuge in a house with four women and some children. The
native policemen returned to loot the houses. . . . One of the policemen entered the room where the women and children were. He took away at gunpoint a girl aged 14. From the cries and moans of the child, Mrs. ${ }^{* * * *}$ realized the policeman was raping her. Then Mrs. **** was herself raped. .... Mrs. **** [later] perceived traces of blood which convinced her that the little girl had indeed been raped

Kimpese: On July 13, 1960, around 1800 hours, a dozen so diers and about a hundred civilians arrived at the home of Mr. ****. With his wife and three children under 16 years of age, he was taken by car in the direction of Leopoldville. His wife was separated from him, and in the car which was carrying her and her youngest children, she was raped three times by native soldiers. They struck the 9 -year-old child and undressed the 2 -year-old baby "to make sure it was a boy."

Kimpese: Mrs. **** was raped during the night of July 13-14 1960, at the same time as Mrs. $\mathrm{B}^{* * *}$. She was raped a second time, together with five other women. The following day while being taken to Thysville, the line of women were raped a third time, some in the presence of their children.

Kimpese: Mrs. X*** was raped 10 times during the night of July $13^{-14}$, in the presence of her children and her husband, who had been bound and beaten with a club.

Krmpese: Mrs. $A^{* * *}$ was raped four times during the night of July $13-14$, in the presence of her 3 -year-old child.

Camp Hardy (Thysville): Mrs. **** with her husband an two children left Malanga Station at July 11, 1960. In the vicinity of Block 110 they were stopped by abako civilians,* searched, imprisoned and beaten all night. They were all sent on to Thysville where they arrived on the 12 th towards midnight. Mrs. **** was alone in a cell with her children under 7 years of age when a party of about 10 soldiers arrived. One held her arms, one her legs, a third placed his hand on her mouth to stifle her cries, and a fourth pulled h hair and struck her in the face. She was raped about a dozen times the presence of her children who were huddled in a comer, one hold ing the other. These attacks lasted from 0200 to 0430 hours. T family was saved by a white doctor.

Camp Hardy (Thysville): On July 11, 1960, Mrs. A ${ }^{* * *}$ with a small child was at the house of Mrs. $\mathrm{B}^{* * *}$. Her husband had been imprisoned by native soldiers, who invaded the house and there found also Mrs. $\mathrm{C}^{* * *}$, seven months' pregnant, and Mrs. D**

with a small child. Mrs. A*** was taken home. The soldiers fought over her and Mrs. $\mathbf{A}^{* \# \#}$ was finally handed over to two soldiers belonging to the transport company at Camp Hardy, both of whom raped her. She was then taken to the house of Mrs. D*** where she found Mrs. $\mathrm{E}^{* * *}, \mathrm{Mrs}, \mathrm{F}^{* * *}$ and Mrs. $\mathrm{G}^{* * *}$ who told her that they too had been raped.

Camp Hardy: (Thysville), July 11, 1960: While Mrs. **** was in bed with 2 of her children, a soldier tried 4 times to rape her even though she was still torn from the birth of her baby and the sutures were still in place.

Luluabourg, July 9, 1960: Two families . . . were molested and beaten. Mrs, $Z^{* * *}$ was raped at gunpoint in her home by 2 policemen. Both families were then taken to the military camp. . . The 2 mothers were stripped of their clothing, molested and beaten. They were then locked into the prison. In the presence of her children, a soldier lifted Mrs. $\mathbf{Z}^{* * *}$ 's skirts and pretended to insert a hand grenade in her vagina.

Luluabourg: Mrs. $Y^{* * *}$ was taken out of her house and raped in the road before the eyes of her 3 children and her husband, who had previously been beaten. Other women, including an old lady, were stripped of their clothing, molested and publicly humiliated.

Boende: On the evening of July 11 Mrs. **** and her family left Djolu. Stopped on the road by native soldiers, they were taken to Djolu prison and the women were separated from the men. . . . Mrs, **** was standing up with her two months' old baby in her arms. In this posture, Mrs. **** was held firmly by some natives while other natives raped her and yet another trained a gun on her. During the night Mrs. **** was raped about twenty times, Knocking her down, the natives threw themselves on her, tearing her clothes and pulling her body hair. The women with her were also raped in the presence of their children . . .

Boende, July 12, 1960: The nuns were put into a punishment cell with two women and a baby, according to a statement made by two of the nuns. The native soldiers attacked the first nun, and, after a painful struggle, managed to rape her. Then they attacked another and with the help of yet another soldier, tried to rape the Sister. Two of the soldiers stamped on her. The nun fainted, and one of the other sisters asserted that the victim had just expired. The soldiers were frightened and ran away; other soldiers reproached them for having killed her when it was not permitted to do so. The nun remained unconscious for a long time. At 0530 hours the party of white prisoners, both men and women, were taken to another prison. They were all naked, including the religious, and their hands
were tied behind their backs. They were incarcerated in a cell blocl where some twenty women and children were already imprisoned The soldiers wanted to know why the nuns were not affiliated to Lumumba's political party, and whether they had sexual relation with priests; each was promised a soldier for the night. Subsequentl the captives were taken to Mompono by truck accompanied by in sults of the native population.

In July, 1960, the American Universities Field Staff sent an energetic observer named Edwin S. Munger to Leopoldville (now Kinshasa, Zaire) to write a report on the Congo situation Munger's newsletter for the month of September is an attempt to verify the rape accounts. Interestingly, he spoke to no rape women, but restricted his investigation to interviews with govern ment officials, doctors and missionaries. Written in the typical somewhat breathless fashion of an Institute Fellow who has just landed at the airport, Munger's reportage contained some remark able insights.

Relying on the Belgian white paper as his starting point Munger spoke first to an ambassador from Ghana. "Did you see anyone raped yourself?" the ambassador asked him. Nodding a Munger's negative reply, the ambassador said chummily, "It is typical of a wartime situation. During the last war American troop used to take Ghanaian girls down to the beach all the time and we never made any objections." A member of the Force Publique confided over a cup of coffee, "The Belgian women have hurt thei vaginas to make accusations against the Congolese." A black American minister told him quietly, "It is hard on the children and women but the Belgians deserve it. That's hard for a minister to say, isn't it?"

Munger did better when he spoke to some French and Belgian doctors. One told him, "I saw very much the same thing in the last war when soldiers lost their discipline in Europe. My own gyne cological examinations were limited to 13 women here. lieve me they were raped." A husband and wife medical team at the Leopoldville airport emergency center had processed scores of rape victims. The wife, Dr. Deniese Malderez, spoke freely: "I do not know how many rapes there were. We announced we had penicillin available as protection against venereal disease. Over $35^{\circ}$
romen were imjected at this station. Approximately 50 women said hey feared conception and asked for hormonal treatment. This we lid not give because they were flying direct to Brussels. I believe nany who were raped did not come to our station, As I went through the waiting hall downstairs to treat bruised women, several old me they had been raped but would not come for an injection. couldn't go around calling, 'Those who have been raped report here.' In our ward I heard again and again the women whispering 0 each other, 'How many times for you?' Two girls of eight and leven were brought to me. Their father said they were in the last far from Thysville and had been cut off. He said many Congolese lad raped his daughters for 24 hours. One girl sat here for ten hours without saying a word. One girl had her legs drawn up and ould not move them. . . . Over 150 women from Thysville asked or injections. I do not believe they were hysterical and asked for reatment if they were not raped. Many were ashamed to admit it. went to four nuns who had been beaten and admitted they had peen raped but would not come for treatment. The priests were hocked. You know Belgium is a Catholic country and abortion is llegal, but several priests said curettage must be performed . . ."

Munger also spoke with a Belgian evacuee, an old-time colopialist who had owned a coffee plantation. "Why, I've known these people like the back of my hand," the old-timer told him. "I've peen working with them and sleeping with their women for 35 ears and I never expected to see this."

It was not just Belgian women who were raped by Congolese Oldiers and civilians, but Portuguese, Greeks and Americans-no oreign national was exempt. And the Congolese during those prief, unhappy days in July, 1960, were doing no more, in violent, tompacted fashion, than the colonialists had been doing to black vomen for a century, and that they themselves had been doing throughout history to the women of their own race.

Philippa Schuyler, daughter of George Schuyler, conservative plack editor of the Pittsburgh Courier, found herself in Leopoldwille that July. She had gone there to give a piano recital and stayed pn to do some reporting for the National Review, and then to write book. As a black conservative and a feminist, Philippa Schuyler rewed to an original course. She was one of the few reporters in the Congo to make the connection between the European women and
the native women, and was able to later write with equal indigna tion, "Thousands of black women have been raped in intertribal fighting."

Schuyler also managed to interview some Belgian victims. One woman who had been attacked by three soldiers showed the pianist her body bruises and torn underwear, thickly smeared with dried clotted blood. The woman gave her this personal account: "I was a social worker, there to teach the Congolese women sewing, cooking, social adjustment, and so on. I lived alone, and it was easy for the soldiers to break in. I tried to hide in the wardrobe, but they pulled me out, spitting on me, and beat me all over. I grabbed a sewing basket and hit one soldier on the head with it, but he wrenched it out of my grasp. I jabbed him with a pair of scissor but it didn't do any good. My legs were bleeding. I fell on the floor and they kicked me. I tried to get up but the floor was slippery with blood, and I fell in it. I crawled away and tried to run into the WC and bolt the door, but I wasn't fast enough, and they came in after me. . . . The women were helpless. . . . [They] stayed in theil houses. Where else? They thought they would be safer there These houses were a little distant from each other and there were no phones, so one woman could not warn another . . ."

The authenticity of Philippa Schuyler's interview with he social worker and the calm account offered to Edwin Munger by Dr. Deniese Malderez cannot be questioned, but Munger and Schuyler were hardly writing for major outlets. Rape in the Congo during the turbulent days of 1960 was never treated by the estab lishment press as anything more than peripheral exotica to the "big story" of colonialism and civil war. "One woman could not war another," Schuyler reported. Who but a woman would find this perception relevant?
"It would be interesting to know whether the correspondents of those papers which made no mention of [rape] knew of thes allegations," wrote an editorialist for the British New Statesmat that July. "And if so, whether they did not regard them as suffi ciently substantiated to include in their cables. Or did they jus miss the story?"

Munger tried to find out. He tracked down a battle-hardened reporter with a reputation as "one of the ablest and most experi enced men covering the African continent," and put the question to him, recording his answer without comment: "He . . . be
eved other stories more important for his paper and did not, in his ew, waste time investigating charges of rape."

There are several aspects of the Congo story to give one pause. he attitude of tough male reporters that an investigation of mass pe was a waste of time on an unimportant side issue was the me attitude that twelve years later retarded exposure of the mass pes of Bangladesh, or the systematic rapes of Vietnam. The mporizing by government officials and Congo sympathizers that pe was a typical, and therefore acceptable, by-product of colonial rising, or any clash between men, was part of the standard "war hell" stance affected by diplomats, generals, soldiers and battleving correspondents in every war. At the same time, the docuentation of rape by the aggrieved side, in this case the Belgians, as collected to prove a propaganda point: that the departing lonialists were injured innocents of a beloved country "not yet ady" for independence. And finally, the very nature of the rapes emselves: they were acts of undifferentiated hostility of men ward women, perpetrated on nonbelligerents in the course of a lebration, in this case a celebration of independence-part of the neral hoopla of flexing muscle, taking over, and tying on a manly unk-with the raped women cast as symbol of the hated opessor, a ready, easy target without the means of self-defense. ape in the Congo was shrouded in the cloak of vengeance and ade plausible by an historic view of woman as the property of an, but we should not forget that beyond the shiny patina of cological excuse, it was also rape amid the levity and frivolity of en having a good time.


## 5

## Two Studies in American History

## Indians

The rape of Indian women by white men and the rape of whit women by Indians was a casual by-product of the move westwar and The Great Frontier. Although white men talked freely at th time of the rape of white women-and often used these stories as ${ }^{2}$ inflammatory excuse for their own behavior-the women were reb cent. Firsthand narratives of captivity by abducted females that wer published and widely circulated in the seventeenth, eighteenth and nineteenth centuries were carefully edited for modesty. Neverthe less, explicit, personal accounts of rape have found their way in history. But in this white man's country, insults to Indian wome are largely lost. The rape of a "squaw" by white men was not deeme important. The Indian woman gave her testimony to no one; it w never solicited, except perhaps orally within her tribe. Testament comparable to those that white abolitionists took from black slave do not exist for the Indian. Any documentation of the rape of whill and Indian women at the hands of their enemy must be lopside for this reason.

The first full-length narrative of captivity to become a popul success in its time was that of Mary Rowlandson, the "goodwic of the first ordained minister of Lancaster, Massachusetts. She "11 taken captive in 1676 , the sole adult survivor of a massacre of mon than forty persons. Mrs. Rowlandson, as befitting her station
inkled her story with quotes from the Scriptures and plentiful anks to the power of God for her divine deliverance, and her iting style became a model for many of the narratives that lowed. Toward the end of her story, Mrs. Rowlandson made the lowing declaration:

I have been in the midst of those roaring lions and savage bears that feared neither God nor man nor the devil by night and day, alone and in company, sleeping all sorts together, and yet no one of them ever offered the least abuse of unchastity to me in word or action. Though some are ready to say I speak it for my own credit, I speak it in the presence of God, and to his glory.

Mrs. Rowlandson's case was not atypical. Isabella McCoy, ptured by the St. Francis Indians in 1747, also averred that she not been raped. The anonymous narrator of the battles of enton and Princeton, quoted in the previous chapter, claimed t British abuse of women had been "far Worse in this Respect n an Indian War, for I Never heard nor read of their Ravishing Women . . ." Another anonymous author, who penned $A$ rrative of the Capture of Certain Americans at Westmoreland 80 ), saw fit to comment, "I don't remember to have heard an tance of these savages offering to violate the chastity of any of fair sex who have fallen into their hands." He offered as reason, his is principally owing to a natural inappetency in their conution."
Frederick Drimmer, who edited a collection of captivity narra-
thes, wrote, "Anyone reading early accounts of captivity among Indians is struck by the fact that female prisoners do not pear to have been abused by the Indians in the eastern section of country." He presents a blanket summation from General nes Clinton, who participated in a punitive expedition against Iroquois in New York in 1799: "Bad as the savages are, they er violate the chastity of any women their prisoners."
Clinton and the anonymous Westmoreland author were ting of the Iroquois, a most remarkable nation whose structure based on a matrilineal foundation in which women played an portant political role, unlike most other Indian tribes and unlike sting white civilization. This factor may help explain the "natuinappetency." Also, as Drimmer points out, "It was a custom
for the braves to make elaborate preparations before going on til warpath, and these included the practice of continence and rites 0 purification. To abuse a female captive would have weakened th Indians' 'medicine.' "

To this analysis we must add another factor: the natury reluctance on the part of women to admit that sexual abuse hy occurred. The common experience of most female captives was live as an Indian wife for the duration of their captivity. Such II the experience of Mary Jemison, the White Woman of the Geny see, who was assigned a husband when she came of age. Tu equation of "to live as Indian wife" with rape is a matter delicate interpretation, dependent in part on the length of capti ity. In any event, the woman had no say in the matter, and "tib fate worse than death" could remain a secret if the woman denie it. An admission of sexual use after rescue would bring ridicule and sniggerings from the white society to which she was returned, addition to rejection as a fitting, chaste partner by husband future spouse. Female captives were closely scrutinized after resco for signs of moral degradation.

Love relationships, such as Mary Jemison's, or at least re tionships of tolerable accommodation, certainly did occur betwed captor and captive, and early stories of Indian captivity are dotto with cases of whites, both male and female (and especially chib dren), who preferred to remain with their new relations after rescue. In the case of the adult white woman, to replace a relativg secure status as Indian wife with a questionable future in whit society as a "defiled" woman could be reason enough to choose remain with the Indians. "Some women who had been deliver" up afterwards found means to escape and run back to the Indie towns," wrote Colonel Henry Bouquet at the close of Pontiac War in Ohio in 1764 . "Some who could not make their escur) clung to their savage acquaintance at parting, and continued max days in bitter lamentation, even refusing sustenance."

But these touching cases occurred less and less as the whin man pushed westward with increasing violence, and the Indian, retreat, responded in kind. "From all history and tradition, would appear that neither seduction, prostitution, nor rape if known in the calendar of crimes of this rude savage race, until th females were contaminated by the embrace of civilized m
ote historian Ebenezer Mix in 1842. Rape shrouded in the polite gguage of "insult," "outrage," and "submission," or the eupheism, "he treated me as his wife," began to make an appearance in e later narratives of white female captives, and male captives to lived to tell the tale offered succinct commentary on the eatment of the female captives that they saw.
The enterprising Abbie Gardner-Sharp twenty-eight years er the fact wrote and published a lengthy narrative of her three onths' captivity among the Sioux in Iowa when she was thirteen ars old. Young Abbie and three adult white women, Mrs. ratcher, Mrs. Marble and Mrs. Noble, were the sole survivors of Spirit Lake Massacre, a bloody ambush on a settlement of oneer families in March of 1857 . Abbie and Mrs. Noble were d by their captors to a band of Yanktons. Abbie writes nothing her own sexual abuse, or lack of it, during captivity, except by plication in her story of the death of Mrs. Noble.
One evening as she and Mrs. Noble were preparing to go to ep, a son of Chief Inkpaduta by the name of Roaring Cloud ne into their tepee and "ordered Mrs. Noble out." This was hly irregular behavior. By Indian custom, the two women beged to the master of the tepee, an old Yankton warrior with y one leg, but the son of the chief apparently felt he had vileges. Abbie records:

I told her she had better, as I feared he would kill her if she did not. But still she refused. Mrs. Noble was the only one of us who ever dared to refuse obedience to our masters. Naturally of an independent nature, and conscious of her superiority to her masters in everything except brute force, it was hard for her to submit to their arbitrary and inhuman mandates. Frequently before, she had refused obedience, but in the end was always compelled to submit . . . No sooner did she positively refuse to comply with Roaring Cloud's demand, than, seizing her by the arm with one hand, and a great stick of wood . . . in the other, he dragged her from the tent.
Roaring Cloud dealt Mrs. Noble three blows that killed her le Abbie trembled in the tent, "expecting he would return to ve me in the same manner." But the son of Inkpaduta came $k$ to the tent, "washed his bloody hands, had a few high words h the Yankton, and lay down to sleep."

The narrative of spirited Fanny Kelly, captured by the Oglal Sioux in South Dakota in 1864 and later traded to the Blackfoo Sioux who traded her back to the whites, is blithely free of any hirb of sexual use by her captors, although she describes with apparen innocence the several braves who went out of their way to do he favors. An altogether different version of Mrs. Kelly's captivityfrom a male Indian point of view-is told in Stanley Vestals Sitting Bull, a history of the Sioux leader gathered from the bie chief's descendants. In the Indian version the white woman plainly "used as a wife." Fanny Kelly may be forgiven for her of omission. Vestal's book was published seventy years after Mis Kelly's captivity and still he could not resist some between-us-bos, jokes surrounding her predicament and her given name of Fanns

In Massacres of the Mountains, an early history of the Indias wars of the Far West by J. P. Dunn, published in 1886, the autho took pains to distinguish the mores of the Plains Indians, on the run and highly victimized by whites, from the mores of the easterा Indians in less desperate times. He quoted a Captain Johnsoin regarding the treatment of women (white, Mexican, or Indian) by the Apaches: "Women when captured are taken as wives by thos who capture them. . . . The most unfortunate thing which cas befall a captive woman is to be claimed by two persons. In this cas she is either shot or delivered up for indiscriminate violence." Thi system of adjudication, Dunn said, was the standard Apach method of preventing quarrels. "Other property was similarl treated. If a horse was claimed as booty by two warriors, they mw adjust their differences speedily or the animal was shot."

Discussing the causes that led to the Sand Creek Massacre, i which the Colorado cavalry slaughtered a village of Cheyenne arb sexually mutilated the bodies of men, women and children, Dun wrote:

There is a certain amount of justice in the theory of meting a man in his own measure [italics mine], and the people of Colord had old scores to pay in the accounts of murder, robbery and rap The treatment of women by any Indians is usually bad, but by th Plains Indians especially so. When a woman is captured by a II party she is the common property of all of them, each night, till the reach their village, when she becomes the special property of her i dividual captor, who may sell or gamble her away when he likes.
she resists she is "staked out," that is to say, four pegs are driven into the ground and a hand or foot tied to each, to prevent struggling. She is also beaten, mutilated, or even killed for resistance.

To support his argument Dunn quoted from the sworn stateent of Lucinda Ewbanks, twenty-four, as taken down by two valry officers. Mrs. Ewbanks' story and those of Laura Roper, venteen, Mrs. Martin, and Mrs. Snyder, who hanged herself fore the cavalry arrived to rescue her, were well known to the ople of Colorado and their misfortunes were used to inflame the oops to commit similar atrocities against Indian women at Sand reek in the name of vengeance.

Lucinda Ewbanks was captured in August, 1864, when a heyenne war party attacked a frontier settlement on the Little lue River in Kansas. She was taken to the lodge of an old chief hose name she could not remember. According to her sworn atement, "He forced me, by the most terrible threats and menes, to yield my person to him. He treated me as his wife. He then aded me to Two Face, a Sioux, who did not treat me as a wife, at forced me to do all menial labor done by squaws, and he beat e terribly. Two Face traded me to Black Foot, a Sioux, who eated me as his wife, and because I resisted him, his squaws used and ill-used me. . . . I was better treated among the Sioux an the Cheyennes; that is, the Sioux gave me more to eat. With e Cheyennes I was often hungry."
Lucinda Ewbanks' short statement, notarized by the two valry officers, was appended to an apologia by Governor Evans of olorado for the Sand Creek Massacre.

The most famous captivity rape of the West, the story of Josie eeker, Arvilla Meeker and Flora Ellen Price, was printed as a vernment report by the U.S. House of Representatives. Their uctant testimony, given under emotional duress, formed the plosive core of the White River Ute Commission Investigation, investigation that sealed the fate of the Utes in Colorado.

In 1971 one of the Vietnam veterans interviewed hv Lucy Komisar told her, Ve were recons and we went into a village in Quang Tri Province and they t boyfriend working there. They captured a medic, as they called her, and r boyfriend, who was with her. Automatically they killed him. They just dd of staked her out on the stakes, you know, on pungi stakes, and just erybody repeatedly raped her, even some of the GI's from my platoon."

Nathan Meeker, a newspaperman, poet and agrarian reformee took a job as Indian Agent in Colorado with the messianic aim o turning the Utes into farmers. When the Utes resisted Meeker attempts to "civilize" them, the thwarted agent grew increasing!! sympathetic to the Colorado mining interests that desired the removal of all Indians from the gold-rich territory. Distrust and minor skirmishes multiplied on both sides, and Meeker finally sen a telegram to Washington calling for the cavalry as a show of force The cavalry marched to the edge of the Ute reservation at Mill River and met with several bands of armed Utes who demanded tt know why they had come. Tensions rose, a shot was fired an? general fighting broke out. News of the battle quickly spread th White River, where Meeker had his Agency compound. Thb White River Utes descended on the unarmed compound on Sep tember 29, 1879. They murdered Meeker and his workmen an carried off the three women. The Utes surrendered their femak captives twenty-three days later.

Government Agent Charles Adams, who arranged the rescue conducted the interrogation of the three women. He drew forth thy story of their outrage on his second try. The women had comped ling reasons for their initial unwillingness to testify. Flora Ellem Price's husband had been murdered in the massacre. Left a widor with two small children at the age of sixteen, she maintained the if the story of her rape became public knowledge it would kill ho chance for remarriage. Arvilla Meeker, sixty-four, the murdere Indian Agent's wife, was a devoutly religious pioneer woman whe was used to keeping her own counsel. As an example of the sexus mores of the time, her own husband had never been able mention the subject of obstetrics to her, although she had birth five children. Yet it was she, and not her solemn daughter, J who eventually demanded that the women's full story be Josie Meeker, twenty-two, was perhaps in the greatest conflict. felt a strong allegiance to many of the local Utes who had studid at her Agency school. Possessed of unquenchable social conscience she, more than the others, understood the political implications d a public admission of rape, and to what ends the admission wo be used.

The three women gave their testimony to Adams in secre and for a full year afterward they continued to deny it in public to any reporter who questioned them. Their denials did them litth
od. The press had a field day with innuendos and Josie was a ecial target. The Denver Tribune hinted that Josie's captor had en a lovelorn admirer and a story circulated that she had conacted syphilis during her captivity. In fact this may well have een the case for she died three years later in Washington, where e government had given her a secretarial job as indemnification. uring the last three years of her life Josie Meeker managed to aintain her social values. On her own time she taught a Sunday chool class for black children. Her students went to her funeral.
Recorded in the newly developed Pitman shorthand and set in pe by the Government Printing Office, the women's testimony mes through in all of its ambivalence, fear and modesty.

Josir Meeker: When our room filled with smoke we ran out. The Indians were so busy carrying off blankets and goods that they did not see us at first. We ran across the street and through the gate into the field.
Q.-Were the Indians on foot or horseback?
A.-On foot. They left the blankets and called to us to stop. One called to me and said, "Come to me. No shoot you." I said, "Going to shoot?" he said, "No." I said, "Better not," and then he took me down to the camp.
Q.-Who was that?
A. - Pah-sone. I looked back. One had hold of Mrs. Price and one hold of Mother.
Q.-How long did Pah-sone keep you?
A. - All the time.
Q.-Did Pah-sone treat you well while you were with him?
A.-Well? I do not know. No better than what I expected.
Q.-This of course is an official investigation and I must get at all the facts. It is not to be published in the newspapers or anything of that kind. I wish to hear the full truth in regard to the matter.
A.-Of course we were insulted a good many times; we expected to be.
Q.-What do you mean by insult, and what did it consist of?
A.-Of outrageous treatment at night.
Q.-Am I to understand that they outraged you several times at night?
A. -Yes, sir.
Q.-Did they threaten to kill you if you did not comply?
A.-He did not threaten to kill-Pah-sone did not-only on one occasion. I asked him if he wanted to kill me. He said, "Yes." I said,
"Get up and shoot me and let me alone." He turned over and did not say anything more that night.
Q.-Was it a constant thing?
A.-No, not all the time. He was away twice, making all to gether a week.
Q.-He was the one who did it first?
A.-Yes, sir.
Q.-How long after the capture?
A.-The same night-Monday. Of course they were drunk an we dared not refuse them to any great extent. A good many time I pushed him off and made a fuss and raised a difficulty.
Q.-Was it done while his own squaws were in the tent?
A.-Yes, sir.
Q.-And they knew about it?
A.-Yes, sir.
Q.-Did any others do the same thing?
A.-No, sir. Not to me. He took me as his squaw, and of cours the rest dared not come around.
Q.-Have you told this to anybody besides your mother?
A.-Yes, sir. Inspector Pollock interviewed us. And I belient also, Dr. Avery of Denver. She is a lady physician in Denver. 0 course we don't want the newspapers to get hold of it.
Q.-Did you tell Mrs. Avery that she must not make it known
A.-She will not. The Indians delight in telling such things. is generally talked around at the camp, and a good many white set tlers who live around the borders call in now and then, and of co they will spread it if they can.
Q.-Did not they [the Indians] seem to think it was very wrong
A.-No; they thought it was a pretty good thing to have a whit squaw. His squaw told me I must not make a fuss about it. I thin she felt sorry for me but she did not dare do anything for me. Jap said, "If he wants to protect you I cannot help it." I told her I d not think much of the protection.

Arvilla Delight Meeker was wounded in the shoulder during the massacre. She described how the ambush occurred just as th settlers were finishing dinner.

Arvilia Mekekr: I guess I had not wiped more than two plat before firing commenced. . . . We were running away and got in the sage brush and when the ball struck me I dropped on ground so that I would not be so much of a mark, and as I lay th

I saw them capture Josie and Mrs. Price. I thought they would not see me, but as soon as they had captured the others they came to me. Q.-As to the outrages, what of them?
A.-It was made known to me that if I did not submit I would be killed or subjected to something of that kind, and after I gave up nothing was said about it. Douglas I had connection with once and no more. I was afraid he had disease.
Q.-He forced you to submit?
A. - Yes, sir. His squaw was gone that night. The Indians talked about matters and things until twelve o'clock. After they went away he came to my bed.

> Q.-W Was he drunk then?
> A. - No, sir.
Q.-Had you been notified that you would have to submit?
A.-He himself had not but all the rest had. His children said I had to be Ute squaw that night, and used indecent language. One great advantage in it was that he was protection for me from the other Indians. Douglas was chief and the rest dared not approach me , and so that was better than the rest. The children were cross and all of them were cross. I do not know as his wife ever spoke to me.

> Q.-Did she know of what had happened?
> A.-I guess she knew it.

After her husband was murdered, Flora Ellen Price and her 0 children were captured by some Uncompahgre Utes and later ere transferred to the camp of Johnson, a White River medicine an.
Q.-Did any of the Utes treat you badly or strike you?

Flora Ellen Price: No, none of them struck me.
Q.-What did they do?
A.-I do not like to say. You know, of course, and can judge.
Q.-This is an official investigation on the part of the government and I cannot guess at these things. It is your place to state, in order that we may know the extent of the crime and who the guilty parties are.
A.-It will not be made public in the papers, will it?
Q.-Certainly not through this Commission.
A.-Well, this Uncompahgre Ute and Johnson outraged me.
Q.-Johnson, the old man himself?
A.-Yes, sir; the old man himself.
Q.-Did any others besides Johnson outrage your person? A.-And the Uncompahgre Ute, these two were all.
Q.-Was it by force?
A.-Yes, sir. By force.
Q.-None of the others attempted it?
A. -No , sir; none of the others attempted it.
Q.-Did any of them treat you kindly?
A.-Yes, sir. Mrs. Johnson treated me very kindly-that is

Susan. She wept over my troubles and said she was sorry for what happened at the agency. She said she did not want them to kill at the agency.
Q.-Did she know what Johnson had done to you?
A. - No, sir; she did not.
Q.-Is there anything further you wish to state?
A.-No; only that I want to have those Utes taken and killed, and I want to have the privilege of killing Johnson and that Uncom pahgre Ute myself.

The "Susan" mentioned in Flora Price's testimony was the younger sister of Chief Ouray, leader of all the Utes in Colorado. Once she herself had been captured by some Arapahoe. Arvilla Meeker's captivity account also mentioned the friendliness and intercession of Susan, who, when the Ute men held council to decide whether or not to give up the three women, stormed the assembly to demand that the women be freed.

Chief Ouray was the token Indian representative on the gor ernment commission that investigated the White River Massacre. When the white women's testimony regarding their outrage w read to him, he replied, "The oath of a woman is almost worthles among the Indians."

Every Indian who came forward to testify at Ouray's urging including Chiefs Douglas and Johnson, denied any firsthand knowledge of the events at White River. Ouray patiently told the commission, "Show me any act of law by which a man is comt pelled to 'criminate himself." Nevertheless, Douglas was sent off to Fort Leavenworth and soon after that the Utes were driven from their home to a small, unprofitable comer of Colorado.

It was land the government was after, and the government got it.

And what of the rape of Indian women by white men? De
own wrote of the American West, "Only occasionally was the ice of an Indian heard, and then more often than not it was orded by the pen of a white man. The Indian was the dark nace of the myths, and even if he had known how to write in glish, where would he have found a printer or a publisher?"
Where would she have found . . .?
Commission reports, massacre investigations and records of aty meetings between white men and Indian men offer a rich rrce of material on American Indian history, but as Chief Ouray 1, the oath of an Indian woman was "almost worthless" to all ncerned. If the white woman suffered rape at the hands of the lian, the treatment of a "squaw" at the hands of a white man far worse-but if she lived to tell the story it was not recorded. In April, 1871, a vigilante group of Americans, Mexicans and pago Indians assaulted an enclave of Aripava Apaches near mp Grant, Arizona. The Apaches had been on friendly terms $h$ the neighboring ranchmen and were even flying the American Dispatched to the scene, Dr. C. B. Briesly, Camp Grant's geon, reported, "The dead bodies of some twenty-one women children were lying scattered over the ground; those who had n wounded in the first instance had their brains beaten out with hes. Two of the best-looking squaws were lying in such a posi, and from the appearance of the genital organs and of their ands, there can be no doubt that they were first ravished and n shot. Nearly all of the dead were mutilated. . . . While ng over the ground we came upon a squaw who was unhurt, but e unable to get her to come in and talk, she not feeling very of our good intentions."
Lieutenant Royal Whitman, commander of the post at Camp nt, reported that the vigilantes had taken several Apache chilh as captives. The survivors pleaded with him, "Get them back us. Our little boys will grow up slaves and our girls, as soon as are large enough, will be diseased prostitutes to get money for Dever owns them."
What occurred at Camp Grant was not very different from Sand Creek Massacre of November 29, 1864, except that Sand ek was an official U.S. Cavalry operation. Members of the 1st 3rd Colorado Volunteers, under the direction of Colonel John Chivington, whose rallying cry was "Boys, remember our
slaughtered women and children," descended on a village Cheyenne. The boys remembered by practicing sexual mutilatio In the investigation that followed the Sand Creek disgrac Corporal Amos C. Miksch of Company E, ist Colorado Cavalf testified, "Next morning, after they were dead and stiff, these mo pulled out the bodies of the squaws and pulled them open in indecent manner. I heard men say they had cut out the private but did not see it myself. It was the Third Colorado men who d these things."

Lieutenant James Connor added, "In going over the batte ground the next day I did not see a body of man, woman or chi but was scalped, and in many instances their bodies were mutiate in the most horrible manner-men, women and children's privat cut out, etc. I heard one man say that he had cut out a womad private parts and had them for exhibition on a stick. . . I 12 heard of numerous instances in which men had cut out the prive parts of females and stretched them over the saddle-bows and wo them over their hats while riding in the ranks."

In the tragic history of the Nez Perce, hounded into oblivio we can glimpse through indirect reference the special fate of N Percé women. L. V. McWhorter, who was adopted into the trit wrote down the oral history of the Nez Percé as he heard it. concluded that the Nez Percé story was full of "ghastly, umprii able disclosures" regarding "the stalking spectre of rape."

So distasteful to him were these stories of rape by white me that McWhorter could not bring himself to deal with them in book. From the blind centenarian and tribal historian Wottole he recorded one edited fragment: "A Nez Percé woman known Mrs. Jim was found dead one morning somewhere around Whi Bird [the Nez Percé winter camp]. Three known white men by done this, murdering her in a very brutal manner not printabl Nobody was punished for this crime."

Thus does "unprintability" erase the record.
A short statement regarding the rape of Indian women United States soldiers is contained in the testament of You Chief Joseph of the Nez Percé. In a narrative recorded after he at his dwindling band were in captive exile, Joseph described from bo own perspective the ambush of some tourist campers in Yello stone National Park as the faltering Nez Percé were ranning frit General O.O. Howard's cavalry units.

On the way we captured one white man and two white women. We released them at the end of three days. They were treated kindly. The women were not insulted. Can the white soldiers tell me of one time when Indian women were taken prisoners and held three days and then released without being insulted? Were the Nez Percé women who fell into the hands of General Howard's soldiers treated with as much respect? I deny that a Nez Percé was ever guilty of such a crime.
t the Indian woman herself never spoke.

## VERY

The American experience of the slave South, which spanned centuries, is a perfect study of rape in all its complexities, for black woman's sexual integrity was deliberately crushed in er that slavery might profitably endure.
In contrast to rape during the Indian wars, which was largely ual and retaliatory-men getting even with men through the venient vehicle of a woman's body-rape under the Patriarchal titution, as it was named by the patriarchs, was built into the em . The white man wanted the Indian's land, but the coin he racted from blacks was forced labor. This difference in pure affected the white man's relations with, and use of, the black man. Rape in slavery was more than a chance tool of violence. It an institutional crime, part and parcel of the white man's jugation of a people for economic and psychological gain.
The Patriarchal Institution took the form of white over black it also took the form of male over female, or more specifically, white male over black female. Unlike the Indian woman who peripheral to the conquest of land, the black woman was tical to slavery. She was forced into dual exploitation as both orer and reproducer. Her body, in all of its parts, belonged right to her white master. She had no legal right of refusal, and the mere recognition of her physical bondage was not enough, knife, the whip and the gun were always there to be used inst her. Forced sexual exploitation of the black woman under very was no offhand enterprise. Total control over her reproducsystem meant a steady supply of slave babies, and slave chil-
dren, when they reached the age of six or eight, were put to wor did not matter whether they were full-blooded or mulatto.

An important psychologic advantage, which should not underestimated, went hand in glove with the economic. Easy cess to numerous, submissive female bodies-and individual re tance was doomed-afforded swaggering proof of masculinity slaveholding males, while it conversely reduced and twisted black man's concept of his role.
"Sexually as well as in every other way, Negroes were utt subordinated," writes historian Winthrop D. Jordan of the sh South. "White men extended their dominion over the Negroes the bed, where the sex act itself served as a ritualistic re-enactm of the daily pattern of social dominance." Jordan's words are temperate. "Bed" is as much a euphemism as not, and "rituali" re-enactment" implies a stately minuet of manners-a vastly adequate description of the brutal white takeover and occupab of the black woman's body.
"Lawdy, lawdy, them was tribbolashuns!" an eighty-seven-y" old ex-slave by the name of Martha Jackson told a recorder for Federal Works Project in Alabama (who wrote down her words an approximation of her dialect). "Wunner dese here womans my Antie en she say dad she skäcely call to min' he e'r whopp her, 'case she was er breeder woman en' brought in chillum ${ }^{6}$ twelve mont's jes lak a cow bringin' in a calf."

Martha Jackson's choice of imagery was grounded in the ro ities of slavery. Female slaves were expected to "breed"; some " retained expressly for that purpose. In the lexicon of slave "breeder woman," "childbearing woman," "too old to breed" "not a breeding woman" were common descriptive terms. country breeding was crucial to the planter economy after African slave trade was banned in 1807 , and the slave wom2 value increased in accordance with her ability to produce healit offspring. Domestic production of slave babies for sale to oti slave states became a small industry in the fertile upper South. fact, it was observed to be the only reliably profitable slave-relat enterprise. Quite an opposite state of affairs had existed in North before abolition, where slavery had never been profitable. colonial Massachusetts, one observer has written, slave bab when weaned "were given away like puppies." But the state Virginia annually exported between six thousand and twenty tho
d homegrown slaves to the deeper South, where the land, the nate and a harsher work load took precedence over fecundity. e Virginia-reared slave, like Virginia leaf tobacco, was always in at demand.
A member of the Virginia legislature used revealing language en he addressed that patrician body in 1831 :

It has always (perhaps erroneously) been considered by steady and old-fashioned people, that the owner of land had a reasonable right to its annual profits; the owner of orchards, to their annual fruits; the owner of brood-mares, to their product; and the owner of female slaves to their increase . . . and I do not hesitate to say, that in its increase consists much of our wealth.
efellow from Virginia, Mr. Gholson, was attempting to make point that a slaveholder would not mistreat a female slave as he uld not mistreat his broodmare, since the "increase" of each ded a period of nurture in order to show a profit. In return for production of slave babies, the female knowingly bartered for re food and a reduced work load in the weeks before and after h. But despite Mr. Gholson's protestations, a lightened work d was not an automatic quid pro quo.
Nehemiah Caulkins, a white carpenter who worked for a time a North Carolina rice plantation, presented this picture of eder women in an antislavery pamphlet of 1839:

One day the owner ordered the women into the barm, he then went in among them, whip in hand, and told them he meant to flog them all to death; they immediately began to cry out, "What have I done Massa? What have I done Massa?" He replied, "D-n you, I will let you know what you have done, you don't breed, I haven't had a young one from one of you for several months." They told him they could not breed while they had to work in the rice ditches. (The rice grounds are low and marshy, and have to be drained, and while digging or clearing the ditches, the women had to work in mud and water from one to two feet in depth; they were obliged to draw up and secure their frocks about their waist, to keep them out of water, in this manner they frequently had to work from daylight in the morning till it was so dark they could see no longer.) After swearing and threatening for some time, he told them to tell the overseer's wife, when they got in that way, and he would put them upon the land to work.

The Georgia journal of Fanny Kemble, whose husband owne a pair of cotton and rice plantations, records this entry:

The women who visited me yesterday evening were all in the family way, and came to entreat of me to have the sentence (whu else can I call it?) modified which condemns them to assume the labor of hoeing in the field three weeks after their confinement They knew, of course, that I cannot interfere with their appointe labor, and therefore their sole entreaty was that I would use my in fluence with Mr. - [Butler, her husband] to obtain for ther a month's respite from labor in the field after childbearing.

Fanny Kemble was unsuccessful in her intercessionary mission. Breeder women were sometimes blatantly advertised as such for if they were "proven," they could command a higher price. Tix following advertisement from the Charleston, South Carolin Mercury became an abolitionist classic:

Negrobs for Sale-A Girl about twenty years of age (rise in Virginia) and her two female children, one four and the otho two years old-is remarkably strong and healthy-never having hos a day's sickness, with the exception of the small pox, in her life. Ty children are fine and healthy. She is very prolific in her generatity qualities, and affords a rare opportunity to any person who wisto to raise a family of strong and healthy servants for their own us Any person wishing to purchase will please leave their address at b Mercury office.

It mattered little to the slaveholder who did the actual if pregnating, since the "increase" belonged to him by law. Paternit was seldom entered in the slaveholder's record book, and when did appear, it was strictly for purposes of identification. The femas was often arbitrarily assigned a sexual partner or "husband" ab ordered to mate. Her own preferences in this most intimate d matters may or may not have been taken into account, dependiry on the paternalistic inclinations of her master. "I wish the thro girls you purchest had been all grown," an overseer wrote to ${ }^{10}$ absent master. "They wold then bin a wife a pese for Harise \& Kinf \& Nathan. Harris has Jane for a wife and Nathan has Edy. Bu King \& Nathan had sum difuculty hoo wold have Edy. I promis
ing that I wold in dever to git you to bey a nother woman sow he ight have a wife at home."
Sexual activity for the male slave after the day's work was one was considered by the slave and master to be in the nature of reward, but it is difficult to make such a generalization for the male. The accepted modern authority on slavery, Kenneth M. tampp, writes, "Having to submit to the superior power of their asters, many slaves were extremely aggressive toward each other." is consistent with the nature of oppression that within an opessed group, men abuse women. "We don't care what they do hen their tasks are over-we lose sight of them till next day," one anter wrote. "Their morals and manners are in their own keepg. The men may have, for instance, as many wives as they please, long as they do not quarrel about such matters."
Another slave owner kept marital law and order in the followg fashion, as recorded in his diary: "Flogged Joe Goodwyn and dered him to go back to his wife. Dito Gabriel and Molly and dered them to come together again. Separate Moses and Anny rally. And flogged Tom Kollock [for] interfering with Maggy ambell, Sullivan's wife." The narrative of Charles Ball, Fifty ears in Chains, tells of a slave woman who was forced to live with fellow slave whom she thoroughly detested and feared-and who ver stopped reminding her that in Africa he had ten wives! That arm, sustained relationships did develop between male and male slaves in bondage is a most profound testament to what can ly be called humanity, which everything in slave life conspired to stroy.
Field laborer, house servant and breeder woman were the incipal economic roles of the female slave, but she was also used her white owner for his own sexual-recreational pleasure, a erarchical privilege that spilled over to his neighbors ("I believe is the custom among the Patriarchs to make an interchange of ilities of this kind," wrote a correspondent in Missouri to a New ork newspaper in 1859 ), and to his young sons eager for initiation to the mysteries of sex. The privilege, apparently, was also excted by visitors. "Will you believe it, I have not humped a single ulatto since I am here," an aide of Steuben's wrote to a friend in ndemnation of the lack of hospitality at George Washington's ount Vernon.

The sexual privilege also filtered down to lower-class white males in the planter's employ (overseers with the power of thr whip and craft workers with access to the plantation) and to certain black male slaves ("drivers") who were also handed the whip and directed to play an enforcer role within the system. A the top of the hierarchy, setting the style, was the white master.

Nehemiah Caulkins testified:
This same planter had a female slave who was a member of the Methodist Church; for a slave she was intelligent and conscientiou He proposed a criminal intercourse with her. She would not compl He left her and sent for the overseer, and told him to have he flogged. It was done. Not long after, he renewed his proposal. S again refused. She was again whipped. He then told her why st had been twice flogged, and told her he intended to whip her til she should yield. The girl, seeing that her case was hopeless, b back smarting with the scourging she had received and dreading repetition, gave herself up to be the victim of his brutal lusts.

Solomon Northup, a shanghaied New York freedman who wa forced to spend twelve years on a Louisiana plantation and late published his narrative of bondage, wrote a sympathetic descrip tion of a field slave, Patsey, who had to endure her master "attentions,"

Patsey was slim and straight. She stood erect as the hum form is capable of standing. There was an air of loftiness in he movement that neither labor, nor weariness, nor punishment coll destroy. Truly, Patsey was a splendid animal, and were it not th? bondage had enshrouded her intellect in utter and everlasting darb ness, would have been chief among ten thousand of her people. Sb could leap the highest fences, and a fleet hound it was indeed th could outstrip her in a race. No horse could fling her from his bac She was a skillful teamster. She turned as true a furrow as the be and at splitting rails there was none who could excel her. lightning-like motion was in her fingers as no other fingers el possessed, and therefore it was that in cotton picking time, Patse was queen of the field.

Yet Patsey wept oftener, and suffered more, than any of he companions. She had literally been excoriated. Her back bore th scars of a thousand stripes; not because she was of an unmindful al
rebellious spirit, but because it had fallen to her lot to be the slave of a licentious master and a jealous mistress. She shrank before the lustful eye of one, and was in danger even of her life at the hands of the other, and between the two, she was indeed accursed. . . . but not like Joseph, dared she escape from Master Epps, leaving her garment in his hand. Patsey walked under a cloud. If she uttered a word in opposition to her master's will, the lash was resorted to at once, to bring her to subjection; if she was not watchful when about her cabin, or when walking in the yard, a billet of wood, or a broken bottle perhaps, hurled from her mistress's hand, would smite her unexpectedly in the face. The enslaved victim of lust and hate, Patsey had no comfort of her life.

Northup described one incident in the field when he and atsey were hoeing side by side. Patsey suddenly exclaimed in a low pice, "D'ye see old Hog Jaw beckoning me to come to him?"

Glancing sideways, I discovered him in the edge of the field, motioning and grimacing, as was his habit when half-intoxicated. Aware of his lewd intentions, Patsey began to cry. I whispered her not to look up, and to continue her work as if she had not observed him. Suspecting the truth of the matter, however, he soon staggered up to me in a great rage.
"What did you say to Pats?" he demanded with an oath. I made him some evasive answer which only had the effect of increasing his violence.
"How long have you owned this plantation, say, you d-d nig. ger?"

Master Epps chased Northup across the field and then reirned to Patsey. "He remained about the field an hour or more.
. Finally Epps came toward the house, by this time nearly pber, walking demurely with his hands behind his back, and at"mpting to look as innocent as a child."
Patsey's story had a terrible ending. The jealous Epps became onvinced that his slave had had relations with a white neighbor.
e ordered her stripped, staked and beaten into listlessness. "In-
ced, from that time forward she was not what she had been. . . .
he no longer moved with that buoyant and elastic step-there as not that mirthful sparkle in her eyes that formerly distin-
guished her. The bounding vigor-the sprightly, laughter-loving spirit of her youth, was gone."

Narratives such as Northup's, published by the Northem abolitionist press in the nineteenth century, and oral histories of former slaves that the Federal Works Projects Administration col. lected in the nineteen thirties cast cold light on the life-style of slavery. When the female ex-slave was asked to tell of her expen ences, not surprisingly she did not dwell on sex. "Them wz tribbolashuns," and a combination of propriety, modesty and acute shame on the part of narrator and recorder must have conspired to close the door on any specific revelations. (Male ex-slaves, because of a freer convention among men, were permitted to discuss the sexual abuse of females.)

But horror at the sexual abuse of enslaved black women was? recurring theme among white female abolitionists. The Grimkt sisters of South Carolina and Margaret Douglass and Lydia Maris Child, among others, did not let it rest. They spoke and pamphle teered relentlessly (but alas, delicately-so dictated the times) on of a strong sense of identification with their black sisters in bond age. Margaret Douglass, a Southern white woman who was con victed and jailed in Virginia for teaching black children to read wrote from prison in 1853:

The female slave, however fair she may have become by variou comminglings of her progenitors, or whatever her mental and mord acquirements may be, knows that she is a slave, and, as such, power less beneath the whims and fancies of her master. If he casts upon her a desiring eye, she knows that she must submit; and her onl thought is, that the more gracefully she yields, the stronger and longer hold she may perchance retain upon the brutal appetite d her master. Still, she feels her degradation, and so do others witt whom she is connected. She has parents, brothers, sisters, a love perhaps, who all suffer through her and with her.

The politically keen Mrs. Douglass, writing to a white aud ence, then added these lines:

White mothers and daughters of the South have suffered und this custom for years; they have seen their dearest affection
trampled upon, their hopes of domestic happiness destroyed. I cannot use too strong language on this subject, for I know it will meet a heartfelt response from every Southern woman. They know the facts, and their hearts bleed under its knowledge, however they may have attempted to conceal their discoveries.*

## Irs. Douglass' analysis went further:

Will not the natural impulses rebel against what becomes with them a matter of force? For the female slave knows that she must submit to the caprices of her master; that there is no way of escape. And when a man, black though he may be, knows that he may be compelled, at any moment, to hand over his wife, his sister, or his daughter, to the loathed embraces of the man whose chains he wears, how can it be expected he will submit without feelings of hatred and revenge taking possession of his heart?

The slave's revenge took many forms-although white retriution was swift and certain. A traveler through the South wrote in 856:

A Negress was hung this year in Alabama, for the murder of her child. At her trial, she confessed her guilt. She said her owner was the father of the child, and that her mistress knew it, and treated her so cruelly in consequence, that she had killed it to save it from further suffering, and also to remove a provocation to her own illtreatment.

A visitor to Mississippi in 1836 sent a letter to a Northern fiend:

The day I arrived at this place there was a man by the name of G murdered by a Negro man that belonged to him. [The black man was publicly lynched.] G_ owned the Negro's wife and was in the habit of sleeping with her! The Negro said he had killed him and he believed he should be rewarded in heaven for it.

Kenneth Stampp unfairly uses this portion of Mrs. Douglass' letter to butess his contention that "Southern white women apparently believed that ey suffered most from the effects of miscegenation."

The narrative of Charles Ball tells of a mulatto slave woman Lucy, who rebelled against her forced sexual servitude to her whit owner and successfully plotted with her slave lover, Frank, to ki him. Charles Ball himself played a role in their apprehension an confession. Lucy and Frank "were tried before some gentlemen 0 the neighborhood, who held a court for that purpose," and wel hanged at a public gallows. "It was estimated by my master," Bal records, "that there were at least fifteen thousand people present 2 this scene, more than half of whom were blacks; all the masters, fo a great distance round the country, having permitted, or compelle their people to come to this hanging."

The case of Peggy and Patrick received considerable notoriet in New Kent County, Virginia, in 1830 . This pair of slaves, wh were lovers, were condemned to be hanged for murdering thei master. Extenuating circumstances caused the local white citizen of New Kent to submit a petition to the governor asking tha punishment for the pair be reduced to "transportation."

One black witness whose testimony was solicited declare that
the deceased to whom Peggy belonged had had a disagreement wit Peggy, and generally kept her confined by keeping her chained to block and locked up in his meat house; that he [the witness] be lieved the reason why the deceased had treated Peggy in this way wi because Peggy would not consent to intercourse with him, and th he had heard the deceased say that if Peggy did not agree to $h$ request in that way, he would beat her almost to death, that would barely leave the life in her, and would send her to New 0 leans. The witness said that Peggy said the reason she would n yield to his request was because the deceased was her father, an she could not do a thing of that sort with her father. The witne heard the deceased say to Peggy that if she did not consent, would make him, the witness, and Patrick hold her, to enable to effect his object.

Since it was the slaveholding class that created the languag and wrote the laws pertaining to slavery, it is not surprising the legally the concept of raping a slave simply did not exist. On cannot rape one's own property. The rape of one man's slave b another white man was considered a mere "trespass" in the eyes 0
intation law. The rape of one man's slave by another slave had official recognition in law at all.*
Moral objections to the "liberties" that the slaveholder and overseer took as a matter of course were voiced within the oddly gled framework of miscegenation, amalgamation, mixture of the es, licentiousness, degradation and lust. Typically for the power iss, the slave's coerced participation in the act was turned on her. ${ }^{\text {er }}$ passive submission-the rule of survival in slavery-was styled concubinage, prostitution or promiscuity when it was alluded to all. Even the Northern abolitionists shied away from defining ercive sexual abuse under slavery as criminal rape, preferring to eak emotionally, but guardedly, of illicit passion and lust. Modhistorians tend to operate under the same set of blinders.
The patriarchal institution of marriage dovetailed with the triarchal institution of slavery to prevent perception, by even the ost enlightened observers, of a concept of sexual rights and bodily egrity for the female slave. In the nineteenth century, a married man was considered by law to be the property of her husband, d any abuse to her person was considered, by law, to be an abuse his property. If the woman was not married, the abuse was to father's property. But slaves were not permitted to marry t be cond criminal sexual abuse of a female slave (a rape) could be considered by law an affront to her slave "husband" or slave
her, who had no rights of their own. The examples we find in
olitionist literature that express concern over the sexual abuse of nale slaves are frequently couched in terms of sympathy for the used women's husbands! As a Maryland lawyer observed at the ne, "Slaves are bound by our criminal laws generally, yet we do $t$ consider them as the objects of such laws as relate to the mmerce between the sexes. A slave has never maintained an ion against the violator of his bed." Of his bed.
Statutory prohibitions against interracial sex, or more accuely, against the act of sex between slaveholder and slave, were on

Ome evidence exists that masters attempted to police, in their own fashion, ertisement in the Elkaton, male slaves committed against females. An 1828 crtisement in the Elkton, Maryland, Press for runaway "Negro George derson, about 21 or 22 years of age," declared informatively, "A few days own absconded he attempted to commit a rape upon a young female of own color, the punishment for which has caused his running off."
crime of men into a matter of the "character" of women, in the ag old tradition.

In the winter of $1838-1839$, while Weld and the Grimke were compiling their documentary record of slavery in New Yor the English actress Fanny Kemble was in residence on a Georg island plantation, recording her shocked observations in a journ that remained suppressed for twenty-five years. The celebrated arb strong-minded Miss Kemble had inadvisedly married a your Philadelphian, Pierce Butler, who inherited a pair of cotton and ria plantations employing more than one thousand slaves. The ma riage went badly, but it proved invaluable to history, for Fan Kemble traveled with her husband to Georgia and wrote dow what she saw in the form of letters to a friend.

As Fanny Kemble made the acquaintance of slaves on the husband's plantation, it dawned on her that the complexion some of them was decidedly light, and for a very specific reason the plantation's overseer, John King. She described the slar woman Betty:

Of this woman's life on the plantation I subsequently learn the following circumstances. She was the wife of head man Fra . . . the head driver-second in command to the overseer. His wi [Betty]-a tidy, trim intelligent woman with a pretty figure . was taken from him by the overseer . . . and she had a son by hi whose straight features and diluted color . . . bear witness to Yankee descent. I do not know how long Mr. King's occupation Frank's wife continued, or how the latter endured the wrong do to him [italics mine]. This outrage upon this man's rights [itali mine] was perfectly notorious among all the slaves; and his hopef offspring, Renty, allud[ed] to his superior birth on one occasion.

Betty was not the only slave on the Butler plantation who the white overseer, King, forced into sexual service, Fanny Kemb discovered.

Before reaching the house I was stopped by one of our mulo tudinous Jennies with a request for some meat, and that I woul help her with some clothes for Ben and Daphne, of whom she by the sole charge; these are two extremely pretty and interesting 100 ing mulatto children, whose resemblance to Mr. King had induc me to ask Mr. Butler, when I first saw them, if he did not think th
must be his children. He said they were certainly like him, but Mr. King did not acknowledge the relationship. I asked Jenny who their mother was. "Minda." "Who their father?" "Mr. King." . . "Who told you so?" "Minda, who ought to know." "Mr. King denies it." "That's because he never has looked upon them, nor done a thing for them." "Well, but he acknowledged Renty as his son, why should he deny these?" "Because old master was here then when Renty was born, and he made Betty tell all about it, and Mr. King had to own it; but nobody knows anything about this, and so he denies it."

The Butler plantation operated under absentee ownership for nost of the year and the white overseer, King, was left in charge as virtual dictator. The power of his station, and its sexual privieges, extended to those directly below him in the chain of comland, the black drivers, who themselves were slaves. Owners verseers, drivers, neighboring white men-all could force the lack woman against her will, and she was held morally responsible or the injury done to her. Fanny Kemble herself started from this remise, but rejected it in time.

Quizzing more of her husband's slaves about the paternity of neir offspring and hearing the names King and Walker (a white pill hand) and Morris (a black driver) repeated by many of them, he recorded:

Almost beyond my patience with this string of detestable details, 1 exclaimed-foolishly enough, heaven knows- "Ah! but don't you know-did nobody ever tell or teach any of you that it is a sin to live with men who are not your husbands?" Alas, Elizabeth, what could the poor creature answer but what she did, seizing me at the same time vehemently by the wrist: "Oh yes, missis, we know-we know all about dat well enough; but we do anything to get our poor flesh some rest from de whip; when he made me follow him into de bush, what use me tell him no? He have strength to make me." I have written down the woman's words; I wish I could write down the voice and look of abject misery with which they were spoken. Now you will observe that the story was not told to me as a complaint; it was a thing long past and over, of which she only spoke in the natural course of accounting for her children to me. I make no comment; what need, or can I add, to such stories? But how is such a state of things to endure? and again, how is it to end?

Kemble privately circulated a handwritten copy of her journa among her friends and it quickly gained an underground reputation as the most explosive insider's antislavery testament. Lydia Mari Child urged her to publish portions of it, at least, as ammunition for the abolitionist cause but Pierce Butler flatly refused permis sion. As a slaveholder he thought the journal was unseemly, whichil was. As a husband he could withhold consent, by law, to ant publication of his wife's, which he did. The journal, Kemble antislavery views, and her equally daring belief in equality in mat riage, figured prominently in Butler's eventual suit for divore Butler won custody of their two children and the visitation-right agreement stipulated that Kemble must do nothing to embarras him. In 1863, earning her own living again on the English stage Fanny Kemble finally published her Georgia journal. By that tim: the War Between the States was well under way and Harric Beecher Stowe's novel, based in part on the Weld-Grimké par phlet, had stolen much of her thunder.

The appointed roles of concubine and breeder woman forcibly progressed to outright prostitution in the last decades of slavery Traders dispensed with pretense and openly sold their prettiest and "near-white" female chattel for sexual use on the New Orleap market. The cavalier term was "fancy girl." The place was the French Exchange in the grand rotunda of the St. Louis Hotel, ab the favored hour was noon. This gaudy fillip to the slave trade wz no more than a logical extension of institutional rape, the fins indignity.
"Every slaveholder is the legalized keeper of a house of il fame," the ex-slave and orator Frederick Douglass thundered to ${ }^{21}$ abolitionist meeting in Rochester, New York, in 1850. Douglas understanding of the dynamics of slavery far surpassed that of ars. other single person. That night in Rochester he instructed b audience in the dynamics of sexual oppression.

I hold myself ready to prove that more than a million of wome in the Southern States of this Union, are, by laws of the land, ap through no fault of their own, consigned to a life of revolting prot titution; that, by those laws, in many of the States, if a woman, defence of her own innocence, shall lift her hand against the bruth aggressor, she may be lawfully put to death. I hold myself ready ${ }^{\text {t }}$ prove, by the laws of slave states, that three million of the people 0
those States are utterly incapacitated to form marriage contraets. I am also prepared to prove that slave breeding is relied upon by Virginia as one of her chief sources of wealth. It has long been known that the best blood of Virginia may now be found in the slave markets of New Orleans. It is also known that slave women, who are nearly white, are sold in those markets, at prices which proclaim, trumpet-tongued, the accursed purposes to which they are to be devoted. Youth and elegance, beauty and innocence, are exposed for sale upon the auction block; while villainous monsters stand around, with pockets lined with gold, gazing with lustful eyes upon their prospective victims.

New Orleans was "fully tenfold the largest market for 'fancy rls,'" Frederic Bancroft wrote in his unmatched study, Slave rading in the Old South. "The prospect of great profit induced heir conspicuous display." Beautiful New Orleans! Ambitious avers chained their prettiest catches to the coffle and headed for he balmy Gulf port. Racing season and Mardi Gras were especially emunerative times. The Hotel St, Louis on Chartres Street was a eehive of activity. Bilingual auctioneers tickled the libido of the orting men in simultaneous French and English, for a 2 percent ommission. The slave women stood near the auctioneer's hammer nd smiled, bedecked in bonnets and ribbons. Sales of two thouInd dollars and up were not unusual. Private rooms off the main ptunda of the Exchange were always available for the gentleman to wished to inspect his prospective purchase. Inspection at the rench Exchange was a serious matter. "To gamblers, traders, loonkeepers, turfmen and debauchees, owning a 'fancy girl' was a xurious ideal."
The master-slave relationship is the most popular fantasy perersion in the literature of pornography. The image of a scantily othed slave girl, always nubile, always beautiful, always docile, ho sinks to her knees gracefully and dutifully before her master, ho stands with or without boots, with or without whip, is comonly accepted as a scene of titillating sexuality. From the slave arems of the Oriental potentate, celebrated in poetry and dance, the breathless descriptions of light-skinned fancy women, de gueur in a particular genre of pulp historical fiction, the glorificaon of forced sex under slavery, institutional rape, has been a part our cultural heritage, feeding the egos of men while subverting e egos of women-and doing irreparable damage to healthy
sexuality in the process. The very words "slave girl" impart to man a vision of voluptuous sensuality redolent of perfumed gardens an soft music strummed on a lyre. Such is the legacy of male.co trolled sexuality, under which we struggle.

## Addendum: The Cliometricians

By running two sets of statistics into a computer and b making a few unsupported, outlandish statements, "cliomet cians" Robert Fogel and Stanley Engerman argue in Time on th Cross, their statistical view of slave history, that the sexual abused black women by white men was not a common occurrence. D missing all known reports collected by the abolitionists, they writ

Even if all these reports were true, they constituted at most few hundred cases. By themselves, such a small number of obse vations out of a population of millions could just as easily be us: as proof of the infrequency of the sexual exploitation of blb women as of its frequency. The real question is whether such cas were common events that were rarely reported, or whether they wo rare events that were frequently reported.

This is a "real question" only for someone who does not wa to accept how infrequently cases of sexual assault are reported evo in this day and age, let alone in the time when Angelina Grim wrote, "We forbear to lift the veil of private life any higher."

Fogel and Engerman heap scorn on Fanny Kemble for havin a distorted vision of slavery based on her "upper-class English bias. In fact, Kemble's origins were not upper class. She was thi daughter of a family of celebrated but impecunious actors " relied on her income-hence her gamble on a marriage to Piert Butler. Ignoring the reasons why her Journal remained supprest for twenty-five years, they try to slough it off as "a polemic aime at rallying British support to the northern cause." It is not polemic, as the dictionary defines the word, nor was it aimed at th British at the time of its inception. These errors of fact and inte pretation could have been cleared up if Fogel and Engerman be read the Journal in its entirety, had read the Butler divorce paper or had read one of the several biographies of Kemble.

Claiming they deal in facts, not conjecture, the authors, by esenting the results of two tangential computer runs, argue that hite men did not as a rule molest black women, coyly adding that their opinion interracial exploitation "would undermine the air mystery and distinction on which so much of the authority of ge planters rested." The first standard they employ is an analysis the number of mulattoes reported in the 1860 census. Thirtyne percent of the freedmen in Southern cities were reported as ulatto that year. Among urban slaves the proportion was 20 ercent and among rural slaves, who constituted 95 percent of the ave population, the percentage of reported mulattoes was 9.9 . nce the overwhelming majority of slaves lived in rural areas, the thors required no sleight of hand to arrive at a figure of 10.4 ercent for the census proportion of mulattoes in the entire Southn slave population. From this they conclude, "Far from proving at the exploitation of black women was ubiquitous, the available ta on mulattoes strongly militates against that contention."
Several things are wrong here. The progeny of an interracial pion can "come up dark" or "come up light," so in itself the color the offspring is no sure-fire test. Secondly, how were these 1860 nsus reports obtained? In their supplemental methodology volne Fogel and Engerman tell us that the census was taken by housands of enumerators" who were "drawn from the category of erate middle- and upper-class whites," and who used the criterion skin color. We may assume that the freedmen reported their ritage to the enumerators in person, but do the authors suggest at the slaves did the same, or that the industrious enumerators tered the grounds of each and every plantation and counted ads and judged color from shack to shack?
It is reasonable to assume that the owners did all the reporting their slaves, particularly in the rural areas, and it is reasonable assume that plantation owners would be most reluctant to mit to the government that they were siring mulatto children, pecially since miscegenation was technically against the law. antation owners, I am certain, saw what they wanted to see, and ported what they wanted to report to their class allies, those iddle and upper-class white enumerators. Any census statistic on ${ }^{\text {e }}$ proportion of mulattoes on a plantation would be a most reliable figure. In addition, why do Fogel and Engerman assume at a rape, even in a "non-contraceptive society," as they put it, is
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## The Police-Blotter Rapist

The typical American rapist might be the boy next doo Especially if the boy next door happens to be about 19 years of 28 and the neighborhood you live in happens to fit the socioeconomi description of lower class or bears the appellation of "ghetto." The is what the statistics show.

One must approach all statistics with caution if one is going make generalizations, particularly statistics regarding violent crim Statisticians of crime are routine fact gatherers, and the raw mat rial they work with is usually mined from police-precinct arte records or from records of convictions. Since there are many acts rape, few arrests and still fewer convictions, a huge gulf of unaval able information unfortunately exists.

Police in every town and city compile their figures based 0 those offenders they manage to catch: height, weight, age, race modus operandi, previous arrest record, etc. These figures are to warded yearly to Washington, fed into computers and ground ou again as the most comprehensive national statistics on forcible rup that we have: the Uniform Crime Reports put out by the Feder Bureau of Investigation. The Uniform Crime Reports and a fo intensive studies done by a handful of criminologists allow us draw up a profile of the All-American rapist.

Before we go any further let us remember that we are travelin on a road marked with cautionary blinking lights. A feminist de nition of rape goes beyond the legal, criminal definition with whid
e nation's system of jurisprudence concerns itself, and later on in s book we will deal with an extended definition of rape and ists. Then, according to the FBI itself, forcible rape is "one of e most under-reported crimes due primarily to fear and/or emrrassment on the part of the victim," and one in five rapes, or ssibly one in twenty, may actually be reported, which skews all cordable statistics. Further, a provable bias by police and juries anst the word of the female victim-and particularly the word a black female victim-drastically cuts down on the number of ses available for study. On a national average, police say that 15 rcent of all rape cases reported to them turn out on cursory estigation to be "unfounded"-in other words, they didn't beve the complainant. In reported rape cases where the police do lieve the victim, only 51 percent of the offenders are actually prehended, and of these, 76 percent are prosecuted, and of these, percent are acquitted or have their case dismissed. (In some ales the conviction rate based on arrests is a shocking 3 rent.)
In 1973 the FBI reported 51,000 "founded" cases of forcible e and attempted rape across the United States, a rise, it noted, 10 percent over the previous year and a rise of 62 percent over a eyear period. Its figures did not include statutory rape offenses. venty-three percent of the FBI's reported cases were completed es and the remaining ones were assaults or attempts to commit e that fell short of completion. If we say conservatively that y one in five rape incidents was actually reported, we arrive at a are of 255,000 rapes and attempted rapes in these United States 1973, a figure that I consider to be an unemotional, rock-bottom nimum. For purposes of comparison we should note that during same year the FBI reported 19,510 murders, 416,270 aggraed assaults and 382,680 robberies.
Murder, assault, rape and robbery are the Big Four of violent mes, and rape is the fastest-rising. The volume of rapes has reased 62 percent over a five-year period as compared with a 45 cent rise for the other criminal acts. Not given to speculation, FBI does not venture as to why. It might mean that there has en a rise in the reporting of rapes by victims who have gained rage to speak out from the women's movement-this is most ely-or it could mean a significant rise in hostility and violence ected at women. We simply cannot say for certain.

Of the 51,000 rape cases that the police believed and reported on to the FBI in 1973, they managed a "clearance"-in othe words, they made an arrest-in 51 percent of the crimes. Compar tively, the police clearance rate was 79 percent for murder, 6 percent for aggravated assault and 27 percent for robbery offens ${ }^{\circ}$ In the field of violent crime, only robbery has a lower clearance rat than rape.

Who, then, are the police-blotter rapists who form the rat material for the Uniform Crime Reports analysis? Sixty-one per cent are under the age of 25 ; the largest concentration of offender is in the 16 -to-24-year age range. According to the FBI, 47 percen are black and 51 percent are white, and "all other races comprise" the remainder."

Evan Connell, a novelist of some repute, wrote a tour de fort some years ago entitled The Diary of a Rapist. Connell's pro tagonist, Earl Summerfield, was a timid, white, middle-class cive service clerk, age 27 , who had an inferiority complex, delusions ${ }^{\circ}$ intellectual brilliance, a wretched, deprived sex life, and an olde nagging, ambitious, "castrating" wife. Connell's book made gip ping reading, but the portrait of Earl Summerfield was far from 2 accurate picture of an average real-life rapist. In fact, Connell Diary contains almost every myth and misconception about rapp and rapists that is held in the popular mind. From the no-nonsens FBI statistics and some intensive sociological studies that are bt ginning to appear, we can see that the typical American rapist is $\mathbb{D}$ weirdo, psycho schizophrenic beset by timidity, sexual deprivation and a domineering wife or mother. Although the psycho rapis whatever his family background, certainly does exist, just as th psycho murderer certainly does exist, he is the exception and no the rule. The typical American perpetrator of forcible rape is litt more than an aggressive, hostile youth who chooses to do violenc to women.

We may thank the legacy of Freudian psychology for fosterir a totally inaccurate popular conception of rape. Freud himse remarkable as this may seem, said nothing about rapists. His cos federates were slightly more loquacious, but not by much. mentioned rape only in a few of his mythological interpretation Alfred Adler, a man who understood the power thrust of the $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and who was a firm believer in equal rights for women, neve mentioned rape in any of his writings. Deutsch and Horney, tiv
rilliant women, looked at rape only from the psychology of the ctim.

In the nineteen fifties a school of criminology arose that was ecidedly pro-Freudian in its orientation and it quickly dominated neglected field. But even among the Freudian criminologists here was a curious reluctance to tackle rape head on. The finest brary of Freudian and Freudian-related literature, the A. A. Brill ollection, housed at the New York Psychoanalytic Institute, conins an impressive number of weighty tomes devoted to the study exhibitionism (public exposure of the penis) yet no Freudian or sychoanalytic authority has ever written a major volume on rape. rticles on rape in psychology journals have been sparse to the oint of nonexistence.
Why the Freudians could never come to terms with rape is a uzzling question. It would not be too glib to suggest that the male as of the discipline, with its insistence on the primacy of the enis, rendered it incapable of seeing the forest for the trees. And en, the use of an intuitive approach based largely on analysis of liosyncratic case studies allowed for no objective sampling. But rhaps most critically, the serious failure of the Freudians emmed from their rigid unwillingness to make a moral judgment. he major psychoanalytic thrust was always to "understand" what ey preferred to call "deviant sexual behavior," but never to ndemn.
"Philosophically," wrote Dr. Manfred Guttmacher in 1951, "a offense is an act which offends the sex mores of the society in hich the individual lives. And it offends chiefly because it generes anxiety among the members of that society. Moreover, prohibd acts generate the greatest anxiety in those individuals who emselves have strong unconscious desires to commit similar or lated acts and who have suppressed or repressed them. These tions of others threaten our ego defenses."
This classic paragraph, I believe, explains most clearly the eudian dilemma.
When the Freudian-oriented criminologists did attempt to Ppple with rape they lumped the crime together with exhibiprism (their hands-down favorite!), homosexuality, prostitution, romania and even oral intercourse in huge, undigestible volumes at sometimes bore a warning notice on the flyleaf that the matecontained herein might advisably be restricted to adults.

Guttmacher's Sex Offenses and Benjamin Karpman's The Sex Offender and His Offenses were two such products of the fiftio Reading through these and other volumes it is possible to stumb on a nugget of fact or a valuable insight, and we ought to keep mind, I guess, how brave they must have seemed at the time. Aft all, they were dealing not only with se-x, but with aberrant se and in their misguided way they were attempting to forge a ne understanding. "Moral opprobrium has no place in medical work wrote Karpman. A fine sentiment, indeed, yet one hundred pag earlier this same Karpman in this same book defined perversity "a sexual act that defies the biological goal of procreation."

By and large the Freudian criminologists, who loved quibble with one another, defined the rapist as a victim of "uncontrollable urge" that was "infantile" in nature, the result of thwarted "natural" impulse to have intercourse with his moth His act of rape was "a neurotic overreaction" that stemmed fro his "feelings of inadequacy." To sum up in the Freudian's favori" phrase, he was "a sexual psychopath." Rapists, wrote Karpm were "victims of a disease from which many of them suffer mo than their victims."

This, I should amend, was a picture of the Freudians' favon rapist, the one they felt they might be able to treat. Dr. Gut macher, for one, was aware that other types of rapists existed b they frankly bored him. Some, he said, were "sadistic," imbu with an exaggerated concept of masculine sexual activity, and som seemed "like the soldier of a conquering army." "Apparently," wrote, "sexually well-adjusted youths have in one night committ a series of burglaries and, in the course of one of them, committ rape-apparently just as another act of plunder."

Guttmacher was chief medical officer for the Baltimore criv nal courts. His chilling passing observation that rapists might sexually well-adjusted youths was a reflection of his Freudian beli in the supreme rightness of male dominance and aggression, common theme that runs through Freudian-oriented criminolog cal literature. But quickly putting the "sexually well-adjuste youths" aside, Guttmacher dove into clinical studies of two rapis put at his disposal who were more to his liking. Both were $n$ biters and both had "nagging mothers." One had an undescend testicle. In his dreary record of how frequently they masturbat
d wet their beds, he never bothered to write down what they ought of women.
Perhaps the quintessential Freudian approach to rape was a 54 Rorschach study conducted on the wives of eight, count 'em, sht, convicted rapists, which brought forth this sweeping indictent from one of the authors, the eminent psychoanalyst and minologist Dr. David Abrahamsen:

The conclusions reached were that the wives of the sex offenders on the surface behaved toward men in a submissive and masochistic way but latently denied their femininity and showed an aggressive masculine orientation; they unconsciously invited sexual aggression, only to respond to it with coolness and rejection. They stimulated their husbands into attempts to prove themselves, attempts which necessarily ended in frustration and increased their husbands' own doubts about their masculinity. In doing so, the wives unknowingly continued the type of relationship the offender had had with his mother. There can be no doubt that the sexual frustration which the wives caused is one of the factors motivating rape, which might be tentatively described as a displaced attempt to force a seductive but rejecting mother into submission.

In the nineteen sixties, leadership in the field of criminology sed to the sociologists, and a good thing it was.* Concerned

Pransitions are never clean. In 1965 a 900 -page volume called Sex Offenders Paul Gebhard and other members of the Institute for Sex Research ended by the late Alfred C. Kinsey put in an appearance. The Gebhard ome forms a sort of missing link between the idiosyncratic Freudians the sociological approach. Suffering from the racial bias that marred the rk of Dr. Kinsey, Gebhard's group tried to find meaningful differences-or itrarily exclung convicted rapists, child molesters and homosexuals but Itratily excluded blacks from their study because "their sexual behavior attitudes differ to some degree." The spirit of Kinsey floats over this work. bhard tells us, "As Dr. Kinsey often said, the difference between a 'good e finally a 'rape' may hinge on whether the girl's parents were awake when - finally arrived home." Elsewhere Gebhard divines that the reason there so few female sex offenders is because "the average woman has a weaker drive' than the average male." Perhaps the most usable observation to me out of this comparison study (of nocturnal emissions, masturbatory berital coiturs) contact, and incidence of premarital, extramarital and postrital coitus) is a remark that "the heterosexual adjustment" of rapists "is antitatively well above average" when compared to a control group of
with measuring the behavior of groups and their social value instead of relying on extrapolation from individual case studies, sociologists gave us charts, tables, diagrams, theories of social ro vance, and, above all, hard, cold statistical facts about crime. (l us give credit where credit is due. The rise of computer technole greatly facilitated this kind of research.)

In 1971 Menachem Amir, an Israeli sociologist and a stude of Marvin E. Wolfgang, America's leading criminologist, publish a study of rape in the city of Philadelphia, begun ten years befo Patterns in Forcible Rape, a difficult book for those who choke methodological jargon, was annoyingly obtuse about the culture conditioned behavior of women in situations involving the thro of force, but despite its shortcomings the Philadelphia study was eye-opener. It was the first pragmatic, in-depth statistical study the nature of rape and rapists. Going far beyond the limited visi of the police and the Uniform Crime Reports, or the idiosyncra concerns of the Freudians, Amir fed his computer such variables modus operandi, gang rape versus individual rape, economic dz prior relationships between victim and offender, and both rad and interracial factors. For the first time in history the sharpedg profile of the typical rapist was allowed to emerge. It turned 0 that he was, for the most part, an unextraordinary, violence-pro fellow.

Marvin Wolfgang, Amir's mentor at the University of Per sylvania's school of criminology, deserves credit for the theory "the subculture of violence," which he developed at length in own work. An understanding of the subculture of violence is cm cal to an understanding of the forcible rapist. "Social class," wro Wolfgang, "looms large in all studies of violent crime." Wo gang's theory, and I must oversimplify, is that within the dor nant value system of our culture there exists a subculture formed those from the lower classes, the poor, the disenfranchised,
church members and union men, which says as much about Gebhard's 55 dards of heterosexual adjustment as it does about rapists. Another usable servation, but one that the reader must make, is that the incidence of $p$ turnal emission, masturbation, animal contact, and premarital, extramar and postmarital coitus has no relevance whatsoever to the study of why rape. And perhaps a 900 -page volume was necessary to prove it.
lack, whose values often run counter to those of the dominant ulture, the people in charge. The dominant culture can operate ithin the laws of civility because it has little need to resort to iolence to get what it wants. The subculture, thwarted, inarticute and angry, is quick to resort to violence; indeed, violence and hysical aggression become a common way of life. Particularly for oung males.

Wolfgang's theory of crime, and unlike other theories his is pundly based on statistical analysis, may not appear to contain all ee answers, particularly the kind of answers desired by liberals ho want to excuse crimes of violence strictly on the basis of social requities in the system, but Wolfgang would be the first to say hat social injustice is one of the root causes of the subculture of olence. His theory also would not satisfy radical thinkers who refer to interpret all violence as the product of the governmental ierarchy and its superstructure of repression.

But there is no getting around the fact that most of those who agage in antisocial, criminal violence (murder, assault, rape and bbery) come from the lower socioeconomic classes; and that ecause of their historic oppression the majority of black people are ontained within the lower socioeconomic classes and contribute to imes of violence in numbers disproportionate to their population tio in the census figures but not disproportionate to their posion on the economic ladder.
We are not talking about Jean Valjean, who stole a loaf of read in Les Misérables, but about physical aggression as "a emonstration of masculinity and toughness"-this phrase is Wolf-ng's-the prime tenet of the subculture of violence. Or, to use a urrent phrase, the machismo factor. Allegiance or conformity to achismo, particularly in a group or gang, is the sine qua non of atus, reputation and identity for lower-class male youth. Sexual gression, of course, is a major part of machismo.
The single most important contribution of Amir's Philadeliia study was to place the rapist squarely within the subculture of olence. The rapist, it was revealed, had no separate identifiable thology aside from the individual quirks and personality disturbces that might characterize any single offender who commits any rt of crime.
The patterns of rape that Amir was able to trace were drawn

Friday night, Saturday and Sunday appeared to be the favored time for commission of the crime, giving rape-for the rapists-an inter esting aspect of weekend conviviality and paycheck (or lack of paycheck) celebration. Nights in general were favored over days the top-risk hours for women were between 8 P.M. and 2 A.M. IB 85 percent of the 646 cases Amir examined, some form of physica force or the display of a weapon was required by the rapist of rapists to achieve their goal. In the remaining 15 percent, verbal intimidation or the sheer physical presence of the offenders sufficed to overcome a victim's resistance.

When other crimes of violence are compared statistically witl rape, the profile of the forcible rapist falls at a point midwa between the profile of the man who commits aggravated assaul and the man who commits robbery.* Variables that go into profile include age, race, occupation, spatial patterns of the crime modus operandi, the role played by alcohol, prior arrest record, etc When offender profiles for rape, robbery and assault are viewed side by side, the rapist emerges as the man in the middle. His is the least sharp image. His profile "borrows" characteristics from the others, so to speak. The rapist is slightly younger than the 25 saultive offender and slightly older than the robber or mugger. He uses less physical force than the average man arrested for aggr vated assault, but he employs more force than the average robber He drinks less alcohol before committing his crime than the man who is arrested for assault, but he drinks more alcohol prior to hi crime than the man who goes out to rob. He commits his crime less in his own neighborhood than the average man picked up for assault, but he does not range so far afield as the man who commit armed robbery.

Two further comparisons are somewhat related: Rape is mor frequently committed against a total stranger than assault, but les

[^9]requently committed against a total stranger than robbery. inally, a case of rape stands a greater chance of being interracial han a case of assault, but the interracial element is more frequent n robbery.

It seems likely that this "man in the middle" profile of the orcible rapist reflects the nature of his act, which "borrows" charcteristics from the other two offenses. Like assault, rape is an act f physical damage to another person, and like robbery it is also an ct of acquiring property: the intent is to "have" the female body n the acquisitory meaning of the term. A woman is perceived by he rapist both as hated person and desired property. Hostility gainst her and possession of her may be simultaneous motivations, nd the hatred for her is expressed in the same act that is the ttempt to "take" her against her will. In one violent crime, rape is n act against person and property.

Contrary to popular opinion, New York and Washington are ot the rape capitals of the nation. That honor, bestowed yearly by he FBI as a sort of negative Oscar, usually goes to Los Angeles, ut Denver, Little Rock, Memphis, San Francisco-Oakland, Las egas, Tallahassee and Albuquerque are right up there in the unning, and a good student of rape must always keep in mind that olice reporting procedures vary dramatically from city to city. The BI does note emphatically that cities with populations in excess ff a quarter of a million show higher rape rates per capita than uburban areas while rural areas lag far behind, so in this sense, ape can be said to be a big-city crime, although the rape rate in uburbia is noticeably rising. When rapes per capita are viewed eographically, the Southwestern states emerge as the champions. outhwestern states also lead the nation in rates for homicide and ssault, so Southern and Western traditions of violence would ppear to be an operative factor.

One statistical consideration that has received too much atention to the detriment of other aspects is the actual site of the rime. Brenda Brown's 1973 Memphis police department study eported that 34 percent of all rapes occurred in the victim's resience, usually by forced, illegal entry; 22 percent took place in utomobiles; 26 percent occurred in "open spaces" (alleys, parks, oads, on the street, in the bushes, behind a building, etc.); 9 ercent took place in the offender's residence; and the remaining 9
percent occurred indoors in a variety of places ranging from 2 church to an abandoned building.

The 17 -city survey conducted by the task force of the Nationa Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence reported that 52 percent of all rapes occurred in the home (most frequently in the bedroom); 23 percent occurred outside; 14 percent occurred in commercial establishments and other inside locations; and 11 percent occurred in automobiles.

Menachem Amir's Philadelphia study reported that 56 per cent of all rapes occurred in the home; 18 percent occurred in open spaces; 11 percent occurred in other indoor locations; and 15 per cent occurred in automobiles. Taking into account that an offender often escorts a victim, either by duress or through a ploy, to : propitious rape location, Amir was also concerned with what he called "the initial meeting place in a rape event." He found that in 48 percent of the cases, offenders first spotted their victims on the street.

According to these three sets of statistics, the street, the home and the automobile emerge as dangerous, high-risk places, so what is left? Good locks on doors and windows and admonitions against hitchhiking and walking alone at night in deserted places are the usual palliatives, but they do nothing to affect the rape ideology, of to increase our understanding of the crime.

Rape begins in the rapist's mind, and place may be irrelevant A small comparison study of rape patterns in Boston and Los Angeles is interesting in this respect. Densely packed Boston hasa relatively low reported rape rate while vast, sprawling Los Angeles, where people need cars to get about, is a leader. Two sociologist who scrutinized police reports in these two cities discovered that the Boston rapist was more likely to break into an apartment and confront his victim while the Los Angeles rapist was more likely to pick up his victim while cruising about in an automobile. They also found that gang rape was more common in Los Angeles than it was in Boston, which seems directly related to a transportation and mobility problem that encourages the practice of hitchhiking. Weapons were more frequently employed by the Boston rapist, ${ }^{2}$ phenomenon that seems reasonable since solitary offenders have more need for a show of force than gang rapists whose very number provides the display of power.

## airs, Groups and Gangs

Although it is not unusual to hear of one rapist who manages to eep a second victim at bay and immobilized while he methodilly attends to the first, the numerical odds in rape situations are ore typically in the rapist's favor. This in itself tells us much pout the nature of the act.

Group rape may be defined as two or more men assaulting one oman. As I have mentioned, Amir found that in 43 percent of his hiladelphia cases the female victim had two or more assailants. A oronto survey came up with a figure of 50 percent. A Washing. n, D.C., study reported 30 percent. In Toronto and Philadelphia, pists who operated in groups accounted for 71 percent of the tal number of offenders.
"Whatever may be the causal explanation, these results are nazing," wrote Amir, a man not given to hyperbole. The sociolost expressed this astonishment because psychiatric literature on pe had treated the phenomenon of group rape "with silence." olice departments, as a rule, do not tally group-rape statistics for ablic consumption and the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports do not palyze such information.

When men rape in pairs or in gangs, the sheer physical advange of their position is clear-cut and unquestionable. No simple onquest of man over woman, group rape is the conquest of men er Woman. It is within the phenomenon of group rape, stripped the possibility of equal combat, that the male ideology of rape is ost strikingly evident. Numerical odds are proof of brutal inten-
on. They are proof, too, of male bonding, to borrow a phrase
ade popular by Lionel Tiger, and proof of a desire to humiliate ee victim beyond the act of rape through the process of anonyous mass assault.
As we have seen in Bangladesh and Vietnam, men in war tend rape in groups in which they are anonymous and secure, and ainst the backdrop of an all-male army to which they have a rong male allegiance. In domestic group rape, male bonding is nilarly operative, whether the young men have loosely gotten gether of an evening or whether their relationship had been eviously formalized into a bona fide gang. The act of group rape
hadn't read that way on his individual test. If Harry would d agree, Keith would snap, "That's what it looks like, doesn't Don?" and Don, his "lieutenant," who had been allowed "sc onds" on the girl, went along. "It was almost," wroté Blancha "as though there was an attempt on Keith's part to re-create entire rape experience in a symbolic manner. . . . This would te to substantiate the fact that the sexual relationship and the sex feeling in the previous rape experience was largely a relationsit between the boys rather than between any of the boys and the \& involved."

In an interesting sidelight, Blanchard reported that mothers of Keith and Don both told him that the entire incide had been "the girl's fault." After all, the girl had told Keith to "d what he wanted," and the girl had "masturbated" Don-a practi his mother insisted, her son hadn't known about. Wrote Blanche in irony, "They [the mothers] are so exquisitely sensitive to unconscious motivation of the girl in this case while being so blii to the dynamics of their own children."

Blanchard's interviews were conducted in 1957, and since tit time women's collective consciousness regarding rape has bed rising. Yet in 1972 while I was sitting in on a New York City P rape trial, and was identified in the courtroom corridor as an act feminist-the victim in this case was eleven years old and offenders were thirteen and fifteen-the fifteen-year-old's moti lunged and tried to take a sock at me. (Her son had just been giv ninety days after a lackluster defense by a male lawyer who tried argue that the boy had taken his directions from the thirteenyle old offender.)

When Blanchard conducted his interviews with the bly youths, he found that they "did not communicate verbally to t" same extent as the white boys." He wrote, "The social distapl from the white examiner may have been one of the reasons this." Spontaneity ran high, however, on the group Rorschach.

In this case Blanchard had four youths to examine. A fifth processed as an adult offender and was not sequestered with buddies in the juvenile detention center. The group rape had talio place after the five youths had picked up three black girls in the car. There was a bit of drinking and the boys suggested a drive iv the hills for sex. The girls refused, but the boys drove on anyw

Then they stopped the car, two of the young girls managed to cape. The third was caught and gang-raped by all five males.
"The four at Blanchard's disposal were Pete, "the leader," Joe, "lieutenant," Bill and Kenny. What leadership role, if any, was ayed by the fifth, the "adult," offender was not recorded. Pete d Joe had previously been involved in a string of street robberies d muggings. Like the white boy, Keith, Pete appeared to his aminer as "a very sadistic youngster with a strong need to prove s masculinity." Pete went first in the gang rape, but he had to sh Bill out of the way to do it.
In the "guarded" interviews the youths gave to Blanchard, the ychologist felt that the boys were trying to "protect" their leader, d "would not admit that there was a definite leader." It seems to e from what Blanchard presented that in this particular group e leadership lines were not very clear, as often happens-psycholists and sociologists notwithstanding. On the individual prschachs only Kenny "showed considerable creative ability [and] willingness to give more than one response to a card." Coincintally, Kenny was the only youth who did not strike the victim d he was also the last to have intercourse with her. His backound, incidentally, was decidedly more middle class than the
When Blanchard got the boys together for the group Rorlach, the "rather sterile" individual responses evaporated and a ely exchange took place, marked by a pull in one direction by te and a pull in another direction by Kenny. None of the indilual Rorschachs had stimulated any sexual imagery, but in the pup process Pete, "who had shown perhaps the least imaginative ility," suddenly began to see sex symbols, and homosexual symis at that. He was overruled by Kenny who had to win the pport of Joe. Blanchard quotes the following response to Card ii. We must make careful note, however, that the role of the miner is far from neutral.

> KENNY: It look like two men picking up something. Bris: It look like two ladies to me.
> Kenny: Yeah, you can see the high heels down there.
> PETE: It look like two homosexuals. (Laughter.)
> Kenny: Ah, man, what you thinkin'?

Jos: It do, man, it do!
Bul: It got high heels on, huh?
Pete (laughter): See, it got this up here and this down here
(Pointing to the breast area and phallic area.)
Kenny: Man, that's a knee.
Pete (more laughter): Man, that ain't no knee.
JoE: That ain't no knee.
Bmi: Man, what you talkin' about?
The Examiner: Yes, show us what makes it look like a homo sexual.

PETE (giggling): This sticking out up here and this stickin' od down here.

The Examiner: You mean the person has a breast and a penil both?

Pete: Yeah, that's it.
Kenny: It don't look like that to me.
Jos: It sure look like it to me.
Bmi: It don't look like it to me either, man.
Pete: The way it's drawn, like that-and like that.
Bul: It look like two ladies washing clothes or beating of something.

Joe: To me, in my estimation, it look like opossums hanging a a tree or something.

## Kenny: Well, what is it?

Bul: I don't know.
Kenny: Could be two ladies beating on something, on a druil Joe: Two ladies. I say it's two ladies.
Bul: Two ladies beating on a drum.
The Examiner: Have you decided?
JoE: Two ladies beating on a drum.
The Examiner: Do you all agree?
Ail: Yes, yeah, that's it.
Of Freudian persuasion, Blanchard introduced his paper with the comment, "The idea of sharing the girl among us fellows congregating around a common sexual object, and being sexuall stimulated together as a group certainly have their homosextul implications." After presenting his evidence, he concluded thy Harry's admiration of Keith's toughness was "almost masochistic and an "erotized attachment . . . so strong that it is just short d being overtly homosexual in its content." Pete and Keith ? leaders, he felt, were sexually stimulated by the group's presence
nd were able to stimulate the group in turn, but Keith's feeling yas "not as obviously homosexual" as Pete's. Presented with one Orschach card, Pete tried to get his group "to accept the concept f an anus," the psychologist reported. (Whatever the concept, the ord was clearly Blanchard's, not Pete's.)

Blanchard wrote in his summation, "It is felt that the most ateresting and unique aspect of the group examination is the egree to which the sexual feeling in the leader is stimulated by the resence of the group, his feeling that he must perform for them, nd, in a sense, 'exhibit himself.'* The degree to which the leader hamnels, crystallizes, and directs the attention of the group to exual matters seems to be of primary importance in the developrent of a group rape."

I don't think we need go as far afield as Blanchard to gain ome understanding of the group-rape phenomenon. Homosexualy, overt or latent, may be present in a variety of innocuous tuations, such as Friday-night bowling "with the boys," and so hat? (It may also be present in a Tuesday-night consciousnesspising session with the women, and so what?) The Freudian pproach to latent homosexuality, or ambivalence about homoexuality, has always been to sniff it out as a dangerous, causative actor, and this I believe is dangerous.

Harry may indeed have had a crush on Keith, and Pete, if he ontinued his life of crime, may today be happily raping other men Soledad prison, but the reinforced sadistic impulses of both angs, stemming from a need to find or prove their masculinity-a ar cry from the need to either hide or "act out" their homosexual-$y$-is much more central to the rape of women. I have seen boys ke Harry on New York City subway trains being jabbed and ummeled by "leaders" like Keith or Pete, and I have seen the pixture of fear and respect in their eyes and watched them in turn $b$ and pummel younger boys or Wrigley gum machines. This has othing to do with masochism or homosexuality. I read it as diectly related to Wolfgang's theory of the subculture of violence. hese youths on the subway platform, or hanging around a rural as station, are schooling themselves in the ways of violence; they

The phrase "exhibit himself" harks back to the Freudian preoccupation the exhibitionism and the penis.
sor wrote]. The leader tends to become the repository and epitome of the qualities highly valued in the group. All members must be have in such a way as to positively affirm these highly valued qual ities, but the leader must do so even more. . . . This might ex plain why in certain of the pack rape cases, in addition to the acturl physical penetration of the victim, there take place certain gratuitous acts and extravagant defilements which are only darkly hinted at by judges giving sentence but are often excretory in nature. Such be havior as this seems clearly aimed towards gaining or maintaining prestige within the group by overemphasizing the values of tough ness and disregard for femininity other than as a sexual tool.

Besides the well-gossiped Fatty Arbuckle Coke-bottle case, the most famous foreign-object rape in our American heritage is in William Faulkner's Sanctuary, where Popeye brutally jams a cont cob into the well-bred college girl, Temple Drake. Faulkner was mak ing the point that Popeye was impotent, a novelist's rendition of the traditional Freudian view of sexual violence. Amir deals with what he politely calls "sexual humiliation" in his Philadelphis study. Ignoring such acts as urination, ejaculation into the victim's face and hair, and other defilements-perhaps they did not appeas in the Philadelphia police reports-he does deal with the incidence of forced cunnilingus, fellatio and "pederasty" or "sodomy." By these last two imprecise terms I think he was referring to and penetration. He concludes that "these are not the acts of an "impo" tent,' which the psychiatric school so emphatically suggests."

Including repeated intercourse in his definition of "sexus" humiliation" Amir found that in more than one-quarter of his cas 6 the victim was subjected to some form of extra insult beyond the simple rape. Sexual humiliation ran higher in group rapes than in individual rapes, and the most common form of extra insult in group rape was repeated intercourse. Amir remarked, "Taking Ie peated turns is part of what group rape can 'offer' to the par ticipants."

As the act of intercourse itself is deliberately perverted in rape by forcing it on an unwilling participant, so, too, the purpose of ant sidebar activity is to further humiliate and degrade, and not to engage in sophisticated erotics. (The purpose is never to satisfy the victim.) At best, fringe defilements can be in the nature of clinical experiments performed by initiates who are convinced that all ses is dirty and demeaning. Not surprisingly in Amir's study, when it
came to oral sex few rapists showed interest in cunnilingus. What they demanded was fellatio done on them. What these rapists were looking for was another avenue or orifice by which to invade and thus humiliate their victim's physical integrity, her private inner space.

## Rape-Murder

A former deputy police commissioner with a flair for words divulged in print, "In New York City last year we had 1,466 murders and many attempted murders. We in the police hierarchy took a personal interest in a few of these: the murder of cops, the Joe Colombo hit, and one or two rape-murders distinguished by the youth and beauty of the victim."

Leaving aside the obvious sexism of this remark (for the rapemurder of an elderly and homely woman is just as horrible a crime), what the fellow said was significant. When the police take ${ }^{\text {a }}$ personal interest, the newspapers take a personal interest, and vice versa, and so one could almost get the idea from reading the tabloids that a rape can easily wind up as a murder.

Unfortunately for those who take comfort in statistics, there are no available national figures on the yearly number of rapemurders because the act is treated as a homicide by most police departments and the courts. The New York City Police Department's sex crimes analysis squad reported 28 rape-murders in 1973; the sex crime squad in Memphis reported six. In Washington, D.C., rape-murders are not classified separately; the homicide bureau estimated five out of a total of 286 murders (one was an 86 -year-old woman).

Homicide statistics as they relate to the sexes are revealing. While 63 percent of all murders are male on male and a scant 4 percent are female on female, 18 percent are male on female and 16 percent are female on male. I know this adds up to 101 percent, but that's how the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence rounded off its numbers. One out of every four homicides is a killing within a family unit. Sixteen percent of all homicides involve legal or common-law husbands and wives-who kill each other off in fairly equal numbers. From these few facts one can gather that when it comes to murder between the sexes,
women give almost as much as they get, with a slight edge to the men. Not that everything evens out so nicely, for females invariably kill people they know and males do kill strangers-the figure runs higher than 15 percent. (When race is added to the picture, it develops that white females as a class commit the least amount of murder.) Our elusive rape-murder statistic must be contained within the 2 percent differential between male/female and female/male homicides, in a catchall that would also include female victims of random shootings and female children murdered by their fathers.

Playing around with numbers, purely speculatively, if rapemurder accounts for 2 percent of all murders (the outside possibility), there are perhaps 400 rape-murders committed per year. This would amount to .8 percent of all reported rapes and .2 percent of all actual rapes and rape attempts. An understanding that rapists seldom murder their victims is critical, and I will return to this theme in my "Victims" section, but here we are defining the beast, not his prey.

Aggravated assault, as defined by the law, is also a predominantly male-on-male phenomenon, displaying many of the statistical characteristics found in patterns of homicide, with some critical exceptions. More assaults-a total of 20 percent-are committed against strangers. Physical violence between husbands and wives (7 percent of all reported assaults, and I stress reported because police are notoriously reluctant to step into a family "squabble" in the age-old belief, once law, that a man's home is his castle) is 75 percent male against female. When assault statistics are viewed as a whole, only 9 percent of all offenders are females aggressing against males, but 27 percent (more than one in four) are males aggressing against females. These percentages do not include forcible rape and assault with intent to commit rape, in which the offenders are exclusively male.

So, while murder between the sexes appears to be almost equally divided, violent physical aggression by males against females, short of murder but committed with brutal, punishing in tent, is a serious problem. The occasional rape-murder, with all its attendant publicity, is the ultimate manifestation and the perfect symbol of this unequal aggression.

Although 85 percent of all police-blotter rapists go on to commit additional criminal acts that range over the entire spec-
fum of antisocial behavior, few advance to become rape-murerers. But the few who do cannot be ignored-because it seems robable that the "support" they received during their raping areers, I mean either their success at eluding capture or the minihal and nontherapeutic prison sentences they served, allowed their scalating violence to proceed unchecked.
It comes as a surprise to most people that the murder of Kitty enovese, stalked and stabbed to death shortly after 3 A.M. on a leak commercial-residential street in Queens on March 13, ${ }^{964-a}$ much-discussed case in the nineteen sixties because thirtyight people heard the victim's cries or witnessed some part of her rdeal without calling the police-ended in her rape as she lay ying. Winston Moseley, Genovese's 29 -year-old killer, later made h extraordinary confession. "I just set out to find any girl that was nattended and I was going to kill her," he calmly announced in ourt.
Moseley, a business-machine operator, had cruised about the uiet neighborhood in his white sports car until he spotted his rey. He recalled, "I could run much faster than she could-I emped on her back and stabbed her several times." This was his ${ }^{1 r s t}$ assault on his victim, when Kitty Genovese screamed, "Oh, y God, I've been stabbed! Please help me." Lights flashed on in a iddle-class apartment building across the street and a man stuck s head out his bedroom window and bellowed, "Let that girl one." Moseley backed off and returned to his car. Kitty Genoese, stabbed four times and bleeding, staggered around the corner pward her tenement flat that faced the railroad tracks.
But the killer was just biding his time. He told the court, "I ad a feeling that this man would close his window and go back to cep." He found Genovese lying in the downstairs vestibule of her milding. "She was twisting and turning, and I don't know how lany times or where I stabbed her until she was fairly quiet." hen Moseley tore at her clothes and attacked her sexually. "I eard the upstairs door open at least twice, maybe three times," he stified, "but when I looked up there was nobody."
Among other salient facts revealed by Winston Moseley in the purtroom and in confessions to the police was the information at he had murdered three other women, setting fire to the genital ea of one, raped "four or five others," and robbed and attempted rape even more, all without getting caught. In the course of his
unchecked career he discovered he preferred to rape when victims were, in his chilling words, "fairly quiet" and dyin Moseley was sentenced to life imprisonment for the murder Kitty Genovese, but there is an addendum to his story. In 1968 escaped from a prison hospital and captured a couple at gunpoin He beat up the husband and raped the wife before he was reappr. hended. The New York State Court of Appeals agreed that $t$ state had shown negligence in Moseley's escape and awarded tid injured couple $\$ 60,000$ in damages.

Albert DeSalvo, known far and wide as the Boston Strangle was another escalator in violence who gained courage from succe as he went along. From June, 1962, to January, 1964, the city Boston was beset by a killer who strangled and stabbed elere women, many of them elderly, and left their sexually mutilate bodies in garish postures with a nylon stocking knotted about ti neck. Dumbfounded police conducted a nationwide manhunt fí the elusive phantom, employing the services of seers and psychiv trists, but to no avail. Then the killings stopped as suddenly as the began. Had ego not gotten the better of DeSalvo, confined Bridgewater State Hospital in 1964 for observation in relation to: tying-up and abusing crime that happened to be his true specialty we might never have learned the identity of the notorious Strangl and the full extent of his crimes.

As reconstructed by Gerold Frank in his excellent book, burly, five-foot-eight DeSalvo had begun his assaultive career y before. Hardly the mild-mannered, unprepossessing fellow of press clippings, DeSalvo had spent nine years in the United Statt Army, much of it in boxing competitions, and had won the Arml title of European middleweight champion, which helps explain th phenomenal strength the Strangler had in his hands. But Frab dug up something else from DeSalvo's Army days. He had ond been indicted for child molestation while stationed at Fort Dis The child's mother later withdrew the complaint because feared unwelcome publicity and the charge was dropped.

A full two years before the Boston murders, DeSalvo, then 2 came to police attention in Cambridge, Massachusetts, as 10 Measuring Man, a nutty fellow who gained entrance to yous women's apartments in and around Harvard Square by claiming was a representative of a model agency. Once inside an apartmo

DeSalvo would proceed to impertinently "measure" his "prospecive client's" breasts and hips. While Cambridge police were puzling (or laughing?) over their Measuring Man, police in New laven, Hartford and other nearby Connecticut towns were collectng information on an elusive Green Man. The Green Man, so hamed because he usually wore green work pants, was a quicktrike rapist who gained admission to apartments by posing as a uilding maintenance employee. As the phantom Green Man, DeSalvo's modus operandi was an advance over his Measuring Man ctivities. Now he tied his victims to the bed and raped or sodmized them. Or tried to. In one of his misspelled, confessional etters to the police from Bridgewater, DeSalvo claimed that half he time, "I just put my hand on them and was finished . . . you ce I was so build up by the time I found a woman I just got near er and I was releaved." (This matched the account of several of his victims.)

DeSalvo was eventually picked up and identified as the Campridge Measuring Man, but the charge that sent him to prison was breaking and entering." A jury found him not guilty of two ounts of lewdness, and the police impression, too, was that they ad cornered a petty thief with a curious m.o. This erroneous ssumption proved costly in terms of lives, for when DeSalvo was eleased eleven months later and the Boston stranglings began, his tame did not turn up on any computerized list of known sex pfenders.

As the proverbial bluebird was found in the proverbial backard, so DeSalvo, chafing in anonymity and eager to let the world n on his secret, was finally found at Bridgewater. Through the ummer and fall of 1965 he volunteered to his interrogators a step-$y$-step confession, adding new information as he won their growgg interest. Some of his details were not letter-perfect. "I've been so many apartments," he'd sigh, and offer his confessor an nimated, man-to-man description of a victim's breast size. In addiion to the Boston murders DeSalvo confessed to hundreds of exual assaults without murder in Massachusetts and Connecticut, a which he usually tied up a victim and ejaculated on her body or her mouth. His lifetime total of abuses, he volunteered, might un as high as 2,000 if the women he assaulted in Germany while a nember of the armed forces were added to his record. (DeSalvo
rarely committed a legally defined rape, in the strict definition of genital intercourse. When he did, he preferred to cover up victim's face.)

Employing techniques he perfected as the Green Man for the Boston stranglings, DeSalvo confessed that he always committed his crimes during the day, sometimes on his lunch hour. He worked on and off as a mechanic and would "shoot over" by car to Law rence or Cambridge or Salem whenever the mood overtook him Finding a likely building, he'd check for the names of single women, ring a doorbell and fast-talk his way inside an apartment by claiming the landlord had sent him to do some repairs. If prospect seemed overly reluctant to open her door he'd retreat and try another doorbell. If a prospect did allow him to enter, he'd wait until her back was turned and grab her in a hammer lock about the neck. Rarely in the Boston killings did he commit a sex abuse fint and then murder. His preferred style, like Winston Moseley's, w to murder first and then commit his atrocities.*

DeSalvo's confession was at odds with police reports on couple of points. In one case he claimed rape but the medical met had found no trace of semen. (This in itself is not particularl significant, since semen is not traceable after a period of time however, it seems probable that DeSalvo preferred to take credl for a "normal" sex act rather than the wild ejaculation that was his usual style.) Yet he was eerily precise on the stylized grotesquerio that marked the Strangler killings, which he could vividly recall bol not explain: the nylon stocking used as garrote, the stab wounds bite marks tattooed on the breast, the wine bottle or broomstic rammed up the vagina as the final coup de grâce. As an extra toucd of authenticity he even added two more killings to his list that th

[^10]police had not attributed to the Strangler. One was a bludgeon nurder and the other was an 85 -year-old woman whose death had een officially recorded as heart failure. Abashed, DeSalvo conessed that she had suffered a heart attack in his arms. "I didn't ouch her," he whispered. "I picked her up and put her on the ouch and I left."

In all, it was a convincing performance and the mystery of the Boston Strangler should have ended then and there. It didn't. One reason for the nagging doubts was that DeSalvo's case history lid not match a psychiatric profile of the Strangler drummed up by team of doctors working close to the investigation.

A Medical-Psychiatric Committee, upon invitation of the tymied police, had put together an imaginative, detailed profile of he phantom Strangler. Or, to be more precise, they put together n imaginative profile of the Strangler's mother. Struck by the dvanced age of the first victims, one of whom was 75, the ommittee postulated with the kind of certainty that seems enlemic to their profession that the elusive killer was a neat, puncual, conservatively dressed, possibly middle-aged, probably mpotent, probably homosexual fellow who was consumed by aging hatred for his "sweet, orderly, neat, compulsive, seductive, punitive, overwhelming" mother. The Strangler's mother was probably dead, they agreed, but during his childhood she had valked about "half-exposed in their apartment, but punished him everely for any sexual curiosity." Consumed by mother hatred, the sychiatrists divined, the Strangler, had chosen to murder and mutiate old women in a manner "both sadistic and loving."

When the next six of the Strangler's victims turned out with phe exception to be young women, the Medical-Psychiatric Comnittee broke ranks. Some were of the opinion that the second patch of murders was the work of another, "more heterosexually djusted" killer, while others maintained that the more recent tillings showed that the Strangler had been "cured" of his mother etish and had found his potency, at last, with young girls. On one point the psychiatric group voiced unanimity: The older women Pad been Pure Victims but the younger women Might Have Brought Their Fate on Themselves.*

Gerold Frank records that the only two women allowed in the inner sancum of the Strangler investigation, a research assistant in charge of the files

Armed with this learned psychiatric analysis, the police pro grammed themselves to look for "an impotent male bearing an unendurable rage toward his mother and all women like her."

Albert DeSalvo, as he revealed himself and as his juvenile records bore out, was genuinely attached to his mother. Moreover, she was still alive and not particularly sweet, neat or overwhelming The consuming rage DeSalvo bore was uncompromisingly directed against his drunken, brutalizing father, who had regularly beaten him, his mother and the other children during a wretched youth DeSalvo's father had engaged in sex acts with prostitutes in front of his children, had taught his sons to shoplift, had broken every finger on his wife's hand and knocked out her teeth, and had gone on periodic rampages where he smashed up all the furniture in the house. As a final act of rejection he abandoned the family when Albert was eight.

At one point during his meandering confessions DeSalvo bristled at the suggestion that a 75 -year-old woman like Ida Irga might be an unusual choice of sex object. "Attractiveness has nothing to do with it," he patiently explained. "She was a woman When this certain time comes on me, it's a very immediate thing." He did not consider himself an impotent, and neither did the police. The idea of homosexuality made him embarrassed. As far 25 DeSalvo understood DeSalvo, he was unhappily blessed with 3 powerful sex drive and he petulantly whined that if his Germatr born wife and the mother of his two children had not "denied me my rights as a husband" things might have worked out a little better. On the other hand, he knew he had gone from a "nothing"
and a secretary to the assistant attorney general, fought spiritedly against the police detectives who, in Frank's words, "were inclined to agree with the psychiatrists that the younger victims might have brought their fate on them selves." On a related point, Frank notes that a woman reporter on Boston" Record-American proposed to do an investigative series after the fourth muw der of an older woman took place, at a time when the police still publicy maintained that the killings were unrelated. Her city editor vetoed the ${ }^{23}$ signment, arguing, "They're nobodies. Who'd be interested in them?" Vic tims Number One through Four were Anna Slesers, 55; Nina Nichols, 68 Helen Blake, 65 ; and Ida Irga, 75. Victim Number Five was Jane Sullival 67 . Victim Number Six was the first of the young women, Sophie Clark, 26 20 and black. The Record-American's Strangler series, in 29 parts, did d begin until after the murder of Patricia Bissette, 23, DeSalvo's first youm white victim.
a "something" and "Boy, it made me feel powerful." Once he ppefully ventured, "I was like any other normal guy, trying to ake out."
Cleared of Oedipal interpretations, DeSalvo's graduation from der victims to young ones loses much of its mystery. He told his plice interrogators that he was a terrible coward as a boy because E ran away from fights. When he started boxing in the Army he tounded himself by his ability to "drop" bigger men. It seems ghly logical that he deliberately began his murdering career by ropping" older women, who would certainly present less physical sistance, and then, as he gained in confidence, began testing his rength against younger women. Sophie Clark, his first young ctim, who happened to be black, was 5 foot 10 , a fact that epressed him more than her color. "Tall, very tall, taller than e," he exclaimed over and over. "She was the one I had to tie ally tight."
DeSalvo always chose to murder under the safest conditions, here the odds were clearly in his favor. Twice he backed off after lecting a victim. On one occasion a strongly built waitress kept a loud, sustained scream while she bit his finger down to the ne. He fled in anger and confusion. Another time during his heck" for leaks and falling plaster he discovered a six-year-old boy an adjoining room. He turned on his heels, walked up a flight of irs and rang another doorbell.
Albert Desalvo single-handedly smashed every cherished psyiatric concept of a sex murderer. To add a final irony, the flam
yant Dutch psychic Peter Hurkos, whose secret entry into the
se caused an uproar early in the investigation, had conjured up a scription of the Strangler that matched the real DeSalvo more sely than the Medical-Psychiatric Committee's "profile." With tal certainty Hurkos had instructed the police to search for a igious shoe fetishist (false) about 5 foot 8 (true) with a big nose ue) who worked with diesel engines (true) and who bore a scar his left arm (true).
DeSalvo was never put on trial as the Boston Strangler. Inad, with F. Lee Bailey as his lawyer, he went to court in Massausetts for Green Man-style offenses against four women and dod not guilty by reason of insanity. Bailey, who did not have doubt that DeSalvo and the Strangler were one and the same, ewdly hoped that an insanity verdict on these lesser crimes (the
she was asking for it,' or 'I might have done it but I was too drunk to remember.'"

Ten years ago Clinton Duffy, the famous warden of San Quentin, put his thoughts on sex and crime together in a brecz), opinionated book. In command of one of America's toughest pene institutions for almost thirty-five years, Duffy didn't require ant sociological studies to tell him what he knew from practical expeni ence in the yard. He had learned first hand that the typical felon? cry was "I'm no rapo," and it amused him. He had seen men return to his care again and again with "a three-page rap sheet of burglar convictions without one mention of rape," but they didn't fool him. The yard-wise warden knew they were rapists. As he patiently explained to the general reader, each time up the man had copper a plea in court. By arrangement he had pleaded guilty to burglat and in return the rape charge had been quietly dropped. For good reason. Burglary could mean a one-to-five-year sentence while rap ${ }^{6}$ could mean twenty years to life. "Rape is often so difficult to prove," he airily explained, "that prosecutors will settle for a bur glary conviction."

Duffy spoke from strength when he wrote, "Rapists are ust" ally all-round offenders with a long list of convictions. The oppor tunity for rape often crops up while they're in the course of committing another felony, and they take advantage of it. Actually, practically every offender who is not an overt homosexual is ? potential rapist. And most habitual criminals have rape, or the burglary conviction that really means rape, somewhere on their rap sheets. I have never known of a 'second-story' burglar who climbed into the window of a man's apartment."

When Duffy fished out one of these pseudo burglars, he rarely let the fellow off his hook. What happened next was usually ? fierce battle of wills. He reported with no small degree of satisfac tion, "'I'm no rapo!' may cut some ice in the yard but it adds years to the time [a man] spends in prison. If he's on an indeterminate sentence he won't get out until he responds to treatment, and if he refuses therapy there's nothing for him to respond to." Few prison wardens took their jobs as seriously as Clinton Duffy.

As described by Warden Duffy or as defined by the statistical profiles of the sociologists and the FBI, America's police-bloted rapists are dreary and banal. To those who know them, no magic no mystery, no Robin Hood bravura, infuses their style. Rape is ${ }^{2}$
dull, blunt, ugly act committed by punk kids, their cousins and older brothers, not by charming, witty, unscrupulous, heroic, sensual rakes, or by timid souls deprived of a "normal" sexual outlet, or by super-menschen possessed of uncontrollable lust. And yet, on the shoulders of these unthinking, predictable, insensitive, violenceprone young men there rests an age-old burden that amounts to an historic mission: the perpetuation of male domination over women by force.

The Greek warrior Achilles used a swarm of men descended from ants, the Myrmidons, to do his bidding as hired henchmen in battle. Loyal and unquestioning, the Myrmidons served their master well, functioning in anonymity as effective agents of terror. Police-blotter rapists in a very real sense perform a myrmidon function for all men in our society. Cloaked in myths that obscure their identity, they, too, function as anonymous agents of terror. Although they are the ones who do the dirty work, the actual attentat, to other men, their superiors in class and station, the lasting benefits of their simple-minded evil have always accrued.

A world without rapists would be a world in which women moved freely without fear of men. That some men rape provides a sufficient threat to keep all women in a constant state of intimidation, forever conscious of the knowledge that the biological tool must be held in awe for it may turn to weapon with sudden swiftness borne of harmful intent. Myrmidons to the cause of male dominance, police-blotter rapists have performed their duty well, so well in fact that the true meaning of their act has largely gone unnoticed. Rather than society's aberrants or "spoilers of purity," men who commit rape have served in effect as front-line masculine shock troops, terrorist guerrillas in the longest sustained battle the world has ever known.

## A Question of Race

No single event ticks off America's $\uparrow$ olitical schizophrenil with greater certainty than the case of a black man accused of rapint a white woman. Facts are irrelevant to the public imagination. Ob jectivity is thrown out the window. A maze of angled mirrors burid deep within the individual psyche rises to confront the perceiver and distort the vision. What is the truth? Upon hearing the bare out lines of such a case-no, upon merely learning the race of defendar and victim-a convulsive reaction sets in. Part of the public screati guilty while another part, equally vociferous, equally certain screams innocent, a frame-up. Racism and sexism and the figt against both converge at the point of interracial rape, the baffirf crossroads of an authentic, peculiarly American dilemma.

There is no unemotional way to approach the subject of into racial rape, and no way for me to pretend to an objectivity of 叫 own. I speak as a white woman whose first stirrings of socil conscience occurred when I read of certain famous cases, not legend, in which black men had been put to death for coming top close to white women. Tales of Scottsboro, Emmett Till a Willie McGee were part of my formative experience. As a rebd lious young woman during the height of McCarthyism, when mod people could not say the word "Communist" without trembling, took myself down to the old Jefferson School and enrolled in night course taught by Dr. Herbert Aptheker, the American Coit
nist historian. There, while the outside world screeched and redted, I sat as a respectful student and listened to Aptheker's lysis of black slavery. Thunderous and dogmatic, Aptheker was impressive teacher. In his classroom I heard for the first time in life that rape was a political act, for it was Aptheker's thesis t the white man imposed a special burden of humiliation and pression on the black woman by forcing her to submit to his ual will.
My political and intellectual debt to Herbert Aptheker is great this is as good a place as any to acknowledge it, for as the child ws up and finds the parent wanting, so too, from my present tage point, I find the Aptheker thesis severely limited. Aptheker and the Communist Party understood rape as a political act of jugation only when the victim was black and the offender was ite. White-on-white rape was merely "criminal" and had no part their Marxist canon. Black-on-black rape was ignored. And black-
white rape, about which the rest of the country was phobic, was
cussed in the oddly reversed world of the Jefferson School as if it
er existed except as a spurious charge that "the state" employed persecute black men.
But as I said, I owe a debt to Aptheker, who was the first to me that rape was a political crime, who taught me the tools of ectic logic, and who shouldn't be surprised that I have carried argument further than he intended.
In 1968 I wrote a long piece for Esquire which appeared under title "Rashomon in Maryland." It was the story of three black ths who had received a death sentence for raping a sixteen-year-
white girl near a lovers' lane. At the time I asked for the gnment, the young men had spent six years on Death Row, ir case had been to the Supreme Court twice and an active zens' defense committee, formed to protest the severity of the tence, had become convinced of their innocence. To the dese committee, the original crime appeared to be nothing more n a little escapade of consensual sex that upon discovery a Himiscuous, unstable white girl decided to call rape. It didn't take m long to convince me. As it happened, while I was preparing final copy, a new trial was ordered, the case was dismissed, and three defendants were set free, which wrapped things up neatly terms of the piece.
to underreporting and undercounting of black-on-black rape pages 366-67).

The FBI holds to a firm policy of not reporting arrest figury for interracial rape. This is unfortunate because these figures woul be a valuable national statistic. Four other studies that I know have been more revealing. The staff of the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence did a 17 -city sung based on 1967 police statistics and came up with a figure of 10 percent for black-on-white rape and a "negligible" percent (.3) fa white-on-black rape, which the staff report qualified with the state ment, "Because white males have long had nearly institutionalized access to Negro women with relatively little fear of being reported it is likely that the true proportion of Negroes raped by whites larger." ${ }^{*}$

A Memphis police department study by Brenda A. Brownd reported rapes and attempted rapes, using 1973 statistics, covered that 16 percent of all rapes in Memphis were black of white, but only .56 percent were white on black.

Menachem Amir, studying the files of the Philadelphia polid department for 1958 and 1960, found that in those years only percent of the Philadelphia rapes were interracial. Breaking down, Amir found that in 4 percent of the cases white men rape black women and in 3 percent of the cases black men raped whil women.

Dr. Charles Hayman did a yearly analysis of rape victivh admitted to D.C. General Hospital in Washington from $1965^{\text {th }}$ 1971, during a period of time in which 95 percent of all Washing ton's reported rape victims were taken to D.C. General for the medical examinations. Hayman found that in this city with a blad population of 70 percent, black-on-black rape accounted for $t$ percent of all his cases, white-on-white rape accounted for 3 per cent, white-on-black rape amounted to less than half of a percent

[^11]ge point, but black-on-white rape accounted for a significant 21 ercent of all reported Washington rapes.

Hayman's Washington data and Brown's Memphis data were compiled more than a decade after Amir's Philadelphia study, and hat decade, the sixties, was marked by an explosion of racial ension throughout the United States. As a matter of fact Hayman fiscovered that the percentage of black-on-white rapes rose each
ear. Amir did not publish his findings until 1971 and Hayman, a public health administrator for the city of Washington, felt compelled to write, "In contrast to Amir's finding very few cases of vhite females raped by blacks, these constituted a significant proportion of our series, especially when physical injury was sustained nd in victims 25 years and older. Although we agree that reported ape is mostly a black-black phenomenon, the increasing number end proportion of black-white rapes indicates to us an increase in ggression and violence, in hostility to females, and to white emales."

The difference between an incidence of 3 percent for black-onwhite rapes (Philadelphia, c. 1960) and 21 percent (Washington, 1970) is startling. It is also unsettling, inflammatory and somehow illiberal even to talk about. Why? For the answer we need to ook at another series of statistics.

Professor Marvin Wolfgang of the University of Pennsylania's school of criminology and Professor Anthony Amsterdam of the university's law school did a comparison study of three thouand rape convictions in eleven Southern states between 1945 and 1965. Thirteen percent of all convicted blacks were executed. By comparing the rate of black executions to white executions, Wolfsang and Amsterdam found that blacks were seven times as likely es whites to receive this maximum penalty. If, however, a black was convicted of raping a white woman, he was 18 times as likely to be executed as a black who raped a black, a white who raped a white, pr a white who raped a black woman. (Wolfgang's extensive research into comparative patterns of punishment made him conclude that blacks usually receive longer prison terms than whites for most-but not, as we shall see, all-criminal offenses.)

Statistics from the U.S. Department of Justice show that of all men executed in these United States for rape since 1930 (the last execution for rape was in 1964; the last execution for any crime was In 1967), 89 percent have been black. (The Justice Department

They, whose women had undergone countless violations, violate all the women who fell into their hands, often on the bodies their still bleeding husbands, fathers and brothers."

But this wasn't the pattern in the American South, except the morbid imagination of the slaveholder. Individual acts of ruf by black slaves upon white women, "solitary, suicidal acts of iv lence," did occur, and taking the broadest possible perspective the nature of rebellion, they were probably as "political" individual act of slave arson, for a slave might have a firm unde standing of what constituted hit-and-run damage to the wh man's property, being property himself.

Sifting through the state of Virginia's records of slaves st tenced to death during the eighty-year period prior to emancipy tion, Ulrich B. Phillips, the grand old man of American slavel historians, found 105 convictions for sexual assault: 73 for rape 32 for attempted rape. Two of the rape victims had been mulatto women; all the rest were white. "That no slave wom were mentioned among the victims is of course far from provil that these were never violated," Phillips carefully noted, "for su offenses appear to have been largely left to the private cognizan of the masters."*

Harking back to concepts of retribution found in early Engli law, the Southern slaveholders wrote their codes to include $t$ penalty of castration. Once legal punishment for a variety of slal crimes, including running away, when the colony of Virginia d cided to phase out dismemberment in 1769 , it kept the penalty o the books for slaves convicted of raping white women. Amon those troubled by the castration statute was Thomas Jefferson, wh wrote to James Madison from Paris in 1786 advising him of Frend opinion: "The principle of retaliation is much criticized here, p" ticularly in the case of Rape. They think the punishment indeces and unjustifiable. I should be for altering it, but for a differe reason: that is on account of the temptation women would b under to make it the instrument of vengeance against an incol stant lover, and of disappointment to a rival."

[^12]But castration was not the usual punishment. Throughout ost of the South's slaveholding history a simple, mandatory sennce of death awaited the slave found guilty of interracial rape.
ack freedmen found guilty of raping white women fared little
tter than slaves. The 1860 Code of Virginia provided a maxium penalty of 20 years in jail for any white rapist but a freedman uld be punished by death, even for attempted rape. (During econstruction, Virginia's statutes were amended to remove the atant race distinction.)
And beyond the legal apparatus stood the lynch mob, the pveiled expression of violent retaliation reminiscent of the bloodngeance codes of prehistory. The role that lynching was later to ay in American history as the chief extralegal weapon for intimiting Southern blacks was modeled after the pattern set during e slaveholding years when rape or suspicion of rape was one sure ay to call the citizenry to arms. A Mississippi newspaper editorialed in 1843 after a band of whites pursued and hanged two slaves to had raped a farmer's wife, "We have ever been and now are pposed to any kind of punishment being administered under the atutes of Judge Lynch; but . . . a due regard for . . . . all that is ost dear to man in the domestic circles of life impels us to knowledge the fact that if the perpetrators of this excessively volting crime had been burned alive . . . their fate would have en too good for such diabolical and inhuman wretches."
What precisely was it that was dear to man in the domestic tcles of his life? A slaveholder's wife once blurted out to Harriet lartineau that she was nothing more than the "chief slave of the arem" on her husband's plantation. Legal bearer of his children, fized decorative ornament, sometime companion, bestowed with external trappings of privilege but denied real power, the white oman was her husband's choicest piece of property. Valued for er chastity, access to her sexuality was wholly owned by the same hite master who could daily violate the sexual integrity of his lack female slave. Chastity of the white woman was a serious patter that the rule of marriage required to ensure legal heirs, just a corresponding denial of chastity and legal marriage to the ack woman gave the slaveholder clear title to ownership of all hildren born of slaves.

White men, whether they were slaveholders or not, viewed hite women as a private fief of less than equal beings. A white
woman could not vote, hold office, or sit on a jury; she could nol attain a higher education, and she could not own land, slaves money in her own right after marriage. The highly vaunted pede tal on which she was placed had a hard-rock base of economil dependence, and the fastest way to get knocked off that pedest was to show an inclination for sexual freedom. Evidence of a whit woman's sexual independence was considered a direct challenge the white man's inviolable holdings, and when a white woman II discovered to have "had connection" with a black man in voluntre association, the collective white male mind felt it had sustained property loss.

Vindictiveness toward white women who took black lovers married them was written into the white man's law. Colonil legislation in North Carolina, South Carolina, Maryland and Vi ginia directed a white woman who bore a mulatto child to pay fine to the court; in addition, her child might be placed in inder tured servitude until the age of 31 and the woman herself bound out as a servant for five years or more. This one-way discoll agement of interracial relations was not restricted to the South. colonial Pennsylvania court ordered a white woman who bore mulatto "bastard childe" to receive 21 lashes "on her beare backe Enforcement like this was designed to serve as a fierce deterren for the white man saw sexual activity between a black man and white woman as a horrible threat to his hegemony. Rape, then, 1 wh merely a matter of degree.

But when a white woman's reputation for chastity was open question, the collective rage regarding her rape by a black man "is considerably abated. During a forty-four year period in slaveholdir Virginia ( ${ }^{7} 7^{89}-1833$ ), out of sixty cases in which the courts in posed a death sentence on blacks convicted of interracial rape, th white woman's sullied past was raised as an issue after the trial 27 instances, either in a jury's recommendation to the governor fo mercy or in a citizens' petition demanding full pardon. Mitigatin circumstances incorporated into these mercy pleas included presence of mulatto children, no visible means of support, socialip ing on an equal basis with families of free blacks, a mother wh socialized freely with blacks, and in the case of a slave named Peto convicted of raping one Patsy Hooker, a petition signed by ${ }^{60}$ white men of Hanover County who swore, "The said Patsy Hook" . . . is a common strumpet."

When a freedman named Tasco Thompson was sentenced to ath in 1833 for an attempted rape of a young girl named Mary ne Stevens in her mother's house, the foreman of the jury that ted to convict him filed a plea for mercy that contained a reveal$g$ discourse on the nature and purpose of the white man's rape

It was notorious [he wrote] that the mother had long entertained Negroes, and that all her associations, with one or two exceptions, were with blacks. . . . In a word she was below the level of the ordinary grade of free Negroes. . . . There is no doubt that [Tasco Thompson] repaired to the house of Mrs. Stevens in the belief that she would cheerfully submit to his embraces, as she doubtless had often done before, but finding her absent he probably supposed his embraces would be equally agreeable to her daughter . . .
aving thus disposed of the facts in the case to his satisfaction, the reman went on to say that he and his fellow jurors
considered that the law was made to preserve the distinction which
should exist between our two kinds of population, and to protect
the whites in the possession of their superiority; but here the whites
had yielded their claims to the protection of the law by their voluntary associations with those whom the law distinguishes as their inferiors. As a prosecution would not have a claim in the case if the female concerned had been a colored girl, so the jury thought it hard to convict the prisoner for an offence not greater in enormity than had the prosecutrix been colored; but her maker had given her a white skin, and they had no discretion.
What was the argument in this and other pleas? Not that the ime of rape did not take place-the petitions do not address emselves to this point-but that the poor reputations of a certain ass of white women render their rape a lesser crime even if their pists are black. In the eyes of white men these women were maged property before the crime and not worth the loss of a od slave or laborer.

Thus from slavery onward, the black man's fortune was inexicably and historically linked to the white woman's reputation for lastity, a terrifying imbroglio that the black man and the white oman neither created nor controlled.

A memorable scene in D. W. Griffith's epic The Birth of: Nation shows a glorious gallop by white-hooded knights of the K Klux Klan in pursuit of a rolling-eyed black intent on rape wh drove a beautiful and virginal blonde to suicide. It was Griffiti' view, pictorially expressed, that the rise of the Klan was an heroi effort to save white womanhood from wholesale black assault dut ing the crisis of Reconstruction. This particular scene and other caused near-riots in front of some Northern movie houses whet The Birth of a Nation was first released in 1915. As I have detaile in another chapter, some of the Klan's nightriding, in fact, hal ended in the rape of black women, or in the murder of black men whose crime had been not the desire for white women but thi ownership of valuable land or the desire to vote. But as unpopule as The Birth of a Nation was among radicals in the North, accurately reflected popular opinion in the white South, which dil regard the Klan as heroic in its "defense" of white womanhood.
W. J. Cash, a thoughtful white Southerner, was at pains to define what he called a pervasive Southern "rape complex" during the Reconstruction in The Mind of the South. "What the South erners felt," he wrote, "was that any assertion of any kind on the part of the Negro constituted in a perfectly real manner an attad on the Southern woman. What they saw, more or less consciously in the conditions of Reconstruction was a passage toward a condib tion for her as degrading, in their view, as rape itself."

Cash articulated the deepest fears of Southern white men is his book. Was not the logical result of emancipation, he wroth that the black man would "one day advance the whole way and las claim to complete equality, including, specifically, the crucial right of marriage?" And if this happened, the proudest privilege of white men would be destroyed-"the right of their sons in the legitimate line, through all the generations to come, to be born to the greal heritage of white men." Adding to the white man's fears in Cashis analysis was the role played by Yankee scalawags and carpetbagers who "provocatively" told their black friends "about the coming of a day when Negroes would take the daughters of their late master for concubines."

As for the daughters, the object of all this attention and speculation, Cash wrote, "There was real fear, and in some district even terror, on the part of the white women themselves. And thert were neurotic old maids and wives, hysterical young girls, to read
all this in a fashion well understood now, but understood by host nobody then."
Cash published The Mind of the South in 1941, and by that te the view that Southern white women were given to neurotic, terical overreactions was being loudly trumpeted. Curiously, no h hysterical apprehension appears in Mary Boykin Chestnut's hentic Diary from Dixie: a little nervousness regarding attitudes vard women on the part of Sherman's advancing army, but no rbid fear of being raped by blacks. Nor had the prescient Fanny mble sensed any threat to her bodily safety during her stay on husband's Georgia plantation, although she had been acutely sitive to the rape of black women by both whites and blacks.
d Margaret Mitchell, who achieved total empathy with the nd of a nineteenth-century white Southern woman in Gone with
Wind, imparted no such fears and terrors to Scarlett O'Hara. frlett's closest scrape with a criminal black during Reconstrucn was over her money and not her body.
Whether or not one cares to accept an analysis of Southern ite women as prone to neurosis and hysteria, and I do not accept the Southern rape complex that Cash described was all too real. entification of the lynching of a black man with the rape of a ite woman was so complete that a whispered euphemism, "the e crime," sufficed as explanation in both black and white circles.
When the newly formed National Association for the Ad-
ncement of Colored People took on the job of tabulating lynch tistics in 1912, one of its priorities was an attempt to verify just w many lynchings had been motivated by rape or the faintest picion of it. The tabulation was published in Thirty Years of nching in the United States, a painfully documented booklet of ore than 100 pages. From 1889 to 1918, the NAACP reported, 24 persons had been killed by lynch mobs, 2,838 of them (sevenhths of the total) in the South. Seventy-eight percent of the tims were black. Sixty-one women were also among those ached, 50 of them black.*
Of the white men lynched, 8.4 percent had been accused of

Iterse NAACP report on a black female lynch victim: "May 26, 1911, emah, Oklahoma.-A colored woman accused of having shot a sheriff was en by a mob and, together with her fourteen-year-old son, was hanged from tidge. The woman was raped before she was hanged."
"rape and attacks upon women." Among the blacks the percentagy was 28.4. The NAACP cautiously summed up,

It may be fairly pointed out that in a number of cases wher Negroes have been lynched for rape and "attacks upon whit women," the alleged attacks rest upon no stronger evidence thy "entering the room of a woman" or brushing against her. . many cases, of course, the evidence points to bona fide attacks uped women. . . . It is apparent that lynchings of Negroes for other causes than the so-called "one crime" have for the whole period bees a large majority of all lynchings and that for the past five [1914-1918], less than one in five of the colored victims have bee accused of rape or "attacks on women."

Yet "the one crime" myth persisted in the popular imaginatiol and those who joined the fight against lynching in the next twiw decades were forced to deal with it head on. Not surprisingty political groups of differing persuasions in the nineteen thirties amb forties developed divergent methods of exposing the rape excus which often set them at each other's throats.

In 1931, eleven years after the enfranchisement of women which the South had bitterly resisted to the end, a white churcd woman from Atlanta named Jessie Daniel Ames organized th Association of Southern Women for the Prevention of Lynching in direct response to lynch-law propaganda that summary mob actio was needed to protect white female chastity. Working throug church groups in fifteen states and one thousand counties, Association women (white, middle- and upper-class) began the political education work with a massive campaign for signatures $t$ a strongly worded declaration.
"We declare lynching is an indefensible crime," their state ment began.

We believe that . . . public opinion has accepted too easily to claim of lynchers and mobsters that they were acting solely in th defense of womanhood. In the light of facts, women dare not long permit the claim to pass unchallenged nor allow themselves to the cloak behind which those bent upon personal revenge ar savagery commit acts of violence and lawlessness in the name women. We repudiate this disgraceful claim for all time.

Jessie Ames later credited female suffrage with helping to hange the public climate in regard to lynching since the vote freed outhern women from "the oft-repeated and generally accepted tatement that no real lady would degrade herself by participating politics." Despite hooting opposition from many white male ommunity leaders who felt the ladies were stepping into a danerous area in which they had no business, the Association colcted more than forty thousand signatures from their white outhern sisters in the next few years. Their activism did not end ith the signature drive, or with pamphlets, conferences, questionaires to politicians and plays performed at church suppers. With a ravery that equaled that of the Southern blacks who worked with he NAACP, they undertook their own investigations of lynchings odetermine the real root cause and published their information in pecial bulletins.

Lowndes County, Miss. 1933,-1. Accused of insulting white woman-Hanged. Rumored that charge of insult to white woman furnished excuse to landlord to "put away" Negro tenant whose crop the landlord wanted. Visiting preacher conducting revival services for local church was told that meeting might be disturbed because "there was to be a lynching that night." He made no effort to prevent the lynching. Lynching was not known outside the community until body, thrown in creek, came to surface some days later. (Mob of about 10 men.)
"Taken from the sheriff," "taken from the courthouse," taken from the jail," was the common way a lynching began. Dutside the South the collusion of Southern sheriffs was a glaring fact that did not require any mincing of words. Inside the South, in
the very communities in which lynchings took place, these sheriffs had a vivid personal reality and identity to members of the Association. A sheriff might be a relative or neighbor; in any event, he was the known local figure entrusted with the maintenance of law end order, someone to greet by name, someone who might drop in pn that church supper, someone with whom one was used to exchanging civilities. To white Southern women, a white Southern theriff was one of their own men.
"Whatever else may be said about Southern women, it cannot
be said that they lack the moral courage to act according to the convictions," Jessie Ames was to write. "When from their on investigations of lynchings allegedly committed to protect Sout ern womanhood, they found that they were used as a shield behi which their own men committed cowardly acts of violence agail a helpless people, they took the only action they could." By 19 the Southern women began naming names.

Abbeville, Henry County, Alabama, February 2-Negro accused criminal assault on a white woman; taken from custody of She J. L. Corbitt. . . . Impeachment proceedings brought sheriff, who was exonerated by a 4 to 2 vote. ... Testimony closed that the sheriff waited about four hours before he began search for the mob. . . . The sheriff and his deputy never overto the mob.

> Milton, Santa Rosa County, Florida, October 3-Negro accused "unnatural crime" against a white boy and robbery of a filling s tion was taken from Sheriff Joe Allen on highway and shot. Prison had been held at Panama City for safekeeping until time for trial; he was being brought back to Milton for trial when the mo got him.

"Where Were the Peace Offickrs?" the Association bulletin asked. "All eight victims of lynching in 1937 were in t hands of Peace Officers. In seven of the lynchings, investigatio indicate that officers of the law were either in the mob or were collusion with the mob. In the eighth lynching no conclusive e dence has yet been obtained. . . . It is difficult to believe, ho ever, that any officer who has custody of a prisoner is entird ignorant of an intention to lynch on the part of his constituents is unable to identify any of the mob."

Someday I hope someone will write the full story of the figg to eradicate lynching in the South with special attention to th interorganizational squabbles, typical of radical politics, thi) usurped the energies and debilitated the efforts of all of the group Whose statistics were the most reliable, whether or not to suppd federal anti-lynching legislation, who was being namby-pamb who was being inflammatory, who had the right to speak and wh was an outside agitator, was lynching a product of "lawless d
ents" or was it "carefully organized . . . . with the cooperation of ery ruling class agency," was it better to work quietly within the ommunity or was it better to put forward a political overviewte battles never ceased to rage.
The role of the Communist Party deserves careful study, for is was the grouping that put forward an all-encompassing politi1 overview couched in ferocious rhetoric. Sometimes the rhetoric ntained brilliant insights that few had seen before and somemes it was nothing more than a frenetic jumble of misstated cts. In 1932, during a time when the Communists were at loggereads with the NAACP, International Publishers put out its own mphlet on lynching that laid down the party line. Reserving a pod part of their venom for NAACP leaders W. E. B. Du Bois, alter White and Clarence Darrow-for their "dastardly evasion the real cause of lynching"-the authors of "International mphlet No. 25 " denounced the NAACP for promoting the eory that lynching might be understood as an isolated phenomeon, the terrible sport of lawless, uneducated poor whites. "No,"
ey thundered. "Lynchings defend profits! Lynchings are a warn$g$ to the Negro toilers. Lynching is one of the weapons with hich the white ruling class enforces its national oppression of the egro people and tries to maintain division between the white orkers and the Negro toilers."
The rhetoric was terrible, the attack on Du Bois and the hers was patently unfair, but the germ of the idea was sound. In 47 The President's Committee on Civil Rights issued a famous port, To Secure These Rights, which showed that Communist rty theory, in part, had been absorbed into mainstream thinking. Secure These Rights concluded, "Lynching is the ultimate reat by which his inferior status is driven home to the Negro. As terrorist device, it reinforces all the other disabilities placed upon m."
"International Pamphlet No. 25" also had thunderous words to about rape. In a style that C.P. literature favored, rape was ver written as rape but always in quotation marks as "rape" and metimes contained within the phrase "the capitalist rape lie":

## The "Rape" Lie

To incite the white workers against the Negroes and to further build the myth of "white superiority," the white ruling class has
coined the poisonous and insane lie that Negroes are "rapists." The cry of "rape" is raised whenever any Negro worker begins to rise from his knees. We may be certain that of the Negro worken lynched for "rape," practically none, if any, were guilty of a crime committed innumerable times by whites against Negro women, and punished, if ever at all, by a few months in jail. . . . The first time we hear this lie is about the year 1830 , two hundred years after tixe Negroes were first unloaded from the slave ships in Virginia. Why did it appear at this time? Because this year marks the beginning d the abolition movement in the North, and the sharpening struggk of the slaves themselves for freedom. For 200 years the Nego workers were not "rapists." But as soon as their position as valuabk slaves was endangered, then they suddenly became "rapists." Many whites take advantage of the capitalist "rape" lie to proted themselves .

If rape was a lie, with whom did the lie originate? "Interns tional Pamphlet No. 25 " hedged the question, but it allowed the reader to draw a fairly obvious conclusion. After all, phrases like "the cry of 'rape'" and "the 'rape' lie" had a history to them Potiphar's wife had been a liar who maliciously cried rape. Thomes Jefferson had warned of those women who might cry rape as an instrument of vengeance. That Bible of American jurisprudence, Wigmore on Evidence, required reading for students in law schooh cautioned against the female's tendency to lie.

Disbelief of a woman who said she had been raped had been built into male logic since the days when men first allowed ? limited concept of criminal rape into their law, and the male leaders of the Communist Party did not question this logic al though they had forthrightly exposed and challenged other aspects of property law. To Communists, feminism was always a dirty word, a right-wing deviation, a bourgeois "error"-Lenin had said as much to Clara Zetkin; Mother Bloor had made it plain. The Communist Party, for all its talk about equality, maintained (and still maintains, although it hardly matters today) that male st: premacy was nothing more than an unfortunate "attitude" among workers that would be eliminated after socialism had been achieved. So it was historically inevitable that the American Communist Party of the nineteen thirties, controlled and directed by white men, like all other political parties, would slight the veracity
ff women and charge them with the sins of Potiphar's wife in its effort to clear the reputation of blacks.

Still, it took a woman, a Viennese disciple of Freud who had probably ventured no farther south than Boston, to provide the clincher. In the turbulent thirties two strains of thinking, Marxism and Freudianism, the one concerned with social forces and the other concerned with the individual psyche, collided and crashed against each other in the public arena. Yet these two currents of thinking, arising from such vastly different ideological wellsprings, managed to dovetail with startling precision on the role of the white woman in interracial rape. Once Dr. Helene Deutsch laid down her dictum of the hysterical, masochistic female, it was adopted with astonishing speed by those who wanted, or needed for their own peace of mind, to dilute white male responsibility for the Southern rape complex.

Describing the rape complex of the Reconstruction period from the vantage point of 1941, W. J. Cash had written of "neurotic old maids and wives, hysterical young girls," borrowing from a latter-day Freudian perspective. From where had the idea sprung? Four years earlier John Dollard, a Yale professor of psychology, had published a well-received appraisal of modern life in the South, Caste and Class in a Southern Town. In it Dollard quoted verbatim the views of Helene Deutsch, which he claimed to find "illuminating." Deutsch, he reported, had analyzed several white Southern women in whom she had found "marked sexual attraction to Negro men and masochistic fantasies connected with this attraction." Her blanket indictment followed: "The fact that the white men believe so readily the hysterical and masochistic fantasies and lies of the white women, who claim they have been assaulted and raped by Negroes, is related to the fact that they (the men) sense the unconscious wishes of the women, the psychic reality of these declarations, and react emotionally to them as if they were real. The social situation permits them to discharge this emotion upon the Negroes."

When Deutsch produced her two-volume Psychology of Women in $1944^{-1945}$ she reiterated her thesis. "Rape fantasies," she wrote, "often have such irresistible verisimilitude that even the most experienced judges are misled in trials of innocent men accused of rape by hysterical women. My own experience of ac-
counts by white women of rape by Negroes (who are often subjected to terrible penalties as a result of these accusations) has convinced me that many fantastic stories are produced by the masochistic yearnings of these women."

If one case convinced the American public-and international opinion-that lying, scheming white women who cried rape wert directly responsible for the terrible penalties inflicted on black men, the name of that case was Scottsboro. Most famous of all the Southern rape cases for its miscarriage of justice, for the awesome fact that it almost claimed nine lives, for its incredible longevityit dragged through the courts and the penal system for two decado before the last defendant was finally set free-Scottsboro remains an ugly blot on American history and Southern jurisprudence, and damning proof to liberals everywhere that Eve Incarnate and the concept of Original Sin was a no-good, promiscuous woman whe rode a freight train through Alabama.

It began routinely enough for the Depression year of $193^{2}$ Two young women, "po' white trash," millworkers from Huntsville recently laid off and used to supplementing their earnings with ? little catch-as-catch-can hustling, hopped a freight to Chattanoog for a bit of adventure. Ruby Bates was seventeen and Victoria Prict was in her mid-twenties. They spent the night in an open fiel known as a hobo jungle with some male companions and the nex morning, outfitted in denim overalls on top of their dresses, the climbed aboard a Southern Railway gondola for the trip back Huntsville. Victoria Price had already known trouble with the law an arrest for violating the Volstead (Prohibition) Act and a shor stretch in the workhouse on an adultery conviction. Ruby Bate was clean, but a minor.

They were not the only rootless young people riding the rail that morning. Scattered the length of the train in open gondola and boxcars were black youths and white. Somewhere past Steven son a fistfight broke out between the blacks and the whites and thy white youths were forced off the train. News of the race fight w telegraphed ahead to Paint Rock along with the information, vo unteered by the vanquished white boys, that two white wome were still aboard the train and were in serious trouble. When th train pulled into the Paint Rock station, rape was already in the a and an armed posse of seventy-five men, augmented by an angr
crowd, was waiting. Nine blacks, ranging in age from thirteen to twenty, were rounded up. Victoria and Ruby tried to duck away and vanish in the confusion but they were cornered by the stationmaster. Ruby was the one who first answered his question with a faltering Yes.

Two years later Ruby Bates wrote a letter to her boyfriend. "Dearest Earl," she began,

I want too make a statement too you Mary Sanders is a goddam lie about those Negroes jazzing me those policemen made me tell a lie that is my statement because I want too clear myself that is all too if you want too believe me Ok. If not that is ok. You will be sorry some day if you had too stay in jail with eight Negroes you would tell a lie two those Negroes did not touch me or those white boys I hope you will believe me the law dont. i love you better than Mary does ore any body else in the world that is why i am telling you of this thing $i$ was drunk at the time and did not know what i was doing $i$ know it was wrong too let those Negroes die on account of me i hope you will believe my statement because it is the gods truth i hope you will believe me i was jazzed but those white boys jazzed me $i$ wish those Negroes are not Burnt on account of me it is those white Boys fault that is my statement and that is all i know i hope you tell the law hope you will answer

## Ruby Bates

The first set of trials held at Scottsboro were quick affairs that might be termed farcical were it not for the fact that eight of the nine defendants were sentenced to death. A court-appointed lawyer reluctantly provided a defense and Ruby Bates and Victoria Price, who were kept in jail with possible vagrancy and/or prostitution charges held over their heads, testified for the prosecution. The singular opportunity afforded Price and Bates should be apprecipted by every woman. From languishing in a jail cell as the lowest of the low, vagrant women who stole rides on freight cars, it was a hort step to the witness stand where dignity of a sort could be reclaimed by charging that they had been pathetic, innocent vicims of rape. (Victoria Price could never get herself to admit in court that she had spent a night in a Chattanooga hobo jungle. No, the stubbornly insisted in trial after trial, she had stayed in the tome of a respectable lady.) Operating from precisely the same

1933, said tersely, "The girls in overalls, fearing a vagrancy charge then accused the Negro boys of assault." This lucid explanation d the origin of the rape complaint later got lost in the avalanche of Scottsboro publicity.

After Ruby Bates made her spectacular recantation in the second trial of "ringleader" Haywood Patterson-Victoria Prix desperately stuck to her original story and even embellished upos it-a new jury of twelve white men still voted a sentence of death It was then that Judge James E. Horton, the "good" judge of the Scottsboro trials, set aside Patterson's conviction and ordered ? third trial. He hadn't believed Victoria Price, and it cost him his judicial career in the next election. But Judge Horton's legal opir ion did not explore the reasons why a jury of his white brothes might feel emotionally driven to convict a black man for rape and sentence him to death on insubstantial evidence. Nor did he stidd to unraveling "the uncorroborated, improbable testimony of the prosecutrix." Horton had a grander theme, one that reached bads to man's oldest suspicions.
"History, sacred and profane," he wrote, "and the commos experience of mankind teaches that women of the character show in this case are prone for selfish reasons to make false accusation both of rape and of insult upon the slightest provocation, or eved without provocation for ulterior purposes. . . . The tendency the part of the women shows they are predisposed to make falst accusations upon any occasion whereby their selfish ends may be gained."

Blaming Scottsboro on the predisposition of a certain class of woman to make false accusations was an easy handle for the liberd mentality to grasp. Trouble was a white woman of murky virtuethe root of all evil-how neatly everything fell into place, ho cleanly white men were absolved of guilt.

A study of penalties for rape in Louisiana from 1900 to $195^{\circ}$ showed that thirty-seven blacks had been duly executed by the state yet only two whites had met that extreme fate during th same fifty-year period. A study of executions for rape or attempted rape in Virginia during the years 1908 to 1963 showed that fifty-s blacks and no whites had been given the maximum penalty. Southern white men continued to round up black men, lynch ther or try them in a courtroom and give them the maximum sentenct for the holy purpose of "protecting their women," Northern lii

Is looking at the ghastly pattern through an inverted prism saw picture of a lying white woman crying rape-rape-rape.
In 1951 the last Scottsboro "Boy," by then a man of thirtyht, had finally won his freedom, his name superseded in the theon of obscure Southern black men suddenly elevated to the ition of international martyr by a succession of new cases, new Is, new convictions and death sentences pronounced in Southcourts for interracial rape, and corresponding new picket lines, itions and protest rallies staged by leftists in the North and in rope. The early fifties were a bad time for the American left. Its n house was under sharp attack from McCarthyism, the McCarAct, the House Un-American Activities Committee, the senberg case and the patriotic, anti-Red fervor whipped up by Korean War. To Communists and those within their orbit who ieved in the political strategy of mass action built around an otional symbol, the Southern interracial rape case came to tomize everything that was rotten or unjust about the American of life. It was also a dramatic focal point for civil-rights efforts, one last area in which the beleaguered Communist Party could nd did-have an effect that rippled beyond its diminishing
.
As a natural outgrowth of its politik, the Communist Party iberately propagandized a series of interracial rape cases as symic of the perfidy of the American system. The injustice of nthern justice when it came to blacks was pronounced in many as above and beyond the issue of rape and a sentence of death. hen an authentic, black-originated Southern civil-rights movent got off the ground in the nineteen sixties, the new movement tted not with symbolic cases but with pragmatic efforts at lunchinter desegregation and voter registration. But rape had the toric violence of Southern lynch law behind it, a rape case had erent drama, and a rape story could be told in such a fashion as convince a listener of guilt or innocence or reasonable doubt. reover, many people outside the left were opposed to capital nishment (the Communist Party wasn't), particularly for a me that did not take a life. Even more people cherished malesed doubts that a woman could be raped against her will. All ngs considered, the left had done well, comparatively, on Scotts-O-as well as or better than it had done on the Haymarket case, Hill, Tom Mooney, or Sacco and Vanzetti. Lives had been
saved and thousands of new people had been politicized during tis struggle. Liberals who were unwilling to buy the left's political an economic reasons for the historic oppression of blacks could moul the tragedy of the South's mucked-up sexual racial relations, prefe ring to see sex, rather than politics or economics, as the root cal of a host of evils from segregation to genocide. And sex, of cours meant women.

Just once, as far as I have been able to determine, did the le go all out to publicize a rape case from the victim's perspective. September, 1944, Recy Taylor, a twenty-four-year-old wife an mother, and a black woman, was abducted and raped by a gang whites as she left a nighttime service of the Rock Hill Holine Church in Abbeville, Alabama. Despite a confession from on member of the gang who named his accomplices, a grand iu repeatedly refused to indict the young men. A national Committo for Equal Justice for Mrs. Recy Taylor ("Send contributions treasurer, Hon. Hulan Jack") tried to keep the case alive by distu1" uting a pamphlet written by Earl Conrad, the biographer of $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ riet Tubman, and Eugene Gordon, a black newspaperms Gordon's contribution to the effort came as close as the left elv came to a feminist analysis of rape, a flash forward into the rhetoif of the seventies:

The attack on Mrs. Taylor was an attack on all women. N Taylor is Negro. But the only reason a black woman was singled of was that owing to economic, social and political inequality e lished during and held over from slavery, she was unprotected, therefore, easy prey. A part of her helplessness came from the that the criminals believed they wouldn't be punished. The ble woman, and not the white, is generally the object of debaseme but only because the black woman is less well protected econor cally and socially. The white woman is safe only to a degree abo the safety of the black woman. No woman is safe or free until? women are free.

Recy Taylor never secured her equal justice and the Conrs Gordon pamphlet remains an anomaly in left-wing literature. 1 left was more at ease politicizing rape as "rape," a fantasy chat designed to kill black men.

We Charge Genocide, the famous petition to the Unit Nations that was widely circulated by the Civil Rights Congress

951 (the Congress replaced the disbanded International Labor Pefense as the left's mass-protest organization for civil-rights activies), devoted several paragraphs of hard-line rhetoric to a definiton "rape" as "one of the methods of the conspiracy whereby nance joins with the state and terrorist organizations to disfranhise Americans for political power and private profit":
The conspiracy has made potent use of the spurious charge of "rape" as a political weapon. The charge of "rape" was consciously forged as a matter of state policy. It emerged in the Southern states at the same historic moment as the poll tax. It has since consistently been used to terrorize militant Negroes with the ever-present menace of death by lynching or by "legal murder" through police, incited mobs, and venal courts. Examples of how the cry of "rape" is used, invariably on the basis of race, abound in numerous cases. . . . The genocidal, murderous quality of the charge of "rape" is apparent . .
was not the charge of rape that was murderous and genocidal to outhern blacks, it was the overkill retaliation at the hands of hite men, but this important distinction perpetually eluded the ft's ideologues.
I need to tread gently here. The left fought hard for its mbols of racial injustice, making bewildered heroes out of a andful of pathetic, semiliterate fellows caught in the jaws of outhern jurisprudence who only wanted to beat the rap. Whatver else one cares to believe about the Communist Party and the rogressive movement, one should be forever mindful of its indiidual members' selfless, pioneering devotion to the question of ack equality long before it became fashionable or politically tgent within liberal circles. Yet because of the national hysteria of he McCarthyite years, any case the Communists took on and ublicized became for all practical purposes a Communist cause om which others ran as if from a plague. Few people did not line paccording to their political perspective on any given issue the ommunists chose to publicize, and many a case was decided in re timid court of public opinion on the basis of whether or not a odest compromise-a commutation of the death sentenceould give aid and succor to the Communist cause.
For its part, the left, in its increasing paranoia and raging ?potence, vilified and excoriated the hapless white woman whose iginal charge had wreaked such total destruction upon the hap-
less black. The standard defense strategy for puncturing holes in 1 rape case was (and is) an attempt to destroy the credibility of the complaining witness by smearing her as mentally unbalanced, or as sexually frustrated, or as an oversexed, promiscuous whore. In it mass-protest campaigns to save the lives of convicted black rapist, the left employed all these tactics, and more, against white women with a virulence that bordered on hate.

Most of the cases have since passed into oblivion, except in the minds of readers of the old Daily Worker who are blessed with total recall. Some, like the Martinsville Seven, executed by the state of Virginia in February, 1951, for gang-raping a thirty-two-year-old white woman, a Jehovah's Witness who sold the Watchtower in the black part of town, barely broke through the sound barrier of the left, perhaps because their case had no complexity to it, little room for speculation, and the nation had yet to be sens:tized to the issue of capital punishment even when a state felled seven with one blow. And perhaps because the left had been able to make so little headway on the Martinsville case, vilification of the white woman reached an all-time high. In a signed article printed in the Worker for two days running while the Martinsville Seven met their death, a writer put forward a series of feverish questions:

Why did she change her story between the time of the alleged "attack" and the preliminary hearing a month later?

Why did she say at first that she was "attacked" by 13 or 14 men and then later changed it to " 12 or 13 times"?

Was it not a fact that she had been promised \$5?
Was it not a fact that she accepted the offer?
Was it not a fact that she had dates in the past with one of the men?

Why did she insist on leaving the hospital on the night of the alleged "attack" after speaking with her husband?

Who came to visit her that night at her home?
What instructions did those visitors give her?
What promises of financial support were made, and by whom? much?

What promises did she make in return for this money? Questions like these were being asked all over town. The answers to these questions would have exposed the frameup in this
case. That's why they weren't asked. That's why they were carefully
avoided avoided.
"Questions like these" were a hodgepodge of innuendo, but they fueled the Worker reader who thrived on conspiracy.

And then there was Willie McGee, the filling station attendant and truck driver whose death in a portable electric chair trundled for the occasion to the Laurel, Mississippi, courtroom where he was first convicted made page one of The New York Times for May 8, 1951, and the front page of newspapers throughout the world.

McGee had three trials in all, and three convictions-two by all-white, all-male juries and the third by an all-male jury of nine whites and three blacks (as in Alabama, women were not permitted to serve on Mississippi juries). According to the prosecution, in the early morning of November 2, 1945, while Willametta Hawkins, the wife of a postal worker, lay asleep with her sick child-her husband and two other children were sleeping in an adjoining room-a black intruder stole into her bed, warning that if she screamed or cried out he would cut her throat. Mrs. Hawkins could not identify her rapist in the darkness except to say that he had been drinking, but police investigation led to McGee within a day. A grocery firm had reported that one of its trucks was missing overnight along with driver Willie McGee and $\$ 15.85$ of the company's money; and a neighbor had noticed a grocery truck parked near the Hawkins' home at 4:30 A.M. McGee was picked up the following afternoon. He turned in the company's money, saying he had not meant to keep it, and the larceny charge was dropped. Instead he was charged with rape. A deputy sheriff extracted a confession from him, a confession that McGee later repudiated by saying he had been beaten and kept in a sweatbox until he cried guilty.

In all three trials McGee never took the stand in his own defense. His lawyers vainly claimed that he had been drinking in Hattiesburg, thirty miles away, when the rape took place. The first trial was a one-day affair in which the jury deliberated for two and a half minutes while guardsmen kept an angry mob in the streets at bay. The second trial, granted on a change-of-venue appeal that a tair trial for McGee had been impossible in Laurel, was conducted in Hattiesburg with similar results. The third trial, granted on the
appeal that black men had been kept off the jury, was held back in Laurel. Circuit Judge Burkitt Collins, who presided at all three trials, three times sentenced Willie McGee to death.

No white man had ever been executed for rape in Mississippi, while McGee was the latest in a long row of condemned blacks. On this basis-unequal treatment under the law-a national Save Willie McGee campaign began to mount. McGee's new lawyers, hired by the Civil Rights Congress, had hinted at the third trial that there was more to the McGee case than had been divulged in court, and in March of 1951 Mrs. Rosalee McGee, McGee's wife and the mother of his four children, held an emotional press conference in New York. Her husband was no drunken intruder whom Mrs. Hawkins couldn't identify in the dark, she told reporters; he was the victim of a frame-up. According to Rosalee McGee, Willametta Hawkins knew Willie quite well-they'd been having an on-and-off affair for years, an affair that Mrs. Hawkins had instigated and McGee had tried to break off.

As Mrs. McGee told it, the affair started back in 1942 before her husband had gone into the Army when Mrs. Hawkins propositioned McGee by leaving a note for him in the nozzle of a gas hose in the filling station where he worked. "Down South you tell a woman like that ' no ' and she'll cry rape anyway," Rosalee McGee explained. When McGee came out of the Army the following year and returned to Laurel, the notes and the affair resumed, with McGee as a most reluctant participant, according to his wife. Once he had even gone out to California with the idea of settling there, just to escape Mrs. Hawkins, she said, but he couldn't find work and had to come back. Rosalee McGee informed the press that she had not spoken up and told this story in court "because the lynch mob would've got me." She concluded, "I don't know what hap pened between Mrs. Hawkins and her husband. But after Willie was picked up by the police that night, everybody in the block said that they had a big argument and that he was chasing her right out into the street at five o'clock in the morning. So she could save herself from her husband, she figured she'll say she was raped."

One of the few newspapers to report Mrs. McGee's press conference in full was the Daily Worker, which stoked the fire and kept the McGee case on its front page for the next two months. The Worker called Willametta Hawkins a Potiphar's wife and McGee became a modern-day Joseph, "a slave in the kingdom of
jimcrow," who had tried to run from Mrs. Hawkins' elutches. Worker reporters sent out to interview "the ordinary people" came back with quotes like "I've always been skeptical about this rape business" and "I'm convinced it is almost impossible to rape a woman if she doesn't really want it." Inevitably, the rhetoric grew bolder as the days grew shorter for Willie McGee. At the end of March the Worker editorialized, "The woman who said McGee 'raped' her was known to the entire community as having had a prolonged relationship with him . . that she initiated and insisted on continuing against the will of her victim." The following month Mrs. McGee told a rally, "If there was any raping done, it was Mrs. Hawkins who raped my husband."

The U.S. Supreme Court refused to consider Rosalee McGee's story as new evidence warranting another trial and shortly after midnight on May 8 , as one writer put it, the string ran out after five and one-half years. Mrs. Hawkins' husband, brother and two brothers-in-law were among those who watched McGee's execution. The following day the Worker let loose with one last blast:
"Willie McGee was murdered," the paper editorialized on page
one, "because the white woman who had forced an illicit affair upon him for more than four years suddenly shouted 'rape' after the whole town discovered the story."

To turn from the Worker's coverage of the McGee case to Time and Life is an object lesson in political schizophrenia. The one and only story Life ran was printed after McGee's execution and was headlined, appropriately enough, "The End of Willie McGee." Its subtitle: "A Mississippi rapist with a slender chance to escape death is 'aided' by the Reds and gets the chair." Life breathed not a word of Rosalee McGee's version of the case, except to remark obliquely that Mrs. McGee had been "taken over by the Communists." The burden of Life's story was that "something very unfortunate happened to Willie. His case fitted too well into the strategy of the Communist International . . . which sought to convince Chinese and Indians and Indonesians that capitalism hates and tortures anyone who is not white." Life seemed particularly incensed that left-wing rhetoric had constantly called McGee a World War II veteran. "He was never in the Armed Services," the magazine said flatly. Time magazine, which subtitled its story Justice \& the Communists," did refer to what it called the "new and ugly accusation," answering, "In the small (pop. 20,000) town
of Laurel, there was utterly no evidence of such a relationship."
So to Time and Life, waging their cold war on the home front, it was the Communists who killed McGee, and to the Daily Worker it was a lustful white woman who suddenly cried rape. There was little middle ground to hang on to in 1951.*

That year Carl Rowan, the distinguished black journalist, former ambassador to Finland and one-time director of the United States Information Agency, was a cub reporter on the Minneapolis Tribune. He had asked for and received a very special assignmentto return to his birthplace and write a series of articles on the Southern way of life. One of the stops on Rowan's itinerary, an unplanned stop, he later recalled, was Laurel, Mississippi. The McGee case had drawn him, for he was more than mildly curious about the so-called "new evidence" hinted at during the third trial. "Some of the things filtering out of Mississippi," he later wrote, "read like an episode from my youth in Tennessee." (As a teenager in McMinnville, Rowan had once sneaked up on a buddy of his and a local white girl who were making out in a secluded spot down by the riverbank. When the girl heard his footsteps she screamed, "Get up! Stop, you black sonofabitch! Jesus, he's raping mel" Later the girl explained in tears, "I was afraid they'd kill me. I thought they had come to kill me.") To Rowan, "The overtones of sex in its role as the dominant factor in Dixie Negro-white relations were spelled out clearly in the case of Willie McGee, and further, "the whole ugly issue of Communism as it affects the Negro's fight for full equality was on review."

Rowan got off the bus and played sleuth in the black section of town. He interviewed some middle-class professionals and then disguised as a jobless loafer, he spoke with some folks in "a junky café." He found no shortage of black people willing to fill him ib on The Case. To Laurel's black citizens Willie McGee was fool." "It was pretty much whispered around among Negroes that McGee was going to get in trouble with that woman," a teache

* One exception was a short article written for The Nation by Mary Moster a Southern social worker. She wrote: "Many questions are left unanswerd by both sides, but certainly there seems pitifuily little evidence to warrail taking a man's life. Probably no one except those directly involved will end know the true story. . . . Of course in the minds of professional Southernc there is no such thing as a voluntary sex relation between a Negro man a a white woman-any relation at all, to them, is rape."
told him. From someone else he heard about the note that was left for McGee when he worked at the filling station. In the little café someone who claimed he knew McGee "pretty well" said, "Yeah, I knowed what was going on. I knowed that McGee told his wife he been doing 'er wrong. He said the only way for him to straighten up was to leave. So he went out west, supposedly to get away from this woman."

But Rowan had a journalist's problem. No one he spoke with was willing to be quoted and the men in the café, he learned, had even given him false names. When it came to an interracial rape case and the fearsome charge of "playing ball with the Commies," one informant told him, "nobody wanted to get his fingers burned." Rowan didn't file his story. He later wrote, "Even I took the cowardly way out by sheltering my own fingers and proceeding to forget that I ever visited Laurel." A few weeks later Rosalee McGee held her press conference in New York City and the young black reporter learned that her story was substantially the same one that he had dug up.

Rowan eventually did write about the McGee case, and with great sensitivity to its lasting ambiguities. He included a chapter on McGee in South of Freedom, a report on his year of travel. "Only the craziest Negro," Rowan wrote, "would walk into a white man's house and rape his wife while the white man slept in the next toom-unless he knew his way around in the pitch-black house, and unless he had reason to believe that the white woman wouldn't cry out. And the one thing nobody had accused Willie McGee of was insanity." Yet on the other side of the "tiring, weird befuddlement" was this:

The defense was wishy-washy. The story of long-standing illicit relations between McGee and the alleged victim appeared to be an admission that the defendant might have been in bed with the woman, but at her invitation. How did this square with Communist contention that McGee was driving his truck in Hattiesburg, thirty miles away, at the time of the attack? And how did either of these stories jibe with testimony of a woman defense witness that McGee was with her at the time of the alleged attack? And why was McGee silent all this time; why didn't he tell his own story?

Almost twenty-five years have passed and Rowan's questions remain unanswered, but to Congresswoman Bella Abzug, who as a
young labor lawyer direeted McGee's defense for the third and last trial and presented his high-court appeals, the Willie McGee case is a closed chapter in a life that has since been filled with more successful battles.

Bella Abzug agrees today that the McGee case was filled with ambiguities but the ambiguities are too far in the past for her to want to sort out. "I placed my own investigators in town," she told me one afternoon in her office. "The affair between the two was common knowledge among blacks and whites." Then why hadn't she put McGee or his wife on the stand? "No jury was going to believe it. Challenging the word of a white woman just wasn't done. The strategy was to depend on a lack of concrete evidence. Nobody believed you could win an interracial rape case in a Southern court. You could only win on appeal."
"Do you think McGee was in the Hawkins' house that night?"
"I don't think he was there that night. I think she had to entrap him to get out of the situation. We had lots of debates and discussions over that."
"Look, Bella," I ventured, "even from your point of view McGee was a philanderer and Rosalee McGee knew it. It must have been difficult for her to come to terms with her husband's long-standing affair with a white woman. Is that why, when she finally did talk, she pictured her husband as an unwilling partici pant? Four years is a long time to be an unwilling participant in any affair. If McGee wasn't unwilling, he probably was in her bedroom that night. Do you believe Willametta Hawkins simply woke up in the middle of the night and started shouting that she'd just been raped by an unknown black man, and that an accidental chain of circumstances led the police to the very man with whom she'd been having a clandestine affair?"

Abzug agreed that these were debatable questions, but insig. nificant compared to the horror of McGee's execution in what she still terms "the Southern slavocracy."
"Bella, do you believe today that Southern white women have a history of crying rape?"
"I believe," Abzug said heavily, "that the white woman was always the pivot, the excuse. The black man was played off against the white woman and the white woman was played off against the black man, to keep both oppressed groups down."
"You successfully raised the issue of the exclusion of blacks
from McGee's jury, why didn't you raise the issue of the exclusion of women?"
"I don't think it ever came up. In those days we were never consciously raising that issue."

I understand Bella Abzug's desire to leave forgotten, painful history undisturbed, but the questions of journalists are seldom compatible with the concerns of defense lawyers, who seek to leave judge, jury and public with reasonable doubt. I would like to believe that the defense of Willie McGee was based on truth, that McGee and Mrs. Hawkins had been carrying on a secret liaison and that perhaps Troy Hawkins had awakened that night to discover the presence of a black man in the bed of his wife. I would also like to believe that McGee fled in haste and that Willametta Hawkins had no alternative but to say she had been raped.

Willametta Hawkins never wavered. She had been raped, she said, but she could not identify her assailant. For this she was vilified and harassed by leftists who smeared her in print as an oversexed and vengeful white witch. Willie McGee, too, did not Waver. He kept his silence to the end. Perhaps he had come to a philosophic acceptance of his fate for crossing the forbidden barrier and encroaching on the white man's property. Perhaps to him also this encroachment bore the same gravity as rape.

It took the "wolf whistle" murder of Emmett Till to shock the entire nation into seeing the Southern white man's property code for what it was. Amid a traceable pattern of retaliatory strikes, death sentences and lynchings that went unpunished, the murder of Till stands out in stark relief. Nothing in recent times can match it for sheer outrageousness, for indefensible overkill with community support. Old patterns die and new ones arise to take their place. In the following decade a new movement for civil rights orced the South to reorder its priorities and placed the battle to preserve the old way of life on grounds apart from the white VOman's body. The murder of Till, we can see now in retrospect, ame toward the end of a definable era. It was the landmark case of white male retaliation for black male transgression. In a sense, it proke the mold.

In August, 1955, a fisherman pulled the decomposed body of a lead black boy out of the Tallahatchie River. The corpse bore signs a terrible beating and the face was mutilated beyond recogniion. A ring on one finger led to a positive identification: Emmett
know from a safe distance their theoretical intent. After all, were not women for flirting? Wasn't a whistle or a murmured "May I fuck you?" an innocent compliment? And did not white women in particular have to bear the white man's burden of making amends for Southern racism? It took fifteen years for me to resolve these questions in my own mind, and to understand the insult implicit in Emmett Till's whistle, the depersonalized challenge of "I can have you" with or without the racial aspect. Today a sexual remark on the street causes within me a fleeting but murderous rage.

And we know from the record how another person, Eldridge Cleaver, reacted to the murder of Till. In Soul on Ice Cleaver writes that he was nineteen years old when he "saw in a magazine a picture of the white woman with whom Emmett Till was said to have flirted." Cleaver spelled out his reactions in full, for the Till case was a critical event in his life, one that turned him "inside out."

While looking at the picture, I felt that little tension in the center of my chest I experience when a woman appeals to me. 1 was disgusted and angry with myself. Here was a woman who had caused the death of a black, possibly because, when he looked at her, he also felt the same tensions of lust and desire in his chestand probably for the same general reasons that I felt them. . . . I looked at the picture again and again, and in spite of everything and against my will and the hate I felt for the woman and all that she represented, she appealed to me. I flew into a rage at myself, at America, at white women, at the history that had placed those tensions of lust and desire in my chest.

Cleaver had a small breakdown two days later during which he says he "ranted and raved . . . against white women in particular," and then, "Somehow I arrived at the conclusion that, as a matter of principle, it was of paramount importance for me to have an antagonistic, ruthless attitude toward white women. The term outlaw appealed to me . . . "His solution: "I became a rapist."

Cleaver's thought pattern and the ideological construct he used to justify his career as a rapist, a career cut short by imprisonment, is interesting on several levels. Besides being a rare glimpse into the mind of an actual rapist, it reflects a strain of thinking among black male intellectuals and writers that became quite fashionable in the late nineteen sixties and was taken up with astonish-
ing enthusiasm by white male radicals and parts of the white intellectual extablishment as a perfectly acceptable excuse for rape committed by black men. The key to the ready acceptability of Cleaver's thesis is obvious. The blame, as he saw it, belonged on white women.

Before I quote further from Cleaver the practitioner it might be instructive to hear from some of his contemporaneous brothers
who struck a similar chord when attempting to explain or exhort the black man. Cleaver himself makes passing reference to the poetry of LeRoi Jones (Imamu Amiri Baraka): "Come up, black dada nihilismus. Rape the white girls. Rape their fathers. Cut the mothers' throats," and comments coolly, "LeRoi is expressing the funky facts of life."

Funky or extreme as the poetry and plays of Jones-Baraka might be, no such criticism could be leveled at the black sociologist Calvin C. Hernton, who made a serious stab at defining the black male perspective in Sex and Racism in America. "I am well aware," writes Hernton, "that, like murder, rape has many motives. But when the motive for rape, however psychotic, is basically racial, that is a different matter. I think now that, at one time or another, in every Negro who grows up in the South, there is a rapist, no matter how hidden. And that rapist has been conceived in the Negro by a system of morals based on guilt, hatred, and human denial."

Hernton's concept of human denial implies that free access to white women, or indeed, to any woman, is some sort of inalienable male right that has been inhumanely denied to blacks. He states as doctrine without questioning the implications, "Any oppressed group, when obtaining power, tends to acquire the females of the group that has been the oppressor." To Hernton and to many black intellectuals (shades of the white Helene Deutsch) the role of the white woman is eagerly complicitous: "A Negro is more prone than anyone else to comply with the white woman's fantasies of rape and martyrdom." With a twist, this too was the theme of JonesBaraka's Dutchman.

But Hernton's analysis was tame compared to the pronunciamentos of Frantz Fanon, the darling of the New Left. Rape runs as a curious subtheme in all of Fanon's writings. As a doctor of psychiatry and a student of colonialism, Fanon was in an excellent position to make a substantial, original contribution to the world's
understanding of rape as a means of oppressing native women in Algeria and the Antilles, but Fanon's concern, to which he returns again and again (it is something of an obsession), is with the native man and the white woman. "Whoever says rape says Ne gro," he announces in Black Skin, White Masks in preface to his morbid rehash of the super-Freudian "A Negro Is Raping Me" theory of white female masochism propounded by Marie Bonaparte and Helene Deutsch.

Here is Fanon's rendition of the familiar theme: "When a woman lives the fantasy of rape by a Negro, it is in some way the fulfillment of a private dream, of an inner wish . . . it is the woman who rapes herself. . . . The fantasy of rape by a Negro is a variation of this emotion: 'I wish the Negro would rip me open as I would have ripped a woman open.' " One cannot but be affected by the private anguish and personal confusion of Frantz Fanon when one reads these lines: "Basically does this fear of rape not itself cry out for rape? Just as there are faces that ask to be slapped, can one not speak of women who ask to be raped?"

Purely and simply, this radical theorist of third-world liberation was a hater of women. With an arrogance rarely matched by other radical male writers, Fanon goes on, "Those who grant our conclusions on the psychosexuality of the white woman may ask what we have to say about the woman of color. I know nothing about her."*
*Nor did he ever take the trouble to find out. In Fanon's politik, rape of third-world women was a devious colonial trick to emasculate third-world men. The Wretched of the Earth reports several cases of "mental disorders that Fanon attributes to the French colonization of Algeria. Case One, Series A: "Impotence in an Algerian following the rape of his wife." A twenty-sis-year-old fighter for the National Liberation Front discovered he had become impotent when he tried to conduct an affair in a foreign country where he was sent on a mission. During interviews with Dr. Fanon, the patient es plained that his wife had been raped by French soldiers while he was fighting for liberation. The Algerian's problem, in addition to his impotence, was that he didn't want to see his violated wife. He confessed, "Often, while I was looking at the photo of my daughter, I used to think that she too was dishonored, as if everything that had to do with my wife was rotten. If they'd tortured her or knocked out all her teeth or broken an arm I wouldn't have minded. But that thing-how can you forget a thing like that? And why did she have to tell me about it all?" The FLN fighter then asked Fanon, "Would you take back your wife?" The best Fanon could answer was "I think I would . . ." and a concession that he was not quite sure.

Fanon's haughty dismissal of "the woman of color" was more than matched by Eldridge Cleaver in his early raping career. "To refine my technique and modus operandi," wrote the man who was to become a Black Panther theorist, "I started out by practicing on black girls in the ghetto . . ."

No comment I might interject at this point seems adequate. Cleaver sheds no further light on his unusual form of target practice, although he implies in a sudden spurt of fancy language that he never got caught for raping black women because he was operating within a milieu of high crime, continuing in the same sentence, and when I considered myself smooth enough, I crossed the tracks and sought out white prey." Thereafter follows his extraordinary rationale:

Rape was an insurrectionary act. It delighted me that I was defying and trampling upon the white man's law, upon his system of values, and that I was defiling his women-and this point, I believe, was the most satisfying to me because I was very resentful over the historical fact of how the white man has used the black woman. I felt I was getting revenge.

Cleaver also reports in Soul on Ice that later on in the solitude of prison he found he could no longer "approve the act of rape": "I lost my self-respect. My pride as a man dissolved . .." This was as close as he ever got to an apology to women, white or black, but it was not a very meaningful apology. Years later he blithely told an interviewer for Playboy, "I was in a wild frame of mind and rape was simply one of the weird forms my rebellion took at that stage. So it was probably a combination of business and pleasure."

As Fanon had his Jean-Paul Sartre and Calvin Hernton his Nat Hentoff, Cleaver, too, had his champions and interpreters mong the white male literati. "It takes a certain boldness on Cleaver's part," the critic Maxwell Geismar raved in his introducion to Soul on Ice, "to open this collection of essays not merely on ape but on the whole profound relationship of black men and White women. There is a secret kind of sexual mysticism in this riter which adds depth and tone to his social commentary; this is highly literary and imaginative mind surveying the salient aspects four common life."
The spectacle of white radicals and intellectuals falling all
over each other in their rush to accept the Cleaver rationale for rape was a sorry sight in the late nineteen sixties and early seventies when the Neanderthal slogan, "All black prisoners are political prisoners," was raised as a rallying cry of the New Left. As an indication of how far this ideology traveled, I clipped and saved a printed exchange that New York Times reporter Tad Szulc conducted with Soledad Brother George Jackson shortly before Jackson's death during the San Quentin riot. Szulc appeared to have bought Cleaver's "insurrectionary" excuse for raping white women, for he carefully asked George Jackson, "Aren't any black people guilty of crimes in American society? Aren't any of them crimi-nals-for example, a black man who rapes and murders a black woman?" Jackson, for his part, did not appear unduly concerned with what he sloughed off as "the relatively small percentage of thrill crimes" committed against black women. He countered in political jargon, "Every revolutionary theoretician and psychiatrist accepts as elementary the tendency of violence to turn inward when the oppressed can find no externalization . . ."

The recurrent nightmare in the eighteenth-century slaveholding South had been the white male dream of black men rising up to rape "their" women, and in the second half of the twentieth century the black man in his fiercest rhetoric seems intent on fulfilling that prophecy. This cannot be chalked off to the chickens coming home to roost, payback for injustice past, or the pressure cooker of "human denial" that finally explodes. Nor does the postulation that males of an oppressed class tend to "acquire" the females of the oppressor class when the opportunity arises suffice 25 full explanation. In the past the acquiring of women, largely through marriage, has been a useful gauge of progress, and it is true that on this level women have been consciously complicitous, giv ing their bodies to the oppressed male as their small contribution to eliminating inequalities and "making things all right," a logial extension of woman's traditional service role. But we are talking about rape, the one-sided acquisition, and male power politics. It is also historically observable that oppressed males take on the value of those who have oppressed them. When Eldridge Cleaver quotef a prison buddy who says that the white woman has been dangled before him "like a carrot on a stick," this is what he is talking about. We white women did not dangle ourselves, yet everything the black man has been exposed to would lead him to this conclu-
sion, and then to action, in imitation of the white man who raped "his" woman.

So well is this political lesson understood that today's white radical male, imbued with Marcuse's theory of rising expectations, feels it would be reactionary on his part to question a black man who felt it was his insurrectionary right to rape. (It is unfashionable in extreme white radical circles to question any misguided aspiration articulated by blacks.) When the women's movement first began to discuss rape as a feminist issue, those women who still identified themselves with the male left reacted like their brothers with noncomprehension or hostility. (They have since shown signs of change.) And again, it was the interracial aspect, the fear of playing into the hands of racists, or an undifferentiated sympathy for the criminal as society's victim that dictated their emotional response.

A fascinating psychiatric study of thirteen young white victims of rape in Boston and Washington, each of whom "had moved into a low-income (not necessarily black) community to implement her conviction about 'doing something real' in contemporary society," revealed a reluctance on the part of the victim to prosecute her rapist, or even to report the crime, stemming partially from her political belief that her rape was "an extension of the social struggle of black against white or poor against rich." Sandra Sutherland, co-director of the Metropolitan Mental Health Skills Center in Washington and the senior author of the study, concluded that this self-sacrificial, altruistic response "was no doubt a product in part of the same internal factors which led the women to live in low-income areas in the first place." (Several of the women, despite their rationalizations and repressed angers, were traumatized by what had happened to them. They left the neighborhood centers and poverty programs in which they had been working and retreated back to their middle-class environments.)

A young white woman with radical politics who had been raped by a black youth on Manhattan's lower East Side told New York Post reporter Roberta Brandes Gratz, "I just can't throw off history. I feel like I'm being used to pay off the old debts to men falsely accused in the South of raping white women. Even my triends were reluctant to see me press charges."
"Locking up individual rapists may satisfy our urge to retaliate," a member of a Los Angeles anti-rape group agonized in Sister,
a women's newspaper, "but will this lead to the further oppression of all people, and Third World people in particular?"

Interracial rape remains a huge political embarrassment to liberals. A recognition of the long history of the white man's overkill for "the one crime" has left many whites with deep feel ings of guilt. The fight against capital punishment waged by the NAACP Legal Defense Fund was based in large measure on the discriminatory application of the death sentence to blacks corr victed of raping white women. A civillibertarian movement to protect the rights of defendants has led many people, Jessica Mitford among them, to question the very idea of imprisonment, and most particularly, it seems, for rape.

The shock to liberals in 1971 when the women's movement first began to discuss rape was profound. I remember the looks of incredulity and the charge, "Why you're on the side of the prose cution," as if that per se was evidence of racism and reaction. But liberals are nothing if not elastic in their ability to absorb new ideas. Two years later the director of the New York Civil Liberties Union expressed his confusion over whom to root for now that the right of a woman not to be raped had been perceived by him as ${ }^{2}$ political issue. "It's a little bit like cowboy-and-Indian movies," he admitted.

By pitting white women against black men in their effort to alert the nation to the extra punishment wreaked on blacks for ${ }^{2}$ case of interracial rape, leftists and liberals with a defense-lawyef mentality drove a wedge between two movements for human right and today we are still struggling to overcome this historic legacy Yet the similarities between the types of oppression suffered by blacks and women, and heaped upon black women, are more impressive than the antagonisms between us. As I have stated else where, the rapist performs a myrmidon function for all men by keeping all women in a thrall of anxiety and fear. Rape is to women as lynching was to blacks: the ultimate physical threat by which all men keep all women in a state of psychological intimi dation.

Women have been raped by men, most often by gangs of men, for many of the same reasons that blacks were lynched by gangs of whites: as group punishment for being uppity, for getting out of line, for failing to recognize "one's place," for assuming sexual freedoms, or for behavior no more provocative than walking
lown the wrong road at night in the wrong part of town and resenting a convenient, isolated target for group hatred and rage. Castration, the traditional coup de grâce of a lynching, has its ounterpart in the gratuitous acts of defilement that often accomany a rape, the stick rammed up the vagina, the attempt to nnihilate the sexual core.

History is never "behind" us, and we must not forget how the hite man has used the rape of "his" women as an excuse to act gainst black men. But today the incidence of actual rape comined with the looming spectre of the rapist in the mind's eye, and i particular the mythified spectre of the black man as rapist to hich the black man in the name of his manhood now contributes, nust be understood as a control mechanism against the freedom, nobility and aspirations of all women, white and black. The crosspads of racism and sexism had to be a violent meeting place. here is no use pretending it doesn't exist.

## 8

## Power:

## Institution and Authority

All rape is an exercise in power, but some rapists have al edge that is more than physical. They operate within an institution alized setting that works to their advantage and in which a victim has little chance to redress her grievance. Rape in slavery and rapt in wartime are two such examples. But rapists may also operat within an emotional setting or within a dependent relationship that provides a hierarchical, authoritarian structure of its own thal weakens a victim's resistance, distorts her perspective and confound her will. A therapist who suggests to his patient that the solutio to her problem of frigidity lies in having sex with him is practicing rape upon a vulnerable victim, although the patient may be slow to discover that she has been "had," and a court of law would nc recognize such emotional coercion as a forcible act.

Similarly the glamour attached to cultural heroes, such $5^{3}$ ? movie star, sports figure, rock singer or respected-man-in-tho community, provides a psychologic edge that lessens the need fof physical coercion until it is too late for the victim to recognize bof predicament. Cases of celebrity rape pop up in the news from timp to time and usually vanish again with immoderate speed. Thes cases are "tainted" from several angles: the glamour that emotion ally disarmed the unwitting or foolish victim (and the fact that victim has been foolish should not diminish the import of thy offender's crime; many robbery and con-game victims are also foo ish and unwitting) also acts as a shield in the rapist's defense'
police and prosecutors have little enthusiasm for ruining reputations over a charge of rape.

Date rapes and rapes by men who have had prior relationships with their victims also contain elements of coercive authority that militates against decisive resistance. Here the "authority" takes the form of expected behavior. In a dating situation an aggressor may press his advantage to the point where pleasantness quickly turns to umpleasantness and more than the woman bargained for, yet social propriety and the strictures of conventional female behavior that dictate politeness and femininity demand that the female gracefully endure, or wriggle away if she can, but a direct confrontation falls outside of the behavioral norms. These are the cases about which the police are wont to say, "She changed her mind afterward," with no recognition that it was only afterward that she dared pull herself together and face up to the fact that she had truly been raped.

Date rapes look especially bad for the victim in court, if they ever get to court, nor do they look good on paper. The intangibles of victim behavior (which I deal with in my "Victims" section) present a poor case. Upon hearing such cases, even with my feminist perspective, I often feel like shouting "Idiot, why didn't you see the warning signs earlier?" But that, of course, is precisely the point. As debatable as the case may appear when one tries to apply objective standards, it is the subjective behavioral factors that may determine a rape.

In this chapter I will deal only with certain objective conditions that are institutionalized or so overwhelmingly authorityridden as to be incontestable. These are prison rapes and rapes within juvenile correctional facilities, which provide the statistical bulk of all homosexual rapes, and rape or molestation of children by adults, often within the family. Because of its Kafkaesque qual-ity-the ultimate nightmare of rape by the ultimate authority charged with the duty of protection-the small incidence of rape by policemen that has come to public light also deserves mention.

## Prison Rape: The Homosexual Experience

The prison movie Fortune and Men's Eyes portrays the ideoogical evolution of Smitty, an attractive heterosexual youth. Be-

- Nine inmates at Sumter Correctional Institute in Florida are charged with raping other prisoners during a prison riot.
- Two inmates at Florida's Raiford Prison are charged with raping other inmates at knife point.
- A county judge in upstate New York refuses to send a young offender, who is homosexual, to Attica. His stated reason: "I just couldn't see throwing him into that situation. He'd become an object of barter there, completely dehumanized if he wasn't killed. This is a heck of a thing, and the public ought to know about it."
- Two bright young Nixon aides who plead guilty to Watergate offenses and know they face a jail sentence admit they are apprehensive about the possibility of a sexual assault.
(The concern of the Nixon men, as a matter of fact, was exaggerated, since federal prisons hold the least violent criminals, those convicted largely of white-collar crimes. Prison rape is more typically a product of state and city penal institutions, where those convicted of crimes of violence predominate.)

A straightforward account of the hierarchic sex code as it operated in prison almost forty years ago appears in the autobiog raphy of Haywood Patterson, chief defendant in America's most famous rape case, the Scottsboro affair. (See pages 230 to 235.) By his own admission the most ornery and unbowed of the Scottsboro "Boys," when Patterson landed in Alabama's tough Atmore State Prison Farm in 1937 he was confronted with a cut-and-dried option: either submit to the older men and become a "gal-boy" or defend his bodily integrity by becoming a "wolf." The issue, as the 25 -year-old Patterson saw it, was manhood. He reasoned, "If any of that stuff goes on with me in on it I'll do all the fucking myself. I been a man all my life."

There was little neutral ground at Atmore in Patterson's estimation. Some inmates escaped becoming predator or prey but "that was a minority." Rape was tolerated, even encouraged, by the prison authorities, Patterson believed, because "it helped them control the men. Especially the tough ones they called devils. They believed that if a devil had a gal-boy he would be quiet. He would be a good worker and he wouldn't kill guards and prisoners and try to escape. He would be like a settled married man."

The most sought-after raw material for a gal-boy was a tender young teen-ager. "A fifteen-year-old stood no chance at Atmore," Patterson reported. "Prisoner and warden were against him and he
was quickly made into a woman." The womanizing process was methodical and brutal. "I've seen young boys stand up and fight for hours for their rights," he related. "Some wouldn't give up." Prisoners and guards would watch the assaults on young boys with impassive interest. "They knew a young woman was being born. Some just looked forward to using her a little later themselves."

After a gal-boy was broken he might accept his new nature with abject, promiscuous surrender. "Some carried on like real prostitutes," Patterson told in wonder. "They sold themselves around on the weekends just like whore women of the streets. . . . Usually you could hunk up with a gal-boy for two or three dollars. Gal-boys got sold off to different men. If a guy had a gal-boy but didn't get along with him any more, he could put him up for sale. He could sell him for twenty-five dollars. News of a sale went through the prison pretty fast and bids came in every time." Patterson mentioned with satisfaction, "I once heard Deputy Warden Lige Lambert tell some state patrolmen that fifty percent of the Negro prisoners in Atmore were gal-boys-and seventy percent of the white."

Patterson's own acid test came early in his stay at Atmore. He had already determined, "If I had to be a part of this life I would be a man," and the first step toward manhood was to patronize the weekend gal-boys. "I called them fuk-boys. I called them all sorts of sorry names. . . . I would say to one of them, 'You come here taking your mommy's and your sister's places. You rotten.' " Patterson gradually began to relish his wolf role, but his status had to be periodically defended. In the prison hierarchy there were wolves and bigger wolves. "Even after I had my regular gal-boy it didn't end my troubles with wolves who wanted to use me that way." A wolf named John Peaseley let him know he thought the Scottsboro Boy was too young to be a man-"He wanted to use both me and my gal-boy." Patterson went after the older wolf with a switchblade knife, and this event became the turning point of his stay at Atmore. He reported succinctly, "Peaseley didn't try to make a girl out of me no more. Nobody did. I had taken a gal-boy, whupped a wolf, and set myself up as a devil."

A reversed set of values permeates the prison writing of Jean Genet. Sartre, his interpreter, has called Genet "a raped child" whose early violations determined his "passive" homosexuality, but Sartre sees both rape and homosexuality as metaphor:
cant, perhaps, than the masochistic factor has been the blankd assumption of masochism-in the belief that all male homosexua wish to be forcibly violated-that has been used with telling effed by heterosexual men who wish to avoid the implications and realit of a man's rape by other men. Here again, the parallels to the woman's experience are obvious. I have listened more than once to the story of a homosexual youth who tried without success to convince his local precinct that he was beaten and raped by some strangers he met in a gay bar and thoughtlessly decided to entertain at home. To the cops in the precinct the raped youth was nothing more than a faggot who was "asking for it." Along the same line T. E. Lawrence's account of his gang rape by the soldiers of the Nuri Bey in Deraa, laundered in many editions of Seven Pillars a Wisdom, has been quartered and dissected by a host of Lawrenc scholars who feel that the "truth" of the brutal sodomizing hang on Lawrence's alleged or suppressed homosexuality. Indeed, I hal heard the argument that Lawrence's sphincter muscles should hall been sufficient to ward off unwelcome penetration. Correspond ingly, some modern sociologists have tried to downplay homose ual rape in American prisons by making use of the biased belic that-this is a direct quote from an accepted source-"there some question, as in heterosexual situations, as to whether situation is really in fact rape or whether it is a seduction which b simply gone wrong."

A comprehensive study of rape within the Philadelphia prisc system was jointly conducted in 1968 by the district attorney office and the Phildelphia police department after two embarr2s ing incidents came to light. One was a gang rape by detainees in sheriff's van upon a youth who was being transported to court fo his trial; the second incident concerned a youth who was sexual assaulted "within minutes of his admission" to the Philadelph Detention Center for a presentencing evaluation. (In both caso the youth's lawyer reported his rape to the court.)

Alan J. Davis, the chief assistant district attorney who was pl in charge of the resulting investigation, was forced to conclude the sexual assault in Philadelphia prisons was "epidemic." Metic lously documenting 156 cases of rape during a two-year perid through task-force interviews with more than 3,000 reluctant in mates and guards, the use of lie-detector tests and examination prison records, Davis believed he had merely touched "the top
the iceberg," and that the true number of rapes during this period was probably closer to 2,000 in a shifting inmate population of 60,000 men. However, a total of only 96 rapes had actually been eported by victimized inmates to prison authorities, and of this number only 64 had been written up in prison records. Prison officials had imposed some form of internal discipline on 40 of the offenders and 26 of the cases had been passed on to the police for egal prosecution.

Davis disclosed that "virtually every slightly built young man committed by the courts is sexually approached within a day or two ffter his admission to prison. Many of these young men are repeatedly raped by gangs of inmates. Others, because of the threat of gang rape, seek protection by entering into a homosexual relationthip with an individual tormentor." Homosexual assault, this district attorney learned, had become an extra form of punishment hat was part and parcel of imprisonment, a punishment never intended by the sentence of the court. "Only the tougher and more hardened young men," he wrote, "and those few so obviously frail that they are immediately locked up for their own protection, escape homosexual rape."

Homosexual rape in the Philadelphia prisons turned out to be microcosm of the female experience with heterosexual rape. Davis discovered that prison guards put pressure on inmates not to report their rapes by using the argument that the victim wouldn't want his parents and friends to find out about his humiliation. But not elling did not cause the humiliation to "go away": "After a young man has been raped," Davis learned, "he is marked as a victim for the duration of his confinement. This mark follows him from nstitution to institution. Many of these young men return to their communities ashamed and full of hatred."

Matching the woman's experience with rape in the outside world, Davis found that in a closed society without women, men who raped other men in prison as a group were on the average three years older, one inch taller and fifteen pounds heavier than their prison victims. Also in parallel to the outside world, prison tape appeared to be a function of youthful aggression. Although the average age of an inmate within the Philadelphia prison system vas 29 , the average prison rapist was found to be 23 years old and the average age of his victim was slightly under 21. Men who raped ${ }^{n}$ prison had usually been put there for crimes of violence: rob-
bery, assault and heterosexual rape. Men who were raped in prison looked young for their years, appeared unathletic and were notice ably better looking than their predators. Their crimes, as might be expected, were usually on the nonassaultive end of the spectrum: auto theft, going awor, or violating parole. The one criminal category in which there were as many prison victims as prison rapists was homicide, proving once again that the profile of the murderer is unto himself. (See page 184.)

Davis' report was unflinching when it came to interracial rape in prison. Eighty percent of the inmate population in Philadelphis was black but the pattern of sexual assault revealed "a dispropor tionate number [of] Negro aggressors and white victims." White on-white rape accounted for 15 percent of the documented as saults, and black-on-black rape accounted for 29 percent. Davis found no incidence of white-on-black rape in the Philadelphis prisons, but black-on-white rape registered 56 percent of the grand total. The district attorney reasoned, correctly I think, that it is "safer" for a member of a powerful majority group, in this case the prison blacks, to aggress against a weak minority group, in this case the prison whites, and he also took note that "Negro victims seemed more reluctant than white victims to disclose assaults by Negro aggressors," but he could not escape the inevitable conclusion: "It also seems true that current racial tensions and hostilitio in the outside community are aggravated in a criminal population.

Haywood Patterson had stated forthrightly that by taking ${ }^{3}$ gal-boy he preserved his stature as a man. Davis reported that he and his team of investigators were struck by the fact that the man who rapes another man in prison "does not consider himself to be? homosexual, or even to have engaged in homosexual acts. This seems to be based upon his startlingly primitive view of sexual rels tionships, one that defines as male whichever partner is aggressive and as homosexual whichever partner is passive."

Homosexual rape in prison could not be primarily motivated by the need for sexual release, Davis observed, since autoerotic masturbation to orgasm is "much easier and more normal." But conquest and degradation did appear to be a primary goal: "We repeatedly found that aggressors used such language as 'Fight of fuck,' 'We're going to take your manhood,' 'You'll have to give up some face,' and 'We're gonna make a girl out of you.' " Signift cantly, in the penal institution, economic clout proved as persur-
sive as physical force: "Typically, an experienced inmate will give cigarettes, candy, sedatives, stainless-steel blades, or extra food pilfered from the kitchen to an inexperienced inmate, and after a few days the veteran will demand sexual repayment." In the fearcharged atmosphere of prison society, the "threat of rape, expressed or implied, would prompt an already fearful young man to submit" for a guarantee of future protection from gang assault or for an easier time of it. "Prison officials," Davis concluded, were "too quick to label such activities 'consensual.' "

In sum, Davis found that prison rape was a product of the violent subculture's definition of masculinity through physical triumph, and those who emerged as "women" were those who were subjugated by real or threatened force.

Here I shall add my own coda to the Davis report. Wellmeaning people from time to time put forward the suggestion that the way to curb homosexual activity in prison lies in supplying real women-wives, girlfriends and volunteer prostitutes-for the inmate population, a highly touted feature of some Mexican jails. At their most sincere those who advocate this solution hold to the mistaken belief that prison homosexuality is the fallout of an unfortunate situation in which men have no heterosexual outlet for their emotions and physical needs, and that so-called "deviant" behavior can be curtailed by a stock of willing women. Besides being an embarrassingly simplistic view of the nature of true homosexuality, which is not dependent in the slightest on the availability of women, this "solution" misreads the ideology of rape in the prison experience: that is, the need of some men to prove their mastery through physical and sexual assault, and to establish, most strikingly within the special crucible of the male-violent, a coercive hierarchy of the strong on top of the weak.

Incidents of rape by guards, trusties or other inmates also surface from time to time in periodic exposés of mental hospitals, women's prisons and juvenile detention centers. An imitative rape ideology among females is not unknown in the women's institutions, although it nowhere matches the male experience. The inmate hierarchy in a women's prison, according to those who have made a study of it, expresses itself in an intricate emotional superstructure imitative of an extended family life rather than in raw domination by physical power. Women who remain in prison for any length of time tend to form families consisting of a butch
husband, femme wife, and assorted aunts, uncles, brothers, sisters and children. The female acculturation process, so different from the male's, leads to a preference for nest-building over the simpler tyranny of the strong over the weak.

Yet there is evidence enough that outright sexual assault among women does occur. In her autobiography, the actress Frances Farmer described in frightening detail the lesbian rape of an inmate by a trusty on the women's ward of a state mental hospital in the nineteen forties. Recently in New York City a newspaper exposé of conditions at the temporary Children's Center reported that an adolescent girl, shortly after her admission, was taken by a group of girls into a room where she was raped by ${ }^{2}$ gang of youths that included some young men who had come into the building from the street.

This was not an isolated incident. Workers at this shelter for truant and retarded teen-agers described a deteriorated situation in which gangs of girls, in cahoots with outside gangs of boys from whom they took orders, terrorized staff members and newer inmates. On one occasion a woman counselor was stripped by a gang of girls intent on abusing her sexually. Complaints of sexual assault at the Children's Center, including abuse of girls by girls, were common according to a deputy police inspector from the nearby precinct who remarked, "There is no way of knowing if this is lesbian or sadistic. All we do know is that we don't hear of most of the crimes because the victims-and this includes counselors-are afraid to complain."

## Police Rape

Consider the case of James J. Farley, a New York City police detective assigned to the robbery squad and the holder of four special citations in six outstanding years of service. In October, 1972, officer Farley was quietly suspended from the force when he was arrested and charged with raping a fifteen-year-old girl in Suffolk County near his home the previous June. A small item about his arrest and suspension appeared in the newspapers and I filed it away as a curio in a manilla folder marked police. In February, 1974, another small news item caught my eye. James
arley had been sentenced to fifteen years in prison for the adnitted rape at gunpoint of two women on the West Side of Manhattan. Not only that, Farley had received a twelve-year senence in another jurisdiction for three other rapes in the borough of Queens and his Suffolk County case was still pending. Farley's entire raping career, as it came to light, had occurred while he was a nember in good standing of the New York police department.

In the course of monitoring New York newspapers over a foureat period, I collected reports on one new police rapist per yearhat is, one new case per year in which the evidence against a oliceman was sufficient to warrant his arrest and departmental uspension that subsequently came to public attention. Less sysematic monitoring of some other big-city newspapers leads me to uspect that the pattern holds true for Washington, Cleveland, Houston and Detroit. Detroit might win a mention in the Guinkess Book of World Records. On Thanksgiving Day, 1973, three Detroit policemen were suspended from the force and charged vith rape and sodomy after a departmental investigation. One of the Detroit officers had done his work solo, while the other two, pne white and one black, had utilized the buddy system. On outine patrol one evening they picked up a young woman on a trunk-and-disorderly charge in front of a bar and took her to the precinct house for booking. There the commander on duty determined that the young detainee, age 19, was not drunk and ordered the patrolmen to drive her home. Instead, according to the official tharges, the officers drove her to a deserted street and proceeded to ape her.

The two policemen were dismissed from the force (as was the hird and solo operator) after a departmental trial affirmed the tharges. Interestingly, in an outside criminal court procedure they vere found not guilty on a technicality, but this did not affect the lepartmental ruling.

I want to say that this Detroit case represents a distinctive Modus operandi of police rape-on-the-job abuse of power within the protective cover of "duty" by men invested with the sanctioned uthority of a badge and a gun and the power to make an arrestthat has been far more prevalent than any of us realize. But to fupport such a serious indictment I would have to rely on unprovble folklore as it has been told and retold by ranks of bitter
women, especially black women, who never won the satisfaction of having their police rapists charged with the crime, let alone con victed.

## One item out of several from We Charge Genocide:

Memphis, Tennessee, August 3, 1945: Two young Negro women were raped by uniformed police officers. They were wating for a street car to take them home from work when the officers toos them into custody. They were then driven to an isolated spot wher the officers raped them. The officers warned them that they would be killed if they reported the incident. A complaint to the Chief of Police from the mother of one of the young women brought the advice that she keep her mouth shut. The two officers were 20 quitted by an all-white jury.

Those who bear the awesome responsibility for maintaining law and order are demonstrably reluctant to face up to evidence ol criminality within their own house, as periodic exposés of police brutality, graft and corruption plainly show. That this much doct mentary evidence of police rape has surfaced is in itself remarkable in view of the solidarity of the police brotherhood and the reluc tance of policemen in general to believe a rape complainant. Equivocators might argue that the phenomenon of police raph whatever its statistical incidence-it has never been formally studied-proves that officers of the law are no different from any one else and that occasional acts of miscreance are an all-too human failing. This will not do. The horror of police rape special, for it is an abuse of power committed by those whose job is to control such abuses of power. A police department, like a prison or an army, is by nature and structure a traditionally male, authorr tarian institution, but one empowered by law to employ force where necessary to protect us from crime. Operating through sanc tioned force, the local police precinct has always been a bastion of male attitudes and responses that are inimical to women. (See Chapter 11.) The policeman who rapes is at the extreme end of 3 value system that also tolerates the policeman who does not be lieve that the crime of rape exists.

Police rape, as I have said, represents the ultimate Kafkaesque nightmare, for when society's chosen figure of lawful authority commits a criminal act upon one of those persons he has beet sanctioned to protect, to whom can a woman turn for justice?

The women's folklore of rape-cases that seldom, if ever, reach a court of law-is an oral history of abuses by men in positions of authority. The therapist who applies his personal kind of sexual therapy, the doctor or dentist who suddenly turns a routine examination into a physical overture that the bewildered patient feels helpless to halt, the producer who preys on a starlet's ambition, the professor who twists to his advantage his student's interest in his field of scholarship-these are examples of what men would call seduction since the sexual goal may be accomplished without the use, or even the threat, of physical force, but the imposition of sex by an authority figure is hardly consensual or "equal."

Coercion can take many forms, economic and emotional coercion are among them, and not only is the victim afraid to resist, but after the fact, she is seldom believed. Rape by an authority figure can befuddle a victim who has been trained to respect authority so that she believes herself complicitous. Authority figures emanate an aura of rightness; their actions cannot easily be challenged. What else can the victim be but "wrong"?

No area of sexual abuse is characterized by unchallengeable authority to a greater degree than the sexual abuse of children, for to a child all adults are authority figures. When a child is sexually abused by an adult, the entire world of adult authority bears down to confuse and confound the hapless victim.

## The Sexual Abuse of Children

"Dear Abby," a mother who signed herself "DAzED" wrote to the syndicated columnist Abigail Van Buren,

I wrote to you several months ago about a male relative molesting my 3 -year-old girl. Your answer was to confront him with it and get him to a doctor, fast. We had already confronted him, and of course, he denied everything. "She makes up stories," he said. Abby, how can a 3 -year-old make up stories of this kind? I talked with the police department and was told you cannot accuse someone of molesting without proof. . . . Will they take the word of a 3 -yearold against that of a grown man who is admired and respected by all? NO! I was made to look like an hysterical mother having hallu-
cinations. Can you understand why each night I pray for God to take him?

Columnist Van Buren did understand. This was not the first such letter she, or her real-life sister Ann Landers, had printed.

The sexual abuse of children is an outrage to which people universally react in uncontained horror when that rare manifestation, a mutilation murder, occurs. Yet the routine occurrence of child molestation remains a subject from which people prefer to avert their eyes. "Never take candy from a stranger" is a lesson all mothers drum into their unsuspecting children, for in the popular imagination the child molester is the dirty old man who lurks outside the schoolyard or the sniveling Peter Lorre character in M. In the hierarchic world of the prison inmate, where assaultive criminals rank high, the child molester is considered the lowest of the low, on a par with the squealer as a figure of scorn and derision. In stunning contrast to the prison population's own view, in the all-forgiving liberal's attitude toward crime, the child molester has come to be personified by the nice, timid man with a fear of grown-up relationships (I'm thinking of that curious movie of some years back, The Mark) or as a confused, conflicted man with a "morality" problem or bad judgment.

What is the national incidence of child molestation in these United States and who are the molesters? Is the sexual abuse of minors extreme and aberrant behavior committed by a small number of unfortunates who cannot help themselves-and whose crimes have been blown out of proportion by the tabloids to such an extent that they have ticked off hallucinating fantasies in "hysterical" mothers and their "lying" children? Or is it an all-too-real and rather common experience? The FBI's Uniform Crime Reports are no help to us here, for incredible as it sounds, although they can tell us all about the theft of automobiles, the government's crime fighters have never produced a national analysis of sex crimes committed against the defenseless young.

Dr. Charles Hayman's Washington study disclosed that the ages of rape victims brought to D.C. General Hospital ranged from 15 months to 82 years. Twelve percent of his intake were children age 12 and younger. Brenda Brown's study of rapes reported to the Memphis police department showed that 6 percent of all victims were age 12 and under. Menachem Amir's study of reported rapes
in Philadelphia showed that 8 percent of all victims were age 10 and under; a total of 28 percent were age 14 and below.

Recent literature by and about women has turned up a remarkable number of accounts of childhood molestation and rape. Quentin Bell's intimate biography of his famous aunt, Virginia Woolf, informed the literary world of what had been a well-kept family secret, that young Virginia had been cornered and molested in the nursery at age six by her 19 -year-old half brother George Duckworth, who continued his furtive, insistent grapplings until the young writer was into her teens. Lady Sings the Blues, the autobiography of Billie Holiday, told of her rape by a 45 -year-old neighbor when she was ten. Underground film star Viva has described being regularly molested by the trusted family doctor during her childhood years. The most moving and painful account of childhood rape ever put to paper appears in I Know Why the Caged Bird Sings, a personal memoir by Maya Angelou, the extraordinary and multitalented black woman. It begins, "Mother's boyfriend, Mr. Freeman, lived with us, or we lived with him (I never knew quite which )."

Eight-year-old Maya and her brother Bailey, she tells us, had gone to live with their mother and Mr. Freeman in St. Louis. One morning the child awoke to feel a strange sensation of pressure on her leg. "It was too soft to be a hand, and it wasn't the touch of clothes. . . . I knew, as if I had always known, it was his 'thing' on my leg. He said, 'Just stay right there, Ritie, I ain't gonna hurt you.'" And so began an off-and-on-again fondling that the fatherless young girl desperately wanted to believe was a sign of love. "Get up," Mr. Freeman would say irritably after a flurry of motion. "You peed in the bed." The child knew she hadn't, but Mr. Freeman would pour a cup of water over a telling spot. The funny activity continued for some months, with Mr. Freeman pressing "his thing" against the confused young girl when they were alone and then refusing to speak to her for weeks at a time. One morning when Bailey and Mother wéren't home, Mr. Freeman called out, "Ritie, come here."

I didn't think about the holding time until I got close to him. His pants were open and his "thing" was standing out of his britches by itself.
"No, sir, Mr. Freeman." I started to back away. I didn't want
to touch that mush-hard thing again, and I didn't need him to hold me any more. He grabbed my arm and pulled me between his legs. His face was still and looked kind, but he didn't smile or blink his eyes. Nothing. He did nothing, except reach his left hand around to tum on the radio without even looking at it. Over the noise of the music and static he said, "Now, this ain't gonna hurt you much. You liked it before, didn't you?"

And then there was the pain. A breaking and entering when even the senses are torn apart. The act of rape upon an eight-year old body is a matter of the needle giving because the camel can't. The child gives, because the body can, and the mind of the violator cannot.

I thought I had died-I woke up in a white-walled world, and it had to be heaven. But Mr. Freeman was there and he was washing me. His hands shook, but he held me upright in the tub and washed my legs. "I didn't mean to hurt you, Ritie. I didn't mean it. But don't you tell . . . Remember, don't you tell a soul."

Young Maya was hospitalized, and eventually she did tell. There was a trial and the courtroom was filled.
"What was the defendant wearing?" That was Mr. Freeman's lawyer.
"I don't know."
"You mean to say this man raped you and you don't know what he was wearing?" He snickered as if I had raped Mr. Freeman. "Do you know if you were raped?"

A sound pushed in the air of the court (I was sure it was laughter). . . .
"Was that the first time the accused touched you?" The ques tion stopped me. Mr. Freeman had surely done something very wrong, but I was convinced that I had helped him to do it. I didn't want to lie, but the lawyer wouldn't let me think . . .
"Did the accused try to touch you before the time he-or rather you say he raped you?"

I couldn't say yes and tell them how he had loved me once for a few minutes and how he had held me close before he thought I had peed in my bed. My uncles would kill me and Grandmother Baxter would stop speaking, as she often did when she was angry. And all those people in the court would stone me as they had stoned the harlot in the Bible. And Mother, who thought I was such a good

## girl, would be so disappointed. But most important, there was

 Bailey. I had kept a big secret from him."Marguerite, answer the question. Did the accused touch you before the occasion on which you claim he raped you?"

Everyone in the court knew that the answer had to be No. Everyone except Mr. Freeman and me. I looked at his heavy face trying to look as if he would have liked me to say No. I said No.

Mr. Freeman was given one year and one day, but he never got a chance to do his time. His lawyer (or someone) got him released that very afternoon.

That night a tall white policeman came to the house to report, "Freeman's been found dead on the lot behind the slaughterhouse. . .. Seems like he was dropped there. Some say he was kicked to death." To the logic of an eight-year-old child, "a man was dead because I lied. . . . I could feel the evilness flowing through my body and waiting, pent up, to rush off my tongue if I tried to open my mouth. I clamped my teeth shut, I'd hold it in. If it escaped, wouldn't it flood the world and all the innocent people?"

Maya Angelou stopped talking. For a long time.
What would Sigmund Freud have made of Maya Angelou's story, or of Viva, Billie Holiday, Virginia Woolf and the woman who signed herself "DAzeD" if, perchance, they had sought an audience with him in Vienna? The father of psychoanalysis had noticed that many of his hysterical female patients reported a childhood experience of rape or molestation, most often at the hands of their own father. At first the good doctor believed the women. Later he developed the theory in his famous essay on femininity that these disturbing reports of childhood assault were fantasies that the child contrived as a defense against her own genital pleasure and her guilty wish to sleep with her father.

Freud's peremptory dismissal of what his female patients told him and his dogmatic construct of the sexually fantasizing child influenced several generations of his devoted followers. Psychoanalytic literature on child molestation points a wagging finger at the victim. In fact, the thrust of the psychoanalytic approach has been to pinpoint the child victim's "seductive" behavior. A frequently quoted study from the nineteen thirties described the
"unusually attractive and charming personalities" of victimized children and cheerfully remarked that they showed less evidence of fear, anxiety, guilt or psychic trauma "than might be expected." A follow-up study posited that in many cases "it was highly probable that the child had used his [sic] charm in the role of seducer rather than that he [sic] had been the innocent one who had been seduced." After the experts had ferreted out the hidden complicity of children, they sighed and chastised "submissive," "passive" or "negligent" mothers for failing to protect their young.

So children were to blame and mothers were to blame. What about the offenders? America's own original sex expert, Alfred C. Kinsey, he who said that the difference between a rape and a good time depends on whether the girl's parents were awake when she finally came home (see footnote page 179), paused in the middle of a discourse on impotence in Sexual Behavior in the Human Male to remark:

A problem which deserves noting is that of the old men who are apprehended and sentenced to penal institutions as sex offenders. These men are usually charged with contributing to delinquency by fondling minor girls or boys; often they are charged with attempted rape. . . . Many small girls reflect the public hysteria over "being touched" by a strange person; and many a child, who has no idea at all of the mechanics of intercourse, interprets affection and simple caressing from anyone except her own parents as attempts at rape. In consequence, not a few older men serve time in penal institutions for attempting to engage in a sexual act which at their age would not interest most of them, and of which many of them are unt doubtedly incapable.

This represented almost the sum total of Dr. Kinsey's thoughts on rape in his opus on the human male. In Sexual Behav ior in the Human Female, Kinsey returned to his singular theme. One in four women interviewed (all white, predominantly middle: class) had reported an unwanted preadolescent sex experience of some sort with an adult male. Trying to deal with this astonishing incidence- 80 percent reported that they had been frightenedKinsey theorized:

It is difficult to understand why a child, except for its culturd conditioning, should be disturbed by having its genitalia touched,
or disturbed by seeing the genitalia of another person. . . . Some of the more experienced students of juvenile problems have come to believe that the emotional reactions of the parents, police and other adults . . . may disturb the child more seriously than the contacts themselves. The current hysteria over sex offenders may well have serious effects on the ability of many of these children to work out sexual adjustments some years later in their marriages.

As the social worker and writer Florence Rush has written, "With the usual male arrogance, Kinsey could not imagine that a sexual assault on a child constitutes a gross and devastating shock and insult, and so he blamed everyone but the offender. The fact remains that sexual offenses against children are barely noticed except in the most violent and sensational instances. Most offenses are never revealed, and when revealed, most are either ignored or not reported. If reported, a large percentage are dismissed for lack of proof, and even when proof can be established, many cases are dropped because of the pressure and humiliation forced on the victim and family," *

In 1969 the Children's Division of the American Humane Association, under the direction of Vincent DeFrancis, released a detailed analysis of adult sex crimes against children in Brooklyn and the Bronx, using as its core sample 250 cases that had been reported during an eighteen-month period to police and childprotection agencies. No case involving an offender below the age of 16 (or victim above 16) was included. The Association's definition of a sex crime encompassed rape, attempted rape, incest, sodomy and carnal abuse. In other words, some form of abusive physical contact was the determining criterion. Noncontact cases of indecent exposure and "impairing the morals of a minor" were omitted. The core sample represented a fraction, less than one-sixth, of all reported cases at the Association's disposal, for well over one thousand cases were reported in Brooklyn alone during a single year. Those who worked on the study cautiously estimated that the actual incidence was probably twice that. Among their major findings were these:

* I am deeply grateful to Florence Rush for putting much of her material on child molestation at my disposal, and I eagerly await the publication of her book.
- The sexually abused child is statistically more prevalent than the physically abused, or battered, child.
- The median age of sexually abused children is 11 , but infants have not escaped molestation.
- Ten girls are molested for every one boy.
- Ninety-seven percent of the offenders are male. In a book-length report of specific findings the Humane Association disclosed that
- In three-quarters of the Brooklyn-Bronx cases the offender was known to the child or her family. Twenty-seven percent lived in the child's home (father, stepfather, mother's lover, brother). Another 11 percent did not live in the home but were related by blood or marriage. A significant number of offenders were local storekeepers, next-door neighbors, landlords, janitors and youths entrusted to baby-sit. Only 25 percent of the offenders were reported to be total strangers.
- In more than 40 percent of the cases the sexual abuse was not a single, isolated event but occurred over a period of time ranging from weeks to, in one case, seven years.
- Force or the threat of force was used against 60 percent of the children. Another 15 percent were enticed by money or gifts. For the remaining one-quarter, "the lure was more subtle and was based on the child's natural loyalty and affection for a relative of near-relative."

Out of the 250 cases in the Brooklyn-Bronx study, police managed to make 173 arrests. In some of the outstanding cases the offender remained unknown; however, in $4^{8}$ cases a parent of the child withdrew the complaint-usually because the offender was a relative or friend. In order to prosecute the 173 offenders, the victimized children put in an aggregate of more than 1,000 personal appearances in court. Nearly half of the cases were eventually dismissed because of a New York State law requiring corroboration of a victim's testimony.*

The Humane Association, unlike some of its predecessors in

[^13]the field, was acutely sensitive to the emotional damage done to the child victim. It found that two-thirds of all sexually abused children had suffered some form of identifiable emotional disturbance and 14 percent had become severely disturbed. The most common reaction among the children was the taking on of deep feelings of guilt (articulated by the victims as "the trouble" that they "had caused the family"), shame and loss of self-esteem. "To shatter the ego even further," the report noted, "some parents projected blame on the child and used every opportunity to upbraid and remind the child of the consequences of bad behavior." Emotional damage also appeared in the form of disruptive, rebellious behavior at home and at school, truancy and an inability to concentrate in the classroom. A few children began to display behavior imitative of the offense. A four-year-old who had been abused by her father was later observed playing a similar "game" with her three-year-old brother. Some of the older girls careened into promiscuity. In addition to the emotional trauma, 29 of the girls had become pregnant as a result of the offense.*

An interesting profile of the adult offender emerged from the Brooklyn-Bronx study. The age of reported offenders ranged from 17 to 68 , with a median age of 31 . More than 30 percent were under the age of 24 and almost 60 percent were under the age of 34. Still, on the whole, police-blotter child molesters appeared significantly older than police-blotter rapists, and a full 10 percent were above the age of 50 . (Amir's combined Philadelphia statistics on men and boys who raped women and children showed a median age of 23 ; a negligible 1 percent were above the age of 50 .) Half of all offenders had had a previous brush with the law, an observation that closely matched the Philadelphia statistics.
${ }^{*}$ Psychiatric case studies of prostitutes unearth accounts of childhood rape or molestation by relatives with stunning regularity, but although these cases have been reported individually, no serious study of the prostitute, as far as I know, has tried to put together any statistical evidence. A recent magazine interview with a New York pimp who prided himself on his stable of teenage runaways contained the quote, "The majority of girls I've gotten so far got raped by their fathers or uncles or somebody." Pimps, who are acknowledged experts in the field of female psychology, intuitively, or perhaps empirically, understand that rape is the fastest way to "turn out" a likely teen-age candidate, and they have been known to set up intricate gang-rape situations when they have spotted a prospect, as a sort of good-business practice.

The victimized child's natural father had committed the abus in 13 percent of the cases, and the child's stepfather or the man with whom the child's mother was living was responsible for an other 14 percent of the crimes. Victims and offenders came mainly though not entirely, from the lower end of the economic ladde Reflecting the ethnic composition of lower-class neighborhoods in the Bronx and Brooklyn, 22 percent of the offenders were white, $4^{2}$ percent were black and 37 percent were Puerto Rican. By and larg offenders molested children of their own class and kind, but ${ }^{2}$ members of the newest immigrant group and with a language barrier to boot, Puerto Rican children were found to have been frequently victimized by neighbors and storekeepers of other ethni backgrounds.

One serious and unanswerable criticism of the Humane Asso ciation study would be that middle- and upper-class families do not bare their internal disorders to the police or to child-protection agencies that essentially service the poor. And since so many of the sex crimes committed against children turn out to be what the sociologists call an interfamily event, the study was unavoidabl skewed to the disadvantaged end of the social spectrum. Florence Rush believes that the sexual abuse of children crosses all clas lines and economic distinctions quite without favoritism, and the report of Dr. Kinsey, despite himself, would tend to confirm this since his subjects were all white and largely middle-class. Not much force is needed to molest a young child, and for this reason, think, older men prove quite successful at it, whereas young men use rape as a test of male prowess and male solidarity. This last point is important. Amir found that 43 percent of all adult rape in Philadelphia was committed by pairs or gangs, but the BrooklymBronx study revealed that only 10 percent of the abuses against children involved more than one offender, and few of these wert group assaults. They were, for the most part, "situations where more than one member of a household or more than one relative had each separately committed offenses against the same victim When the child's victimization was discovered all of the offenders were reported."

Are men who rape their daughters a subspecies of brute monster? Gebhard's group from the Institute for Sex Research, fo all its studying, found nothing at all special about father rapist when compared to ordinary rapists and the rest of the prison
population. In fact as a group they appeared "conservative, moralistic, restrained and religiously devout," to name a few of their sterling qualities as defined by Gebhard's researchers. Throwing up his hands in dismay, Gebhard concluded that "propinquity" and alcohol, and a possible "cultural tolerance" of incest within their backgrounds, had led these quite ordinary men to abuse their daughters.

The unholy silence that shrouds the interfamily sexual abuse of children and prevents a realistic appraisal of its true incidence and meaning is rooted in the same patriarchal philosophy of sexual private property that shaped and determined historic male attitudes toward rape. For if woman was man's original corporal property, then children were, and are, a wholly owned subsidiary. A careful reader might recall from my chapter "In the Beginning Was the Law" that in the Code of Hammurabi a man who "knew" his own daughter was merely banished from the city while a man who stole the virginity of another man's daughter might be lawfully killed. Incest, a misnamed term that implies mutuality-I prefer the explicit description of father rape-has hardly been the universal or uncompromising taboo that psychologists and anthropologists would have us believe; or rather, the taboo against father rape is superseded by a stronger, possibly older taboo-there shall be no outside interference in the absolute dictatorship of father rule. Am I expressing this point in an exaggerated fashion? As recently as the beginning of this century the Washington State Supreme Court dismissed a suit for damages brought by a girl named Lulu Roller, who had been raped by her father-on the grounds that "the rule of law prohibiting suits between parent and child is based on the interest that society has in preserving harmony in the domestic relations." *

[^14]All adults, and especially male adults, are authority figures to a child. Fathers, uncles, big brothers, next-door neighbors and storekeepers are invested with an assumed benevolence that may not exist-that certainly did not exist for those unfortunates in the Brooklyn-Bronx study
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## The Myth of the Heroic Rapist

People often ask what the classic Greek myths reveal about rape. Actually, they reveal very little. For one thing, myths about any given god or goddess are often contradictory and impossible to date; and for another, it is far too easy to retell a Greek myth to fit any interpretation one chooses. It does seem evident that up there on Olympus and down here on earth and in the sea and below, the male gods, Zeus, Poseidon, Apollo, Hades and Pan, raped with zest, trickery and frequency. Yet on the other hand, the goddesses and mortal women who were victim to these rapes, Hera, Io, Europa, Cassandra, Leda, rarely suffered serious consequences beyond getting pregnant and bearing a child, which served to move the story line forward. Hera, Zeus's sister, wife or consort, had a foolproof method of recovery. She would bathe yearly in a river to restore her virginity and be none the worse for wear. Aphrodite was a champion seducer in her own right.

Philomela was raped by Tereus, King of Thrace, who took the precaution of cutting out her tongue so she could not tell her story. Philomela cleverly embroidered her woes into a piece of needlework that she sent to her sister, Prokne, who happened to be Tereus' wife. In sisterly revenge, Prokne killed Tereus' son. (Later all of them were turned into birds.) The young girl Kainis, raped by Poseidon, chose an unusual and highly personal solution to her problems. She asked Poseidon to change her into a man in order to
avoid future violations. Kainis the girl promptly became Kaineus the warrior, who worshiped his spear.

Zeus's rape of Leda by taking the form of a swan, which resulted in the birth of Helen, was considered by Yeats to be a myth of superheroic proportions responsible for the eventual fall of Troy: " A shudder in the loins engenders there / The broken wall, the burning roof and tower /And Agamemnon dead." For Helene Deutsch's interpretation of the same myth, see page 318.

Robert Graves has suggested that Zeus's many rapes refer to the Hellenic conquest of the goddess shrines, or more simply, to the triumph of the patriarchy over the matriarchy. This is an interesting interpretation, and others have elaborated on it to suggest that men began to rape women when they discovered that sexual intercourse was responsible for pregnancy, but I frankly do not believe that men needed to wait that long to discover the benefits that accrued to them from rape. It is more sensible, I think, to consider the Greek myths charming fables whose origins have been hopelessly lost and proceed to more tangible substance.

Some anthropological studies of primitive societies have been revealing. Dr. Margaret Mead, a pioneer in so many respects, seldom failed to inquire about the role rape played among the peoples she studied in New Guinea. Her beloved mountain-dwelling Arapesh, a mild and gentle people whose major battle in life was survival, did not rape, she categorically reported. "Of rape the Arapesh know nothing beyond the fact that it is the unpleasant custom of the Nugum people to the southeast of them," Mead wrote. "To people who conceive sex as dangerous even within a sanctioned relationship where both partners give complete acquies cence, the dangers of rape do not need to be pointed out. Nor do the Arapesh have any conception of male nature that might make rape understandable to them."

The Arapesh had evolved an intricate survival philosophy and if a woman was not to belong to a man permanently it was "much safer never to possess her at all." However, within one hundred miles of the Arapesh lived the cannibalistic, river-dwelling Mundugumor. Among the violent Mundugumor, "a woman of equal violence who continually tries to attach new lovers and is insatiable in her demands may in the end be handed over to another community to be communally raped." Iatmul headhunters, another
river-dwelling people of New Guinea, she reported, also used communal rape to force a recalcitrant wife into submission.

When Mead studied the remnants of Plains Indian culture, she found that in the remembered history of these dislocated native Americans, "A bad woman was fair game for any man. No discipline, no set of standards were enjoined upon the young men who, like male members of many puritan societies, regarded rape as a great adventure. . . . A woman would be taken out by a gang of men, and after long and brutal abuse, turned loose naked, to find shelter as best she could." A "bad" woman was defined by the Plains Indians as a divorced woman who did not have male protection, or a woman who was quarrelsome and vituperative.

The use of gang rape as a control mechanism to keep women in line was hardly restricted to the cultures Margaret Mead happened to study, yet anthropologists who preceded or followed her professed surprise when they stumbled on it and they sometimes resolved their confusion as to its implications by treating it with humor or else they dismissed it as an oddment of backward peoples. Other, wiser students in the field paid more attention.

Robert F. Murphy of the anthropology department at Colum-
bia University came across gang rape as a mechanism of social control when he studied the men's secret society of the Mundurucú Indians of central Brazil. Mundurucú culture was strongly male-oriented, Murphy reported, and a Mundurucú woman was "supposed to be docile and submissive, obedient and faithful to her husband." Mundurucú men hunted in groups and engaged in warfare while Mundurucú women stayed home and communally helped one another in manioc processing. In a Mundurucú village men and women lived in separate houses. The chief secret of the men's secret society was a set of sacred musical instruments closeted in the men's house that the women were not allowed to see or hear, and which the men believed contained the magical key to their own sex-role dominance.
"I was unable to obtain an actual case in which a woman spied upon the sacred instruments," Murphy wrote, "but the Mundurucú men were firm in their insistence that any such violation would be immediately punished by dragging the offender into the underbrush and submitting her to gang rape by all the village men."

Murphy did find gang rape enforced on village women who strayed from their sex-ascribed roles. "When a woman is openly promiscuous and actually takes the initiative in sex relations," Murphy wrote of the Mundurucú, "she is then manifesting behav ior appropriate only to the male and thereby intrudes upon and threatens the masculine role. Her acts are thus a community concern, a public delict, and her punishment becomes the proper duty of all the village men."

Gang rape by Mundurucú men was not reserved exclusively for sexual transgressions. Murphy reported that village children were sent against their will, and against their parents' will, for that matter, to a missionary school and the children usually made "every effort to escape. Now when a boy flees the school, he has universal sympathy, but when an adolescent girl runs away, she is raped by the men of the first village near which she passes. . . . By running away, she has placed herself beyond masculine protection, and she has also flouted male authority, albeit that of foreign missionaries whose objectives find limited support among the Mundurucú."
"We tame our women with the banana," an informant quipped to Murphy, using a euphemism for his penis, and the anthropologist noted that among Mundurucú men, the subject of gang rape was treated "with some hilarity. Gang rapes are carnival occasions that become the topic of endless anecdotes told in men's houses."

Institutionalized gang rape, Murphy wrote, had been personally observed by Charles Wagley, another prominent anthro pologist, among the Tapirapé Indians of Brazil in the case of an independent young woman who refused to join the other females in manioc processing. "The woman in this case was unmarried and she was turned over to the village men for punishment by her brother. The action of the Tapirapé brother suggests that the deviation of a female constitutes a crisis that requires direct and immediate action regardless of bonds of kinship."

Napoleon Chagnon, a University of Michigan anthropologist, spent nineteen months among the fierce Yanomamö of southern Venezuela and northern Brazil who lived in a state of chronic warfare. "The Yanomamö themselves," he wrote in 1968, "regard fights over women as the primary causes of their wars. . .. A captured woman is raped by all the men in the raiding party and,
later, by the men in the village who wish to do so but did not participate in the raid. She is then given to one of the men as a wife." The bonds of kinship are apparently more immutable among the Yanomamö than among the Tapirapé, for "if the captured woman is related to her captors, she is not raped."

A specific anthropological study of rape, which may be the only one of its kind, was conducted among the Gusii tribes of southwest Kenya shortly before that country's hard-won independence from Britain. There had been two famous mass outbreaks of rape in Gusiiland, once in 1937 and once in 1950. Robert LeVine, an anthropologist from Northwestern University, investigated and discovered that in both years the price of a bride had soared beyond the reach of Gusii young men. An exchange rate of eight to twelve head of cattle, one to three bulls and eight to twelve goats for one woman "was the highest . . . since before the great cattle plague of the 1890 's," LeVine wrote of the 1937 outbreak. "Many young men could find no legitimate way of getting married, and they resorted to cattle theft and all types of rapes." On one eventful market day, "a large group of young men gathered and decided to procure mates for themselves by abduction. They grabbed the girls in the marketplace and carried them off."

Many of the Gusii girls managed to escape and came home crying to their villages. The British colonial administration, in an effort to restore some semblance of law and order, negotiated a settlement with the male Gusii elders whereby the bride price was formally reduced to six cows, one bull and ten goats. But by 1950, prices had soared once again and the second serious outbreak of mass rape occurred, "though without the dramatic or organized qualities of the earlier one."

LeVine discovered that the Bantu-speaking Gusii had no special word for rape, but used a number of revealing euphemisms such as "to fight," "to stamp on" and "to spoil." Before the imposition of British rule in 1907, the patrilocal Gusii had often "imported" wives from related but hostile clans with whom they conducted blood feuds. Virginity was not especially prized. As mentioned earlier, a famous Gusii proverb went, "Those whom we marry are those whom we fight." Interclan rape and abduction was kept in check by the constant threat of retaliation. After the British moved in, traditional clan life and self-regulation broke down: "Whereas previously a prospective rapist could anticipate the pos-
sibility of annihilation of himself and his fellows by the clansmen of his victim, nowadays he faces an indecent assault charge with a maximum prison sentence of one year," LeVine wrote in 1959. "Furthermore, two-thirds of indecent assault indictments are dis missed, mostly because the enforcement agencies cannot prevent the escape of rapists to European plantations . . . where they stay and work until the charges are dropped."

The Gusii experience baldly highlights the concept of woman as property, secured by rape. An extreme example, it has its Western counterpart in recurring stories of the rape and abduction of Sicilian women. LeVine called the Gusii a "high-rape culture" and reported that their annual rape rate for $1955-1956$ was estimated at 47.2 per 100,000 population. The current rape rate for certain American cities, such as Denver, Little Rock and Los Angeles, it should be noted, actually exceeds the Gusii's.

Ethnological studies of primitive peoples far removed from us suggest the use of rape as an expression of manhood, as an indication of the property concept of women, and as a mechanism of social control to keep women in line. It has been no different in other parts of the world, if not in actual fact then often in the private and public fantasies of the men who dominate and define the culture.

Male bonding employed against women in a collectively violent fashion is not a recent nor an ethnic phenomenon, nor has it been restricted historically to a war situation or a lower-class mob. In Renaissance Italy the dashing corps of noblemen who led the condottieri, the mercenary bands who carried out skilled warfare, were feared as ruthless abusers of women in their spare time, and at least one, Sigismondo Malatesta, was convicted in 1450 of raping the Duchess of Bavaria, who was on her way to the Roman Jubilee. A staple of modern Japanese pornography, comic-book variety, depicts the noble samurai of feudal times vanquishing their foes and then stripping the kimonos off women won in battle. In eighteenth-century London, societies of young dandies named the Mohocks and the Bold Bucks terrorized the streets without fear of trial or prison, secure within their class privilege. "The ravages of the Bold Bucks," Christopher Hibbert has written, "were more specifically sexual than those of the Mohocks and consequently, as it was practically impossible to obtain a conviction for rape and as the age of consent was twelve, they were more openly conducted."

My favorite scene in Nathanael West's Miss Lonelyhearts occurs when the tired, anguished newspaperman stops off in Delehanty's for a drink and joins a group of his friends at the bar.

One of them was complaining about the number of female writers.
"And they've all got three names," he said. "Mary Roberts Wilcox, Ella Wheeler Catheter, Ford Mary Rinehart . . ."

Then someone started a train of stories by suggesting that what they all needed was a good rape.
"I knew a gal who was regular until she fell in with a group and went literary. She began writing for the little magazines about how much Beauty hurt her and ditched the boy friend who set up pins in a bowling alley. The guys on the block got sore and took her into the lots one night. About eight of them. They ganged her proper . . ."
"That's like the one they tell about another female writer. When this hard-boiled stuff first came in, she dropped the trick English accent and went in for scram and lam. She got to hanging around with a lot of mugs in a speak, gathering material for a novel. Well, the mugs didn't know they were picturesque and thought she was regular until the barkeep put them wise. They got her into the back room to teach her a new word and put the boots to her. They didn't let her out for three days. On the last day they sold tickets to niggers . . ."

Miss Lonelyhearts stopped listening. His friends would go on telling these stories until they were too drunk to talk. They were aware of their childishness, but did not know how else to revenge themselves.

Throughout history no theme grips the masculine imagination with greater constancy and less honor than the myth of the heroic rapist. As man conquers the world, so too he conquers the female. Down through the ages, imperial conquest, exploits of valor and expressions of love have gone hand in hand with violence to women in thought and in deed. And so it was the poet Ovid, the Roman celebrant of love, who wrote of the rape of the Sabine Women, "Grant me such wage and I'll enlist today," setting a fiippant attitude toward rape in war that has persisted for two thousand years.

James Bond, the mythic superagent creation of Ian Fleming, fights SMERSH and wins women with equal success. Of a new
sexual interest Bond muses, ". . . however long they were to gether, there would always be a private room inside her which he could never invade. . . . And now he knew that she was profoundly, excitingly sensual, but that the conquest of her body, because of the central privacy in her, would each time have the tang of rape."

But writers are known to exaggerate, and what, after all, do they truly know of war or women? A man who did know was Genghis Khan, who led the great thirteenth-century Mongol conquest. Genghis explained his divine mission with a seriousness that befitted his successful station. "A man's highest job in life," said the man who practiced what he preached, "is to break his enemies, to drive them before him, to take from them all the things that have been theirs, to hear the weeping of those who cherished them, to take their horses between his knees, and to press in his arms the most desirable of their women." This remains, I think, the definit tive statement of heroic rape: woman as warrior's booty, taken like their proud horses. We owe a debt to Genghis for expressing ${ }^{50}$ eloquently the direct connection between manhood, achievement, conquest and rape.

Permissible rape as an act of manhood infused the theories of courtly love propounded by the social arbiters of the Middle Ages. Andrew the Chaplain, a most secular fellow who served in the twelfth-century court of Marie of Champagne, wrote a treatise to guide the behavior of knights in love. His rules were somewhat rigid when a pair of would-be lovers were of equal station, but with women of peasant stock no stately minuet was needed. Wrote Andrew, "When you find a convenient place do not hesitate to take what you seek and embrace them by force. For you can hardly soften their outward inflexibility so far that they will grant you their embraces quietly or permit you to have the solaces you desire unless first you use a little compulsion as a convenient cure for their shyness." The Chaplain's approach to women of the peasant class was grounded in his exquisite understanding of feudal labor Peasant women ought not be instructed in the delicate art of courtship, he warned, "lest while they are devoting themselves to conduct which is not natural to them the kindly farms which are usually made fruitful by their efforts may through lack of cultiva tion prove useless to us."

Andrew's contemporary, Chrétien de Troyes, poetically re
stated the rules of chivalry in his romances of the King Arthur egend. As Chrétien defined the Arthurian ethic,

If a knight found a damsel or wench alone, he would, if he wished to preserve his good name, sooner think of cutting his own throat than of offering her dishonour; if he forced her against her will, he would have been scorned in every court. But, on the other hand, if the damsel were accompanied by another knight, and if it pleased him to give combat to that knight and win the lady by arms, then he might do his will with her just as he pleased, and no shame or blame whatsoever would be held to attach to him.

So much for theory. Sir Gawain the courteous, the "most perfect knight" of King Arthur's Round Table, gallantly ravished the unprotected Gran de Lis "in spite of her tears and screams." According to some commentators on medieval England's social mores, "To judge from contemporary poems and romances, the first thought of every knight on finding a lady unprotected and alone was to do her violence."

The fifteenth-century English narrator of the Arthurian cycle, Sir Thomas Malory, shed some unexpected light on the chivalric code of gallant rape. In Le Morte d'Arthur he tells of a rapacious knight who drags a weeping, screaming lady from the hall of the King during supper. Not only did the other knights not rush to her aid, but the King was glad at her removal, "for she made such a noise."

Malory's concept of heroic rape was no mere fantasy, as it turned out. Morte d'Arthur was written while he languished in Newgate Prison on a variety of charges, the particular nature of which remained a mystery until 1927 when a painstaking scholar sifting through some dusty records in Warwickshire found a parchment of his original indictment. The dashing poet-knight of Newbold Revell apparently had supported his life-style by plundering the local monasteries and on two occasions he "did feloniously seize and have carnal knowledge with Joan, the wife of Hugh Smyth," in addition to stealing the Smyth family's household soods.

Sir Thomas Malory a rapist! The discovery and shock were more than the academic community could bear. Response to the unwelcome news was a closing of ranks and a stirring twentieth-
sages from the culture and how men perceive them are obviously worlds apart. In another chapter I attempt to deal with the lasting effect on women; here 1 shall restrict myself to the male end of the fantasy.

Jack the Ripper's grip on the masculine imagination is so out of proportion to the case of an unknown man who stalked, muti lated and murdered five prostitutes in London's East End in the autumn of 1888 that we must wonder precisely what his attraction holds. I have seen a couple of Ripper movies on the Late Late Show, and the only emotion they inspired in me was terror. As a woman I could not help but identify with the female victims who walked the fogbound streets unaware of imminent death, and 1 imagine this would be almost every woman's reaction. Not so for men. "Hero" is the surprising word that men employ when they speak of Jack the Ripper. No less an impeccable critic than Noed Annan (Provost of University College, London), writing in the New York Review of Books, called him "the hero of horror in Victorian times." (Annan's article was an abstruse roundup of some recent books on Victorian crime and his paragraphs on the Ripper were tangential, yet the acute editors of the Review, know ing their audience, emblazoned "JACK the ripper" among their cover lines.)

Charles McCabe of the San Francisco Chronicle once devoted a full column to the Ripper, calling him among other superlatives "that great hero of my youth, that skilled human butcher who did all his work on alcoholic whores." McCabe's rave-he likened the Ripper to a British "national treasure"-offered some insights into the Ripper cult. "The Ripper's greatest historical importance," he wrote, "is that he probably founded a new school of murder, the motiveless crime, usually tied up with sex." This is hogwash, of course, since mutilation murders of women are never motiveless. Elsewhere in his column McCabe ventured that "the Ripper is . . . the only important murderer in history whose name we do not know." This, too, is ridiculous, but it does contain the germ of an idea. Jack the Ripper became an important murderer and mythic figure precisely because his identity remained unknown. In other words, he got away with it. Every time a new theory is raised as to the Ripper's identity (current speculation identifies him 25 2 wastrel member of the Royal Family; all seem to agree that he wess "exceptional" and "a gentleman"), there is fresh opportunity to
drag out the gory facts of his mutilations and the terror he inspired. Terror to women, we must remember, and not to men. If the mystery of Jack the Ripper's real name is ever solved to everyone's satisfaction-a highly unlikely occurrence-the Ripper myth will be severely damaged, for his power over the minds of men lies in his remaining unknown, something the Ripper himself played upon in his taunting catch-me-if-you-can letters to newspapers and local vigilance committees.

I cannot leave the Ripper without paying my respects to the always interesting English writer Colin Wilson, author of The Outsider, who expressed his attraction to male slayers of women in his provocative A Casebook of Murder. Wilson posited that the Ripper clearly belonged to the talented, dominant, top 5 percent of the population, and he was much taken with "the propaganda of the deed." In his sprightly compendium of sex slayings Wilson finds only one that truly disgusts him, a lesbian murder of two small children. Of this particular murder and why if fictionalized it would make poor reading, he writes, "It is hard to see how [it could be] made bearable for the sexually normal reader." But of the rest of his gallery of heterosexual and male homosexual rapists, necrophiliacs, disembowelers, axe murderers, breast eaters, kidney devourers, etc., he displays no queasiness. He honestly believes they are of interest to the "sexually normal"-for, writes Colin Wilson, "The sexual act has a close affinity with murder. . . . Murderer and victim are in the same sort of relation as the male penetrating the female."

Jack the Ripper's preeminence as the mythic hero of sexual violence has been strongly challenged in recent years by America's own Boston Strangler (see pages 200 to 206). Yet after the confession of Albert DeSalvo the public reacted with a keen sense of feeling cheated by the anticlimax of it all. When DeSalvo was murdered in prison, newspaper feature writers took the opportunity to rehash the Strangler story in a way that left doubts that the real killer of thirteen women had truly been caught. The Boston Strangler's mythic reputation as an unknown Superman of sexual terror who still lurks outside the door at night, I predict, will Survive the chunky, dullish persona of Albert DeSalvo in life and in death. Besides a book (a good one) and a movie, he has already been memorialized by Mick Jagger and the Rolling Stones in one of their most theatrical numbers, "Midnight Rambler," in which

Mick's scarves, his own personal trademark, become the Strangler's garrote.

A magazine reporter described the frantic audience reaction to "Midnight Rambler": "Keith Richard sways through a long, threateningly erotic guitar introduction as Mick slowly removes a bright gold sash. On the first line, 'You've heard about The Boston Strangler,' the lights suddenly dim and Jagger is outlined in a deep red floodlight. He slinks around the stage, a slim-hipped, multisexual reincarnation of Jack the Ripper [sic]. Grasping the sash like a whip he brings it down with a crack. . . . . 'Me, me,' they shout. 'Hit me, Mick.'"
"Midnight Rambler" is Mick Jagger's orgasmic, heightened recreation on stage of the rape-murder of twenty-three-year-old Beverly Samans, the most viciously mutilated of the Strangler's victims, taken from the words of Albert DeSalvo's confession as it appears in Gerold Frank's authenticated book. (Musicologists might want to check Mick's lyrics against pages 354-356 of The Boston Strangler, paperback edition.) After Keith Richard's musical bridge, punctuated by a faint "Oh, don't you do that, oh, don't do that" (Beverly's cry), Mick chants,

> Well, you heard about The Boston-aghhhh It's not one of those.
> Well, talkin' 'bout the midnight-shhhhh
> The one who closed the bedroom door . . .
> Oh God, hit her head . . . rape her . . . hang her
> The knife sharpened . . . tiptoe . . . uhhhh
> Oh just that . . . She was dead-
> Uhhh, the brain bell jangled,
> Hullo, have you ever seen so dead?

"Midnight Rambler" ends with Jagger's crescendo, "I'm gonna smash down on your plate-glass window/Put a fist, put a fist through your steel-plated door/I'll . . . stick . . . my . . . knife right down your throat." The transformation is complete. Mick has become the mythic Strangler, and with more tensile grace and style than the original model ever possessed.

In the summer of 1965 a strangely compelling bit of graffiti appeared on the wall of a subway station in Greenwich Village. With great economy of word and thought it read "Mre is sex."
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Those were the days of "Satisfaction," the Stones' first great hit ("I can't get no satisfaction, well I try, well I try . . ."). By the early seventies, at least according to "Midnight Rambler," "Sympathy for the Devil" and "Let It Bleed," the search for sexual satisfaction had led to the dark enactment of simulated violence for autoerotic pleasure. A Stones tour in 1969 culminated in the tragedy at Altamont, where the playacting on stage touched off an uncontrollable frenzy of real violence among members of the audience to Jagger's genuine bewilderment, or so it appears from the film documentary Gimme Shelter. A man was knifed and beaten to death at Altamont by the Stones' myrmidon guard of Hell's Angels, who needed but a small romantic push to release their antisocial behavior; less publicized but reported in a West Coast women's liberation newspaper was an eyewitness account of several rapes during the free-for-all concert.

Jagger and the Stones were not an isolated example of violent sexuality during the heyday of hard rock. Jim Morrison of The Doors and Jimi Hendrix, both dead, had meteoric careers built on simulated on-stage abuse of women for autoerotic kicks. It is interesting to note the emergence during this period of a group that actually named itself The Amboy Dukes, from the title of a lateforties novel of gang life in Brooklyn by Irving Shulman in which the climactic scene is the rape of a neighborhood girl who wouldn't "put out" for the Dukes-as up-front a case of romantic conjuring as we are wont to find. But the Stones were/are the champions and their brief, unhappy association with the Hell's Angels of California illuminated the danger of glorifying violence.

We have the self-styled hero-outlaw of journalism, Hunter Thompson, to thank for foisting upon a susceptible American public in the mid-nineteen sixties the mythologized exploits of a gang of scruffy, two-bit, overaged hoodlums and motorcycle freaks known as the Hell's Angels. Had it not been for Thompson and his souped-up prose covered with a chrome shine of social significance, the bike-riding thugs in their swastika-studded black-leather jackets might have gunned off into yet another California sunset and oblivion. Instead, the Hell's Angels came to symbolize the banditoutlaw fighting an oppressive system to a generation of young people newly politicized by the Southern civil-rights movement and soon to be galvanized into opposition to the Vietnam war.

Thompson and the Angels jointly shared their first real pub-
licity break when he wrote a spirited defense of their "outlaw tradition" for The Nation in 1965, a piece that was intended to give the lie to what Thompson called the "supercharged hokum" in accounts of Angel gang rape that had appeared in Time and Newsweek. "The Hell's Angels mean only to defy the world's machinery," he explained, comparing their working-class group loyalty to Joe Hill and the IWW. Thompson knew his audience well enough to tailor his piece to his readers' predilections. The heady combination of Joe Hill, the Wobblies, a swipe at Time and not one but two "phony" charges of rape was enough to launch the Angels as the new cultural darlings of the liberal left. "They speak to and about each other with an honesty that more civilized people couldn't bear," Thompson wrote with a sob. As for those nasty Luftwaffe insignia and Iron Crosses that the Angels used to adorn their jackets- "Purely for decorative and shock effect," he soothed. "The Angels are apolitical and no more racist than other ignorant young thugs."

In his full-length book Hell's Angels, Thompson continued his odd mythologizing of the bike gang's habits, endowing their sadistic sexual antics and swaggering confessions with the imprimatur of Everyman's fantasy but stopping just short of actual endorsement. Or does he stop short? Thompson's high-horsepower writing style managed to neatly straddle the question. He professed to see in the Angels a larger-than-life symbol of society's "rape mania, the old bugaboo." Rape mania, according to Thompson, was composed of several related aspects. "Women," he announced, "are terrified of being raped, but somewhere in the back of every womb there is one rebellious nerve end that tingles with curiosity whenever the word is mentioned." The Angels, he believed, were merely taking advantage of this female curiosity. "Sure, we'll take whatever we can get," he quotes an Angel as saying. "But I've never yet heard a girl yell rape until it was all over and she got to thinking about it." Non-Angel men shared a slightly different perspective: "Men speak of rapists with loathing, and talk about their victims as if they carried some tragic brand. They are sympathetic, but always aware. Raped women have been divorced by their hus-bands-who couldn't bear to live with the awful knowledge, the visions, the possibility that it wasn't really rape. There is the bone of $i t$, the unspeakable mystery."

There was little unspeakable mystery but remarkable correla-
tion with disciplinary procedures practiced by the Munduruct and Tapirapé Indians when Thompson described gang rape by the Hell's Angels "as a form of punishment" inflicted on "a girl who squeals on one of the outlaws or who deserts him." "Pulling the Angel train," Thompson wrote, was "a definite ceremony, like the purging of a witch," and he recorded the punishment with the neutral eye of a trained anthropological observer. An errant woman would be apprehended by some of the boys, taken to a safe house, "stripped, held down on the floor and mounted by whoever has seniority." Angel wives and girlfriends ("mamas" and "old ladies") were invited to watch the group disciplinary procedure but few took advantage of the opportunity. The author grandly concluded,
"So the Hell's Angels, by several working definitions, including their own, are working rapists-and in this downhill half of the twentieth century they are not so different from the rest of us as they sometimes seem."

Not so different from whom? There is no doubt that Hunter Thompson himself had a case of acute identification with his subject matter and that fact and fiction tend to get confused in his own well-developed fantasy life. Years later, when he had quit mythologizing the Angels and had embarked on a more satisfying career of mythologizing himself through semifictional politicalcampaign reportage, the Prince of Gonzo told a fellow reporter, "You know I was a real juvenile delinquent . . . got picked up on a phony rape charge, all that." Out of this sort of stuff the image of the heroic male is formed.

The appeal of the sexual outlaw has always been profound. I am certain that part of the mystique attached to Caryl Chessman, and why he became an international rallying point for the fight against capital punishment in 1959-1960, had to do with his legend as the Red Light Bandit, who preyed on women in lovers' lanes. Not that guilty or innocent Chessman should have been executed for crimes that did not include murder, but as a figure around whom a cause was formed, the sex attacks he may or may not have committed added to his image and made him the ideal personification of society's favorite victim: the arrogant, unloved desperado who never had a decent break or a faithful woman.

Legends of rape have helped to mythify a score of bandits, from the notorious eighteenth-century highwayman Dick Turpin, celebrated in story and ballad, who "raped an occasional servant
girl" as he plundered his way through the English countryside, to that mucho hombre, the chubby-faced Pancho Villa, who entranced a corps of impressionable American newsmen, John Reed among them, with his shoot'em-up, love-em-up exploits in the days when it looked like Mexico might be having a revolution. The Villa legend, carefully embroidered by Villa himself, stands as the ultimate in machismo mongering. No other semidisreputable figure won for himself a gushier crew of biographers seeking to exploit, confirm or deny his rapacious conquests of peasant women and cantina barmaids as the wily caudillo and his ragged troops gal. loped over the Mexican landscape yelling "Tierra y Libertad!" "After the Sack-the Saturnalia," gushed one simpático Boswell. "The bowed peon of yesterday today reels in a vertigo of freedom and power-freedom to do what he will, seize what he will; power to plant his seed where he will, slay whom he will." And John Reed defended his hero with "What's wrong with that? I believe in rape."

Central to the Villa legend, indeed, the significant formative experience that supposedly shaped this illiterate bandit's steal-from-the-rich, give-to-the-poor ethic, is a tale of rape. Young Pancho's first taste of murder, it is faithfully recorded, was an act of blood vengeance against the hated landlord who had violated his virgin sister. Which sister, and whether the rapist was the landlord or the landlord's son, or perhaps the local sheriff, depends on which biog raphy one reads. Villa embellished the story as he went along. In any event and whatever the form, it remains a fixture in his Official Life, the "key," as it were, to his later ruthlessness toward landlords and women.

Similarly, rape supposedly played a catalytic role in the rise to power of Brooklyn mobster Abe (Kid Twist) Reles. When Reles was just getting started in the nineteen thirties, Brooklyn's established mob chieftain Meyer Shapiro decided to teach the Kid a lesson by raping and beating Abe's 18 -year-old girlfriend. Shapiro sent her back to Reles with the message, "Tell the dirty rat what happened and who did it." In blood vengeance, so the story goes, Reles made appropriate new alliances, rubbed out the Shapiro mob, and established his own suzerainty over Brooklyn. This story was part of Reles' later confessions to the district attorney. Reles, some readers may recall, was the fellow who "fell" to his death from a police-guarded room in the Half Moon Hotel in Coney
sland twenty months after he became an informer. Nothing more sknown about the raped girlfriend.

The Villa legend was resurrected in 1971 by Reis Lopez Ti erina, charismatic macho bandido of la raza, the northern New Mexico land-claim movement, to give historical weight to his charge that a state trooper had raped his wife, Patsy, while he, lijerina, was held in prison. This case is a sad one, saturated through and through with machismo. When Tijerina first got out of jail and learned of his wife's alleged independent sexual advenfures he filed for a divorce. His second impulse was to make political tay. He called a press conference in Washington and charged that his wife's alleged infidelity had been "a legal rape" aimed at him because Patsy had the mind of a child and was unable to resist the state trooper's advance. Thus la raza briefly had a new issue, at the double expense of Patsy Tijerina.

Sexual assault of a wife, daughter, girlfriend, sister or mother s often appropriated by men as a major traumatic injury to themselves, a manifestation all the more significant when we remember that men have generally tended to discount the emotional injury suffered by women who have been raped. Harold Robbins made use of the Villa legend in The Adventurers, a tale of violence, rape and political intrigue spread over four continents, eight hundred pages and twelve million copies. His Latin-American hero, Dax, is six years old when he witnesses the rape-murder of his mother, sister and female servants by a band of soldiers. At age nine, befriended by a group of Villa-style bandits, an emotionally scarred Dax is ready to try it himself.
"If I'm old enough to kill I'm old enough to rape a woman," Dax pleads after an older boy has scoffed that his "pecker isn't big enough."
"Easy, my little cock," he is soothed by a grizzly old bandit. "Everything will come to you in time. Soon enough you will be a Man."

And soon enough he is. Sexual conquest and outlawry run as a dual theme throughout The Adventurers, becoming the novel's decisive expression of manhood.
"A bandit against the world and women" is also the theme of A Clockwork Orange, in which among other antisocial escapades young Alex and his buddies give a slobbering writer's wife the old "in-out, in-out" before his eyes. This particular rape scene is indica-
tive of the male approach. Had the rape not been performed befor the husband's eyes there would have been no recognizable expro priation of property, no outrageous impudence from man to man for we must keep in mind that within the myth of the heroic rapis women play a minor role. Just how small a role may be gauged b the ecstatic reviews accorded Stanley Kubrick's movie version o the Burgess novel in which Kubrick glamorized sadistic little Ale to extravagant proportions. Beside himself with enthusiasm, News week's usually rational reviewer forgot that there were two sexe who watched this movie, and that one sex had no role in the picture other than as victim of assault. "At its most profoung level," Paul D. Zimmerman intoned, "A Clockwork Orange is at odyssey of the human personality, a statement of what it is to bed truly human. . . . As a fantasy figure Alex appeals to something dark and primal in all of us. He acts out our desire for instan sexual gratification, for the release of our angers and repressel instincts for revenge, our need for adventure and excitement."

I am certain no woman believes that the punk with the Pinof chio nose and pair of scissors acted out her desire for instan gratification, revenge or adventure. We could chalk up the News week review to the excesses of one effusive writer did we not havei straight from the horse's mouth. Film-maker Kubrick used simila words to define his grand purpose: "Alex symbolizes man in hiv natural state, the way he would be if society did not impose it 'civilizing' processes upon him. What we respond to subconscioussy is Alex's guiltless sense of freedom to kill and rape, and to be oll natural savage selves, and it is in this glimpse of the true nature 0 man that the power of the story derives." ${ }^{*}$

A Clockwork Orange was no aberration among movies during its box-office season. Hitchcock's Frenzy, Peckinpah's Straw Dog and a Robert Mitchum vehicle, Going Home, were also notable for their glamorization of rape. There is nothing I can say about Frenzy that was not perceived with great sensitivity by Victoriz

[^15]Sullivan writing in The New York Times Sunday entertainment ection. The underlying message of Frenzy, she wrote, is that "psychopathic rapists are basically nice guys screwed up by their mums . . . [and] to graphically remind a woman of her vulnerbility." Her furious conclusion with which I heartily agree: "I suddenly want to retaliate: I want to see films about men getting raped by women. . . . I want to see the camera linger on the look of terror in his eyes when he suddenly realizes that the woman is bigger, stronger and far more brutal than he." (Compare Victoria Sullivan's pro-woman commentary to Newsweek's senior reviewer, Who once again presumed to speak for all of us with these words, 'Hitchcock's graphic, brutalizing handling of a rape sequence with a crescendo of groans from the killer mixed with the recited prayers of the victim triggers our own latent excitement . . . [and makes] his audiences accomplices to his acts of criminal genius.")

What was notable about the Mitchum movie, Going Home, Which featured the rape of a father's woman friend by his son, was that this picture won a GP (all ages admitted) rating from the Hollywood censors-the movie was deemed acceptable to children! (Thus are young boys taught important lessons.) To achieve the box-office-valuable GP, some footage had to be deleted from the boffo rape scene. The director's outburst when he learned of the studio cuts was unwittingly ironic. He screamed, "They unilaterally and arbitrarily raped my picture."

Although movie makers generally take inordinate delight in glorifying rape-the critic Aljean Harmetz counted twenty such rape scenes in two years of Hollywood productions-and often Wrap up the package by having the female victim enjoy it (Straw Dogs, Blume in Love), one aspect of the crime has received more sensitive cinematic treatment. This is when the plot line views the lape as man's revenge against another man-either in the case of homosexual rape or in situations where the female victim is merely ${ }^{\text {a }}$ passive vehicle of retribution within a larger battle between two
men. (Most of the movies that fall into this last category did not have their origins in Hollywood.)

The movie Deliverance, made from the James Dickey novel, presented one of the ugliest rapes committed by some of the ugliest rapists in cinematic history. There is no doubt in Deliverance that the homosexual rape scene is intended to horrify viewers; ${ }^{\text {in }}$ no way can it be construed as a sexual turn-on. Far from being
glamorized and heroic, the backwoods rapists in Deliverance are physically repulsive and appear to be possessed of subnormal intelligence. What is presented as heroic in Deliverance is the justifiable murder of one of the rapists by the victim's buddy, a revenge that is never allowed to women friends of women victims in the movies. I doubt if there exists even one viewer of this powerful film who identified his manhood with the rapist-aggressors. And signifcantly, lest any viewer have too strong a case of jitters by identify. ing with the chief victim, he, too, was presented in uncomplimentary terms as fat, huffy-puffy and "womanish." Interestingly, critics who saw Deliverance did not use lines like "true nature of man" and "our need for adventure and excitement" when referring to the homosexual rape. Instead, they viewed the scene as some sort of metaphor for the rape of the environment.

In Twenty-seven Wagons Full of Cotton, a remarkable short play later turned into the movie Baby Doll, Tennessee Williams wove a fascinating tale around an unusual battle of property rights. Jake sets fire to his neighbor's cotton gin in order to secure a contract to process the neighbor's cotton. While Jake is ginning the first of the load in boozy celebration of his good fortune to have, his competitor "by the balls," to use an apt colloquial expression, the neighbor enters his house and rapes Jake's wife. The play ends on the implicit note that the neighbor intends to take his vengeance out in trade on the body of Jake's slow-witted wife for every one of his twenty-seven wagons of unprocessed cotton.*

A similar theme of man's revenge against man appears with four variations in Kurosawa's Rashomon. In this Japanese film classic, a virile bandit rapes a young nobleman's wife in his pres

[^16]ence as a brash thumbing of the nose toward a member of the aristocracy. In the bandit's version of the story he articulates his motivation quite directly. "I wanted to take her right before his eyes," he snarls. And this he does, while the powerless young lord thrashes about, tied and trussed like a chicken. The bandit, consciously or unconsciously, has engaged in class warfare, using the dual means of robbery and rape, des vols et des viols, and his triumph is complete because he has rendered his highborn antagonist incapable of defending his property before he draws his sword to kill him. As further testament to his manhood the bandit crows with pride that the noble wife actually enjoyed his attentions, an arrogance not uncommon among police-blotter rapists.

The wife in Rashomon disputes the bandit's story. She is adamant that she did not enjoy being raped. Interestingly enough, her husband does not endorse her version. Conjured up from his grave, the husband cries that he has been cuckolded, partially by his wife's treacherous, seductive behavior. He also disputes the bandit's story that he was murdered and claims instead that he committed hara-kiri to protect his honor. The final version of the events in Rashomon is provided by an itinerant woodcutter who claims to have witnessed everything. In his story all the participants are reduced to less than heroic terms. As the woodcutter tells it, the bandit accidentally killed the husband during a ridiculous sword fight instigated by the wife-because both men rejected her as damaged property after the rape.*

[^17] to "take care of" the would-be rapists.

But a movie like Rashomon or De Sica's Two Women (see page 73) has always been the exception. A typical Hollywood product might throw in a rape seene for a bit of sexy pizzazz and nothing more. Screenwriter Eleanor Perry ran afoul of this unwrit ten code during the making of The Man Who Loved Cat Dancing when she fought against the insertion of a rape scene, and lost. She later said, "I thought [the heroine] would defend herself; she would not be raped. But the director and my co-producer thought otherwise. The rape scene is in the film. One of the men told me, 'Well, rape turns some men on.' " Aljean Harmetz in her quest for why the rape scene has become an ugly movie trend found ? producer who told her flatly, "We give the people what they want to see."

An answer that movie producers are giving the public what they want to see will not do. Movie producers, who are male, give the public their own concept of what the world is all about, and in this function they perpetuate, shape and influence our populas attitudes. I have dwelt in this chapter on mass-culture figures because I believe it is they who truly affect attitudes, and in particular the attitudes of adolescent males, who are our potential rapists. But I do not mean to suggest that Stanley Kubrick, Mick Jagger or Harold Robbins stand alone in their role as glorifiers of rape. Modern-day proponents of heroic rape pop up all over the place and span the intellectual horizon from the prestigious poetry review New York Quarterly, which saw fit to print an early morning-sex poem brazenly titled "Rape" ("On top of you this morning on yr flat belly . . yr eyes open in aversion but no longer fighting"), to the foolish attempt by some black literati to forge a political theory of "insurrectionary" rape (see pages 248 to 253) to the buffoonery of America's current king of letters, Nor man Mailer, who has tied his view of male dominance into ${ }^{3}$ cryptomystic, pseudoscientific doctrine of the aggressive sperा1 swimming heroically toward the stolid, passive egg, and who treats college audiences on his lecture circuit to the pronunciamento that "a little bit of rape is good for a man's soul."

As patriotism was said to be the last refuge of a scoundrel, buffoonery may well be the last refuge of the heroic rapist. The very concept of heroism, as it has been defined by men, appears to be undergoing a serious re-evaluation these days. The medieval knight in shining armor is rusted and clanking; toughies like the

Hell's Angels are generally perceived as the overaged, two-bit punks they really are. In the future I am confident that men will cease to define their manhood in terms of their aggression toward, or protestion of, women. At present, however, they continue to display more than a little public confusion as to their proper stance. For this reason I find a novel by Ed Bullins, The Reluctant Rapist, to be of considerable interest. Torn between a concept of heroic, insurrectionary rape, à la Eldridge Cleaver, and an intruding reality that makes such an idea laughably ludicrous, Bullins, who is black, resolves the conflict by presenting contradictory statements and refusing to permit his reader the satisfaction of knowing for certain whether he is deadly earnest or just plain kidding.

Throughout the novel Bullins' protagonist reports his rape of black women and white women with impartiality and gusto, appearing, like Cleaver, to have started on blacks and moved on to whites, but the element of buffoonery and self-mockery wins out. "I'm actually a lover," his hero announces. "I am very reluctant to rape any woman I don't relate to." Professing astonishment that a woman he raped might "misunderstand" and think he was motivated by hostility, he protests, "On the contrary, rarely is it the case that I dislike a source of my pleasure. And I would not knowingly take a woman's body that I did not desire, unless I was drunk or terribly horny, or depressed, or it was very dark, or someone offered her to me out of comradeship and I couldn't refuse . . ."

The Reluctant Rapist wants us to know that some of his conquests have treated his predatory acts "as the compliments they are," once they have "recovered their composure after having been taken advantage of against their will, if it really was against their will." Like Hunter Thompson's Angels and husbands, Bullins' hero worries over female complicity, and he also vaguely worries over why he does not feel any guilt. But dismissing these nagging doubts, the Reluctant Rapist calls his victim "the moon to the sun of my manhood" and declares that "it is not for her to decide how her man is to use her." In his most ambitious and foolish exegesis he argues that committing rape is the highest compliment he can pay a woman: "For to commit rape is to commit oneself to one's ${ }^{0} \mathrm{wn}$ death, if the woman so wills it and if one allows her to live with this power to speak of it to the authorities." In the next breath he tells us, "Though frankly, I would rape a snake if the opportunity presented its slinky self. After all, it's all relative . . ."

Yes, it is all relative, and whether life imitates art or art imitates life is immaterial when we consider the heroic rapist. We can move from fact to fiction and back again to fact with alarming ease, for men have created the mythology and men continue to aci it out.

A skyjacker is analyzed from a distance by a psychiatrist who concludes that the wish to kidnap an airplane is symbolic of an impotent's wish to rape a woman, and this is put forward as some kind of deep truth, a "logical" explanation for an irrational act The police records of two other skyjackers are released to the press and, lo, we discover that both men were wanted on rape charges in Detroit and had jumped bail some months before their grandiose escapade in the air.

Four armed men with nylon stockings over their faces rob fancy mansion in Greenwich, Connecticut, while the master of the house is away on business. They take the color TV and a sewing machine. They look for cash and silver. While they are searching one of them forces the governess into a downstairs bedroom an rapes her, tying her with ripped-out telephone wire. An updated version of Dick Turpin?

Two Bronx teen-agers follow a 49 -year-old woman to her apart ment door and force their way inside. The woman's husband is in the house, convalescing after a brain operation. The youths procee to rape their victim while her disabled husband watches in tear unable to move. Did the youths see a movie called A Clockwort Orange?

Three convicts escape from Colorado State Penitentiary an go on a rampage across New Mexico and Texas. There is talk of 3 "death list" and settling old grudges. For four days the natio follows their trail of killings while hundreds of police close in. What begins as revenge turns into a random binge and a shootout in ${ }^{3}$ mesquite forest. What do you do, you desperate, self-styled "soldiers of fortune," when you realize you will not be able to make it to the Mexican border? You kidnap female hostages at gunpoint and you rape them repeatedly. You let the world know that you are men.

## 10

## Victims:

## The Setting



Women are trained to be rape victims. To simply learn the word "rape" is to take instruction in the power relationship between males and females. To talk about rape, even with nervous laughter, is to acknowledge a woman's special victim status. We hear the whispers when we are children: girls get raped. Not boys. The message becomes clear. Rape has something to do with our sex. Rape is something awful that happens to females: it is the dark at the top of the stairs, the undefinable abyss that is just around the corner, and unless we watch our step it might become our destiny.

Rape seeps into our childhood consciousness by imperceptible degrees. Even before we learn to read we have become indoctrinated into a victim mentality. Fairy tales are full of a vague dread, a catastrophe that seems to befall only little girls. Sweet, feminine Little Red Riding Hood is off to visit her dear old grandmother in the woods. The wolf lurks in the shadows, contemplating a tender morsel. Red Riding Hood and her grandmother, we learn, are equally defenseless before the male wolf's strength and cunning. His big eyes, his big hands, his big teeth-"The better to see you, to catch you, to eat you, my dear." The wolf swallows both females with no sign of a struggle. But enter the huntsman-he will right this egregious wrong. The kindly huntsman's strength and cunning are superior to the wolf's. With the twist of a knife Red Riding Hood and her grandmother are rescued from inside the wolf's stomach, "Oh, it was so dark in there," Red Riding Hood whim-
pers. "I will never again wander off into the forest as long as live . .."

Red Riding Hood is a parable of rape. There are frightening male figures abroad in the woods-we call them wolves, among other names-and females are helpless before them. Better stick close to the path, better not be adventurous. If you are lucky, a good, friendly male may be able to save you from certain disaster. ("Funny, every man I meet wants to protect me," says Mae West "I can't figure out what from.") In the fairy-tale code book, Jack may kill giants but Little Red Riding Hood must look to a kindly huntsman for protection. Those who doubt that the tale of Red Riding Hood contains this subliminal message should consider how well Peter fared when he met his wolf, or even better, the survival tactics of the Three Little (male) Pigs. Who's Afraid of the Big Bad Wolf? Not they.

The utter passivity of Red Riding Hood in the teeth of the wolf is outdone by Sleeping Beauty, who lay immobile for one hundred years before she was awakened by the kiss of the prince. As a lesson in female sexuality, Sleeping Beauty's message is clear. The beauteous princess remains unresponsive until Mr. Right comes along. The prince is the only one who can awaken the princess. She cannot manage this feat by herself. Her role is to be beautiful and passive. Snow White in her glass coffin also remains immobile until her prince appears. Cinderella, too, needs a prince to extricate her from her miserable environment. Thus is female sexuality defined. Beautiful passivity. Wait, just wait, Prince Charming will soon be by; and if it is not Prince Charming but the Big Bad Wolf who stands at the door, then proper feminine behavior still commands you to stay immobile. The wolf is bigger and stronger than you are. Why try to fight back? But don't you worry, little girl. We have strong and kindly huntsmen patrolling these woods.

I was nursed and nurtured on fairy tales, but as a child of World War II, there were other, stronger rape images that came into the home. My parents had a favorite art book that held a place of honor on the coffee table, and on one of its pages there appeared a popular example of a propaganda poster from World War I. This was the Rape of Belgium, also known as the Rape of the Hun. There are several variations of this poster, but in all of them Belgium is pictured as a beautiful young maiden with long, flowing
hair lying prostrate at the feet of the towering Hun, complete with pointed helmet. The purpose of the poster in terms of World War I propaganda is simple: Defenseless Belgium is the tragic victim of the German war machine. But the propaganda message I received at age eight in 1943 was slightly different. Belgium was beautiful, even if she was lying on the ground.

I was drawn again and again to the Rape of Belgium because she was so pretty-unlike the overblown, embarrassingly naked Venuses and the stiff Madonnas that filled the rest of the bookbut it puzzled me that she was lying down. "Why doesn't she hit him and run away?" I once asked my parents. "It's just a picture, dear" was their response. But was it just a picture? For into the house there soon came Belgium's sister from World War II. In the new drawing, a political cartoon, a porcine Nazi was hauling off two gunnysacks of plunder from a tiny cottage. And cowering prostrate near the doorstep, clutching a baby this time, was a beautiful young girl with long, flowing hair.

What jumps does a child's mind make when confronted with such compelling proof that to be beautiful is to lie crumpled on the ground? This was the middle of World War II, the German Army had marched through Belgium a second time, and I was a Jewish girl growing up in Brooklyn. I could not help but conclude that the Hun and the Nazi were one in the same and, therefore, I had to be Belgium. In the next year I fantasized myself to sleep at night with a strange tableau. A tall and handsome Nazi concentration-camp guard stood near a barbed-wire fence. He did not menace me directly-after all, I had no idea what the actual menace involved. For my part, I lay there motionless, at a safe distance. I was terribly beautiful.

My concentration-camp daydream struck me as peculiar and dangerous even as I conjured it up, and I soon rooted it out of my tantasy life. No doubt the end of World War II helped to speed its annihilation: Jews were no longer international victims. I use this painful remembrance to set the stage for an examination of female victim mentality, and how it is conditioned.

[^18]These are the deadly male myths of rape, the distorted proverb that govern female sexuality. These myths are at the heart of ou discussion, for they are the beliefs that most men hold, and the nature of male power is such that they have managed to convince many women. For to make a woman a willing participant in he own defeat is half the battle.

Cloaked in intricate phraseology, the male myths of rape ap pear as cornerstones in most pseudoscientific inquiries into female sexuality; they are quoted by many so-called "experts" on the so offender. They crop up in literature; they charge the cannons of thy dirty jokesters. They deliberately obscure the true nature of rape.

There is good reason for men to hold tenaciously to the notion that "All women want to be raped." Because rape is an act that med do in the name of their masculinity, it is in their interest to belier that women also want rape done, in the name of femininity. In the dichotomy that they have established, one does and one "is dond to." This belief is more than arrogant insensitivity; it is a belief if the supreme rightness of male power.

Once the proposition that all women secretly wish to be ray ished has been established, it is bolstered by the claim that " woman can be raped against her will." A variation runs "You cand thread a moving needle," used with wicked wit by Balzac in one d his Droll Stories, and retold ad nauseam, I am informed, by law pro fessors seeking to inject some classroom humor into their introdus tory lectures on criminal law. The concept seems to imply at firy hearing that if the will of a woman is strong, or if she is sufficientif agile, she can escape unscathed. Four hundred rape-murders a yer in this country, and the percentage of gang rapes, should offer stronff testament to the cruel lie of this statement, but "No woman can be raped against her will" is not intended to encourage women to de battle against an aggressor-rather, it slyly implies that there is p such thing as forcible rape, and that it is the will of women to be ravished.
"She was asking for it" is the classic way a rapist shifts burden of blame from himself to his victim. The popularity of th belief that a woman seduces or "cock-teases" a man into rape, precipitates a rape by incautious behavior, is part of the smols screen that men throw up to obscure their actions. The insecurly of women runs so deep that many, possibly most, rape victiph agonize afterward in an effort to uncover what it was in their b
havior, their manner, their dress that triggered this awful act against hem.

The last little maxim that we must consider with a jaundiced ye, "If you're going to be raped, you might as well relax and enjoy t," deliberately makes light of the physical violation of rape, poohpoohs the insult and discourages resistance. The humorous advice that a violent sexual encounter not of your choosing can be fun if ou play along and suspend your own judgments and feelings is predicated on two propositions: (a) the inevitability of male triumph and (b) "All women want to be raped."

Do women want to be raped? Do we crave humiliation, degralation and violation of our bodily integrity? Do we psychologically need to be seized, taken, ravished and ravaged? Must a feminist deal with this preposterous question?

The sad answer is yes, it must be dealt with, because the popular culture that we inhabit, absorb, and even contribute to, has so decreed. Actually, as we examine it, the cultural messages often conflict. Sometimes the idea is floated that all women want to be raped and sometimes we hear that there is no such thing as rape at all, that the cry of rape is merely the cry of female venseance in postcoital spite. Either way, the woman is at fault.

One popular reflector of the culture, the highly regarded John Updike, has incorporated both these points of view in his novels. First, a line from Couples:

He fought against her as a raped woman might struggle, to intensify the deed.

Now this exchange of dialogue from Rabbit Redux, and notice that it is the woman who makes the telling judgment:
"This is pretty slummy territory," he complains to Janice. "A lot of rapes lately down here."
"Oh," she says, "the paper prints nothing but rapes. You know what a rape usually is? It's a woman who changed her mind afterward,"

Thus is the victim of rape summarily dismissed by Updike, but, oh, how she is romanticized by Ayn Rand in The Fountainhead, the story of Dominique Francon and Howard Roark.

I had not looked at The Fountainhead for more than twent years (it has remained in print for three decades), and when requested it at the library, I was faintly anxious that the search for Dominique's undoing amid the more than seven hundred pages of Rand's opus might take more time than I cared to spend. I seri ously underestimated the universality of my interest. The library's copy of The Fountainhead opened itself to Dominique's rape Hundreds of other readers had, in effect, indexed it for me. And I must say, the two-and-a-half-page scene was as torrid as I had remembered it-all the more remarkable in the light of present-day fiction since the genitals of the two antagonists are not even mentioned.

The vivid picture I had carried in my memory for more than twenty years was surprisingly accurate: architect Roark in work clothes, a stranger from the stone quarry, climbing through aristocrat Dominique's window late at night. The two of them locked in silent struggle. His victory, her defeat, and then his silent departure through the French windows. Not a word has been exchanged, but clearly this has been a coupling of heroes, a flashing signal of superior passion, a harbinger of the superior marriage that finally takes place offstage along about page 700 .

And now, with the book before me, I can report verbatim Ayn Rand's philosophy of rape, as posited by Dominique, after the nocturnal visit of Roark:

It was an act that could be performed in tenderness, as a seal of love, or in contempt, as a symbol of humiliation and conquest. It could be the act of a lover or the act of a soldier violating an enemy woman. He did it as an act of scorn. Not as love, but as defilement. And this made her lie still and submit. One gesture of tenderness from him-and she would have remained cold, untouched by the thing done to her body. But the act of a master taking shameful, contemptuous possession of her was the kind of rapture she had
wanted. wanted.

A week later Dominique is still mooning:
I've been raped. . . . I've been raped by some redheaded hoodlum from a stone quarry. . . . I, Dominique Francon. . . . Through the fierce sense of humiliation, the words gave her the same kind of pleasure she had felt in his arms.

She wanted to scream it to the hearing of all.

So this was grand passion! A masochistic wish by a superior voman for humiliation at the hands of a superior man! The Fountainhead heated my virgin blood more than twenty years ago Ind may still be performing that service for schoolgirls today.

Ayn Rand is the chief ideologue of a philosophy she calls Ob ectivism, essentially a cult of rugged individualism, vaguely right ving, and what I would call spiritually male. She is an example of the ways in which a strong, male-directed woman accommodates herself to what she considers to be superior male thought. Roark is Rand's philosophic hero; Dominique is merely an attendant jewel, prize of prizes. But if rape for Roark is an act of individual heroism, of manhood, of challenge met and coolly dispatched, then rape for Dominique must embody similar values. When superman rapes superwoman, superwoman has got to enjoy it-that is the oind Rand has gotten herself into. Rand becomes, as does Helene Deutsch, whom I shall soon discuss, a traitor to her own sex.

Men have always raped women, but it wasn't until the advent of Sigmund Freud and his followers that the male ideology of rape began to rely on the tenet that rape was something women desired. The dogma that women are masochistic by nature and crave the "lust of pain" was first enunciated by Freud in a 1924 paper entitled "The Economic Problem in Masochism." This curious little essay, as obscure as it is short,* laid down the psychoanalytic rule that masochism in women is the preferred state, an expression of sexual maturity-or, in Freud's terms, "the final genital stage" obtaining from "the situation characteristic of womanhood, namely, the passive part in coitus and the act of giving birth."

Freud's male disciples embroidered dutifully on the master's theme, but it remained for a woman, the brilliant Viennese psychoanalyst Helene Deutsch, to construct the epic thesis of female masochism-and to become the ultimate authority for sex-crime experts who wished to explain away the victims of rape. Deutsch is ${ }^{\text {a }}$ puzzling, contradictory figure in the history of psychology, who cannot be ignored or laughed at or easily dismissed. A prodigious
'Part of the problem, to be perfectly fair to Freud, is that our American understanding of the translated words "economic" and "lust" differs significantly from his German intention. A more accurate substitute for "economic" Would be "utilitarian"; a more accurate substitute for "lust" would be "thrill." Still and all, the essay is not one of Freud's finest. It is confused and uncertain and lacks the drama of some of his other works.
intellect and a powerful personality (one can make that judgmen from the force of her writing), she rigorously probed the depths her own psyche and that of her patients to create her dramatic theories of female sexuality. Her first papers were written in Ge man, but after she came to this country in 1935 and settled Boston she switched, almost effortlessly it seems, to a strong an lucid English. Psychology of Women, a two-volume work pub lished here in 1944 and 1945, is the culmination of her ideas. I influenced much of the thinking about women in the conservative, back-to-the-kitchen nineteen fifties.

I became aware of Deutsch's theory that masochism is an essential element of femininity, and a condition of erotic pleasure, when I was in my early teens. Her pronouncements were piously quoted in all the popular books and magazine articles of the day that purported to teach women how to "accept" their female role Since that time Helene Deutsch has been for me a particula symbol of that which is inimical to women. I believe she has caused real-and incalculable-damage to the female sex, as has, it goes without saying, Freud. But I want to say loudly and clearly that Psychology of Women is a towering work. It is a brave, pioneer study, a merciless exploration of the shameful underpinnings of female psychosexuality, as it has been conditioned by men. Superstrict Freudian to the end, Deutsch mistook what sometimes is for what must be, and that is her tragedy-and ours.

Deutsch's belief in the fundamental rightness of rape as an archetypal female experience rested primarily on her view of sexual intercourse as an essentially painful encounter for an essentially passive woman. This attitude was in keeping with the Victorian times in which she and Freud lived and may well have reflected the kind of sex these pioneers of psychoanalysis privately experienced Deutsch maintained in her writings that women put up with the pain of intercourse and even taught themselves to find a bit of pleasure in it, if they were genitally mature, because of the female's historic mission of reproduction, or as she put it, "service to the species." Service to the species was a holy mission fraught with pain from beginning to end, but most particularly at the moments of labor and birth, and it was clearly a mission any right-thinking person would shirk were it not for a conveniently built-in female masochism.

There is just enough reality in Deutsch's somber view of sex
and its reproductive consequences for me to consider granting her a point or two, but then I remember the intense social pressure put upon women to perform this "service to the species" and how the end does often justify the means, and I conclude that a woman does not need any special attraction to pain to want to conceive and give birth.

But let us go back to the sex act, or to Helene Deutsch's picture of it. In true Freudian fashion she quickly disposes of the clitoris. It is "inadequate" compared to you-know-what, and when it is seen in combination with the vagina, it is an "overendowment" from which a woman "suffers." The vagina itself, Deutsch believed, had "physiologically determined pleasure sensations" (modern physiologists would disagree), but she has to admit that the erogenous "transfer" from clitoris to vagina is "never completely successful." With this as background, she then goes on to describe the actual act:

The "undiscovered" vagina is-in normal, favorable instanceseroticized by an act of rape. By "rape" I do not refer here to that puberal fantasy in which the young girl realistically desires and fears the sexual act as a rape. That fantasy is only a psychologic preparation for a real, milder, but dynamically identical process. This process manifests itself in man's aggressive penetration on the one hand and in the "overpowering" of the vagina and its transformation into an erogenous zone on the other.

That is quite a view of the act of sex. Deutsch bases it, she says, on the biology of a "completely passive vagina" that must await the male sex organ so that it may be "awakened." Ergo, Women have "a deeply feminine need to be overpowered." But there is also this aspect: "Woman's frequent fear of coitus originates in the fact that it implies an injury to her physical integrity."

And this, from another page in another chapter: "Woman's entire psychologic preparation for the sexual and reproductive functions is connected with masochistic ideas. In these ideas, coitus is closely associated with the act of defloration, and defloration With rape and a painful penetration of the body. ... . The rape fantasy reveals itself as only an exaggeration of reality."

So, rape is her dramatic definition of intercourse. He tries to conquer, she flees his wooing-and must gradually be won or over-
powered by him. Deutsch elevates her theory by ascribing it t man's evolutionary triumph over the apes:

It is no exaggeration to say that among all living creatures onls man, because of his prehensile appendages, is capable of rape in the full meaning of the term-that is, sexual possession of the femal against her will.

But apes, too, play a part:

Every time I see one of the humorous pictures in popular movio or magazines showing an anthropomorphous ape or a powerful bets like masculine creature with a completely helpless female in his arms I am reminded of my old favorite speculation: thus it was thel primitive man took possession of woman and subjected her to sexulb desire.

Deutsch is thinking of King Kong (a male mythic figure ever there was one), the hairy ape who is part destroyer and pa misunderstood protector. She conjectures that copulation w originally an act of male violence that women, being weaker, could not successfully resist. Through the ages the mighty embrace and violent penetration, "perhaps accompanied by wooing and co resses," gradually came to be accepted by women as sexual enjoy ment: "The powerful embrace of the prehensile arms, combind with the defensive counterpressure, induced strong pleasure sensi tions in the woman's entire body."

From apes it is but a short flight back to swans. Deutsch offer as evidence the Greek myth of Leda, tricked and seduced by Zev in the form of a swan. She vividly pictures the god-as-swan envelo ing Leda in his plumage, which suggests to the analyst "the femi nine wish to feel the seducer's might with the whole surface of ho body." This is, she admits, "a phylogenetic hypothesis," but titilly tion over the entire skin surface could be a happy transfer from th unsatisfactory female genitals.

In support of her theory that it is the pleasure-pain principla that gives female sexuality its masochistic character, Deutscl speaks often of "the pain of defloration." The very word "deflord tion" has a Victorian ring today as virginity assumes its rightful place of unimportance. (There have always been cultures, in ares little exposed to Christianity, where female virginity has neve
mattered.) The act of defloration has been of greater psychologic importance to men than it has ever been to women, for as codified by the Hebrew patriarchs in Deuteronomy, proof of virginity was a tequirement of the marriage contract. When a husband "deHowered" his wife on their wedding night, in terms of his pragmatic ideology he was breaking open a pristine package that now belonged to him-private property-and he wanted tangible proof of the mint condition of his acquisition. The blood on the sheet and the cry of pain were the proof he demanded. If the tokens of virginity-telltale spots of blood-were missing, there were dire consequences for the Hebrew maiden. She was stoned to death and shame befell her father's house. But female pragmatism in the virginity matter must have asserted itself at some point, for the evidence could always be faked. Wonderful tales have passed down through the ages of anxious mothers or loyal servants who sprinkled a bit of chicken blood on those nuptial sheets in advance of the climactic moment.

My own "deflowering" was so unremarkable (no blood, no Pain) that the young man, a wag from the campus humor magarine, brightly inquired if this was really my "first go-round." The question irked me for some time-it had more to do with his expectations than mine-but years later when my consciousnessraising group discussed virginity one evening, it turned out that seven of the eight women present had experienced no discomfort on their first go-round. The eighth had a rough time, and perhaps down through the ages it has been women like her who set the mark. Is the highly touted hymen fast retreating as a vestigial membrane (in which case this is important news and I would like some biologist to formally note its passing) or was its guarding function always exaggerated? I favor the second theory. We can say fairly certainly that as the woman-as-property concept fades and Virginity lessens in importance, the "pain of defloration" appears to be going out of style, as all of woman's special gynecological pain seems to be going out of style, from menstrual cramps to the agonies of labor. Or to be more precise, the modern effort is to downplay, control or alleviate the pain, rather than to humbly accept it as a woman's due.

Offering further evidence that the basic and correct stance of females is a "passive-masochistic attitude . . . toward men and life as a whole," Deutsch posits that the fantasy life of young girls is
filled with conscious and unconscious thoughts of rape. Rape fa tasies often remain on the unconscious level, Deutsch writes, b they "evince their content" in dreams:

In dreams the rape is symbolic: the terrifying male persecut with knife in hand, the burglar who breaks in at the window, the thief who steals a particularly valuable object, are the most typic and frequently recurring figures in the dreams of young girls. The are connected with fear, not with pleasure, and thus differ from the boy's puberty dreams.

Girls and women, as we know, have objective reasons to feat hostile, violent men-the burglar, the mugger, the wanton, sense less killer, not to mention the rapist-and this may find justifiable expression in dreams. There is no need to ascribe such fears to "rape fantasy." Recently, after a series of mugging stories in the paper and some firsthand reports from unfortunate friends, I had a dream in which I ran with great strides through the streets with my wallet in my hand, pursued by an unidentified youth. (I got away.) Now this dream could have been a rape fantasy with my wallet repre senting my hidden, intimate sexuality, or it could have been ? straightforward anxiety dream about the real danger of mugging on the city streets, with my wallet representing my wallet.

Freudian dream interpretation, in which a host of plausible, real-life situations are assigned sexual symbolism, can certainly add to one's insecurity. Years ago I once had a dream in which 1 walked up the stairs to my apartment and was about to open the door when a male figure emerged from the shadows and struck out at me with a hammer. Inundated with popular Freudian psychology, I was distressfully convinced that this dream had to represent a hopeless fear of men and sex-until my Adlerian analyst drew from me the information that I hadn't paid my rent that month and had gotten a dispossess notice from the landlord!

Deutsch was not alone in her faith that young girls' dreams are crowded with symbols of rape. The courageous Karen Horney, who did so much to disabuse her associates of the doctrine of inherent female masochism, got stuck on this idea, too. Dr. Horney cared little for Dr. Deutsch, and Dr. Deutsch cared little for Dr. Horney. The brightest females in the Freudian constellation, one settled in

Poston, the other in New York, conducted a wicked battle of the ootnotes as they worked to define their opposing views. In a major reak with orthodox psychoanalysis, Horney argued that masohism in women was a neurotic manifestation culturally induced nd culturally encouraged, rather than a normal, inevitable result ffemale biology. Nevertheless, there was enough of the Freudian eft in her to make her swallow whole the sexual interpretation of reams.

Horney believed it was "instinctive" for young girls to dream of rape in various "guises"-and her list of guises was longer than Deutsch's: "Criminals who break in through windows or doors; nen with guns who threaten to shoot; animals that creep, fly or run nside some place (e.g., snakes, mice, moths); animals or women tabbed with knives; or, trains running into a station or tunnel." Horney's stake in rape dreams was expressed in a 1933 paper, "The Denial of the Vagina," in which she postulated that the dreams betray quite unmistakably an instinctive knowledge of the actual exual process."

Rape dreams to Deutsch were proof of inherent female masothism, but rape dreams to Horney were proof of nothing less than the primacy of the vagina. How a sensible woman like Horney got rapped into such an awkward stance deserves an explanation. Horney put her energy and her professional integrity into a longIUnning refutation of Freud's theory that all women suffer from penis envy. But instead of attacking the master directly for the inflated importance he bestowed upon the penis, Horney sought to levate the vagina to a position of equal stature. In her campaign for the primacy of the vagina, all clitoral sensations and correponding masturbatory impulses in prepubertal girls had to be lowngraded, for it wouldn't do to have the vagina take second place and lie erotically dormant, unnoticed even, as per Deutsch, through the critical years of childhood. A young girl's dream into Which rape might be read could be construed as an early indication. in actual affirmation, of vaginal sexuality:

In other words we must assume that both the dread of rape characteristic of puberty and the infantile anxieties of little girls are based on vaginal organ sensations (or the instinctual impulses issuing from these), which imply that something ought to penetrate into that part of the body.

Poor Helene! Poor Karen! Poor us! Freud's most clever-and mutually antagonistic-female disciples thus concurred, for quite different reasons, on the unconscious rape symbolism in young girls' dreams. As Bertha Pappenheim, the German feminist mort familiar to us as "Anna O," might have said, "What a pity!"

It is important to distinguish between the unconscious rap fantasies that the Freudians tell us are rife in young girls' dream and the conscious rape fantasies, the deliberate, waking, sexuall distorted daydreams that some women indubitably do have, that I intend to discuss in the next few pages. Belief in the uncon scious rape dream implies belief in an inherent wish for rape on the part of all women-a false assumption that today's Freudian an lysts and Freudian-styled criminologists continue to perpetuate Horney and Deutsch understood that the dreams they reported and interpreted were, for the most part, unpleasant dreams, filled with anxiety and dread, and yet they maintained that these fearful nightmares were the natural condition of womanhood-norma and healthy-either in the service of masochism or in the name of vaginal sexuality. I do not mean to lay the burden of Freudian rape fantasy theory exclusively at the feet of Deutsch and Horney; many of Freud's male disciples (Rado, Abraham, Fenichel) expoundel similar views, though with less authority. But the fact that brillian women chose to compromise their own sex has particular emo tional value. Of course they could not think otherwise and remali within the accepted psychoanalytic orbit-men and men alon determined what was heresy-but that does not give them full pardon.

## The Conscious Rape Fantasy

I have examined the Freudian theory of inherent female rape dreams to uncover the origins of much of today's thinking in the fields of criminology, psychology and the law, and to lay the groundwork for an exploration of the conscious female fantasy of rape, the opposite, but hardly equal, polarity of the male rape fantasy, its distorted mirror image.

The rape fantasy exists in women as a man-made iceberg. I can be destroyed-by feminism. But first we must seek to learn the extent of its measurements.

Male sexual fantasies are blatantly obvious in the popular culture (the burden of my previous chapter). Female sexual fantasies are quite another matter. Rarely have we been allowed to explore, discover and present what might be some workable sexual daydreams, if only we could give them free rein. Rather, our female sexual fantasies have been handed to us on a brass platter by those very same men who have labored so lovingly to promote their own fantasies. Because of this deliberate cultural imbalance, most women, I think, have an unsatisfactory fantasy life when it comes to sex. Having no real choice, women have either succumbed to the male notion of appropriate female sexual fantasy or we have found ourselves largely unable to fantasize at all. Women who have accepted male-defined fantasies are often quite uncomfortable with them, and for very good reason. Their contents, as Helene Deutsch would be the first to say, are indubitably masochistic.

What percentage of women fantasize about sex? Frankly, I do not know the answer, nor would I care to hazard a guess. I know of no objective studies that deal with the nature and prevalence of women's sexual fantasies. Kinsey did not delve into this area; nor, as yet, have Masters and Johnson. I am vehemently hostile to suggestions that some known, popular sex fantasies attributed to women are indeed the product of a woman's mind, or the product of a healthy woman's mind. I am thinking here of the scurrilous, anonymous pornographic classic The Story of O by "Pauline Reage," a pseudonym that many men delight in believing masks the name of a real woman. The Story of $O$ and its dreary catalogue
of whips, thongs, bonds and iron chastity belts represents the pinnacle, or should I say the nadir, of painful masochism. I first became acquainted with O when it made the rounds of my college dormitory. It was during finals week, as I recall, and I was looking for some diversion. I nearly retched before I closed the book and handed it back to the giver. A few years ago, when I was working for one of the TV news networks, a fellow writer earnestly presented this same book to me as "the truest, deepest account of female sexuality" he had ever encountered. I am sorry I behaved with such civility at my second refusal of O and "her" story.

Because men control the definitions of sex, women are allotted a poor assortment of options. Either we attempt to find enjoyment and sexual stimulation in the kind of passive/masochistic fantasies that men have prepared us to have, or we reject these
packaged fantasies as unhealthy and either remain fantasyless or cast about for a private, more original, less harmful daydreann. Fantasies are important to the enjoyment of sex, I think, but it is a rare woman who can successfully fight the culture and come up with her own non-exploitative, non-sadomasochistic, non-powerdriven imaginative thrust. For this reason, I believe, most women who reject the masochistic fantasy role reject the temptation of all sexual fantasies, to our sexual loss.

Given the pervasive male ideology of rape (the mass psychology of the conqueror) a mirror-image female victim psychology (the mass psychology of the conquered) could not help but arise. Near its extreme, this female psychosexuality indulges in the fantasy of rape. Stated another way, when women do fantasize about sex, the fantasies are usually the product of male conditioning and camnot be otherwise.

Two extreme examples of male fantasy that were commercially palmed off as female fantasy in recent years have been the pornographic movies Deep Throat and The Devil in Miss Jones, and I cannot deny I know a few women who claim they enjoyed seeing them. But I am not talking about such obvious junk, but of the normal run of books and movies with the theme of man as the conquering sexual hero, works that influence the daydreams of women, unfortunately, as well as men.

I do not mean to suggest that a woman's basic erotic fantasy through cultural conditioning, is a fantasy of being raped. Rape is simply a noticeable marker near the end of a masochistic scale that ranges from passivity to death. And I do not intend to limit this discussion to specific erotic fantasies. At issue here is Every woman's attitude toward her sexuality, her being, her attractivenes to men.

I owe it to Helene Deutsch to quote from her writings once more, since she was the first to define the female rape fantasy:

The conscious masochistic rape fantasies [Deutsch writes] are indubitably erotic, since they are connected with masturbation. They are less genital in character than the symbolic dreams, and involve blows and humiliations; in fact, in rare cases the genitals themselves are the target of the act of violence. In other cases, they are less cruel, and the attack as well as the overpowering of the girl's will constitute the erotic element. Often the fantasy is divided into two
acts: the first, the masochistic act, produces the sexual tension, and the second, the amorous act, supplies all the delights of being loved and desired. These fantasies vanish with the giving up of masturbation and yield to erotic infatuations detached from direct sexuality. The masochistic tendency now betrays itself only in the painful longing and wish to suffer for the lover (often unknown). . . . Many women retain these masochistic fantasies until an advanced age.

The combination of perception and dogma contained in the bove paragraph continues to amaze me no matter how many times Iread it through. The conscious rape fantasy is offered as proof of nherent female masochism; masturbation is seen as an adolescent tage to be "given up." Yet despite these rigidities in her thought, Deutsch perceives that the female rape fantasy is no simple mat-
ter: For some, the rape of the will constitutes the erotic element; vet for others, the sufferance of a physical attack, or mental abuse, is a necessary prelude to the acceptance of love and affection. These two quite different sets of responses might have given Deutsch a clue that the most significant factor of all lies precisely in the lack of a uniform response. I would conclude that both sets of responses, or utilizations of the rape daydream, indicate a pitiful effort on the part of young girls, as well as older women, to find their sexuality within the context of male sexuality-as we have seen it operate, as part and parcel of the male power drive. This effort is the crux of woman's sexual dilemma.*
'Karen Horney, while abiding by the dogma of the inherent rape dream, parted company with Helene Deutsch on the importance of the conscious ape fantasy. "The possibility of rape . . . may give rise in women to the hantasy of being attacked, subdued, and injured," she ventured at the close of her paper "The Problem of Feminine Masochism." "In our culture it is hard to see how any woman may escape becoming masochistic to some degree from the effects of the culture alone," she proposed. Beyond such "culture-complex" phenomena as the economic dependence of women on men that must produce an emotional dependence, the societal estimation of women 25 inferior, the restriction of women to "spheres of life that are built chiefly upon emotional bonds, such as family life, religion, or charity work," and the "blocking of outlets for expansiveness and sexuality", Homey adduced that "women presenting the specified [masochistic] traits are more frequently chosen by men. This implies that women's erotic possibilities depend on their conformity to the image of that which constitutes their 'true nature." " She then went on to list four "anatomical-physiological factors in

I refer here again to my own concentration-camp fantasy a age eight, at the height of Nazi power, in which I lay passive and beautiful, and offer as a companion piece a favorite childhoo fantasy described by Viva in her autobiographical novel, Superstar

> I was naked, strapped in an army cot . . . hung up in a stand ing position in this contraption, on the wall, very near the ceiling There were a lot of other little girls hanging around me in the sam cots, all on the same level and in the same suspended standing poss. tion. Then the room would fill up with men wearing grey pin-strip suits. They all had grey hair and glasses and white shirts and ties When the room was absolutely full of them, they would all look up at once.

> Viva makes a point of mentioning that this erotic daydream, which her autobiographical persona couldn't-wait-to-finish-herprayers to begin afresh each night, took place a few years after World War II. She also informs us that during this time she and her sisters had been molested vaginally by the family doctor. Exhi bitionism and passive masochism, as expressed in her fantasy, marked the early stages of Viva's "superstar" career.

> Anais Nin, companion to famous men, analysand and writer who chose as her life's work to contemplate her "subjective" femaleness-"My self, woman, womb"-confessed to her diary in the summer of 1937:

Sometimes in the street, or in a café, I am hypnotized by the "pimp" face of a man, by a big workman with knee-high boots, by a brutal criminal head. I feel a sensual tremor of fear, an obscure attraction. The female in me trembles and is fascinated. For one second only 1 am a prostitute who expects a stab in the back. I feel anxiety, I am trapped. I forget that I am free. A subterranean primitivism? A de-
women that may prepare the soil for the growth of masochistic phenomend [and] fertilize an emotional conception of a masochistic female role." In addition to the "possibility of rape," already mentioned, she suggested in brid outline fashion: the greater physical strength of men over women; menstrua;" tion, defloration and childbirth; and "the biologie differences in intercourse." These thoughts of Horney's were published in 1935. They are not incompatible with the thinking of present-day feminists. We would not, however. be inclined to view her list as either incontrovertible or inevitable.
sire to feel the brutality of man, the force which can violate $\mid 327$ violated is perhaps a need in women, a secret erotic need. I To be shake myself from the invasion of these violent images, awaken.

How much these thoughts reflect Nin and how much they reflect a dutiful parroting of Otto Rank, her former mentor, remains uncerain. Three years earlier the imperious Rank had informed her, and
the had faithfully recorded, that many of the women he analyzed wanted to be "mastered, wanted to lose. It was almost as if they continued to re-enact the old primitive forms of love-making, in which woman was overpowered by the strength of man. To feel themselves conquered, in a more abstract situation, they enjoyed losing."

The morbid attraction of Sylvia Plath to a male Nazi figure ("Panzer-man, panzer-man, O You-") in the poems "Daddy" and "Lady Lazarus" is also pertinent to this discussion. Plath, who was three years older than I and Protestant, appears to have identified as a young girl with the same concentration-camp victims that haunted my childhood: "I think I may well be a Jew ... I may be a bit of a Jew." Plath's victim identification remained with her throughout her life; she could not shake it. According to "Daddy," she even married "A man in black with a Meinkampf look/And a love of the rack and the screw." Her painful emotional credo was expressed in these lines:

## Every woman adores a Fascist, The boot in the face, the brute Brute heart of a brute like you.

Sylvia Plath committed suicide at the age of thirty-one. ("Dying/Is an art, like everything else./I do it exceptionally well.") As Helene Deutsch would have it, the "certain amount of masochism" necessary to a woman "if she is to be adjusted to reality" had gotten out of hand.

Through legend and lore, history has mythified not the strong woman who defends herself successfully against bodily assault, but the beautiful woman who dies a violent death while trying. A good heroine is a dead heroine, we are taught, for victory through physical triumph is a male prerogative that is incompatible with femi-
nine behavior. The sacrifice of life, we learn, is the most perfect testament to a woman's integrity and honor.

Raising a woman to the stature of heroine because she has suffered a violent death that carries sexual implications is a Christian concept, unknown to Old Testament Judaism. Parables of female martyrdom-or male martyrdom, for that matter-are conspicuously absent from the pragmatic, Hebraic Old Testament, where traces of strong, physically triumphant females, products of an incomplete patriarchal rule, can be found. Consider the Book of Judith. Described as a glamorous Israelite widow, Judith consciously risked the possibility of sexual assault to slay Holofernes in his tent. Not only did she cut off his head and escape unharmed, Judith inspired her people to military victory. Instructive as the story of Judith might be to little girls, this heroine was not the sort of role model that any patriarchy in its right mind would wish to put forward. Judith and her Book appear in the nether regions of the dubious Apocrypha.

Lucretia, an earlier heroine of ancient Rome, was cast in a more acceptable mold. She, too, in a sense, served as a catalyst to free her people. Raped by the dictator's son, Sextus Tarquinius, the chaste Lucretia took her own life rather than shame her husband, and thereby inspired the Romans to drive the dread Tarquins from their city. Thus was the Roman republic established. The rape of Lucretia (or Lucrece, as Shakespeare renamed her) served patriarchal attitudes better than the triumph of Judith, but neither model-suicide or a clever act of beheading-was quite what Christianity required of its female converts.

As a matter of fact, Saint Augustine, writing in the fifth century A.D., heaped witty scorn on Lucretia's act of suicide as the gesture of a woman "excessively eager for honor."

When a woman has been ravished without her consenting and - forced by another's sin, she has no reason to punish herself by a voluntary death [the saint maintained in City of God]. Her killing of herself . . . was due to the weakness of shame, not to the high value she set on chastity. . . . Since she could not display her pure conscience to the world she thought she must exhibit her punishment before men's eyes as a proof of her state of mind. She blushed at the thought of being regarded as an accomplice in the act if she were to bear with patience what another had inflicted on her with violence.

Augustine was killing two birds with one stone in this clever exposition. He was arguing that Christians had no authority to commit suicide in any circumstance, and he was defending against skeptics the behavior of Christian women raped by the Goths"the violation of wives, of maidens ready for marriage, and even in some cases of women in the religious life"-who did not choose the course of suicide:

When they were treated like this they did not take vengeance on themselves for another's crime. They would not add crime to erime by committing murder on themselves in shame because the enemy had committed rape on them in lust. They have the glory of chastity within them, the testimony of their conscience. They have this in the sight of God, and they ask for nothing more. In fact there is nothing else for them to do

That other great Catholic philosopher, the orthodox, scholastic Aquinas, devoted a few dry words to rape in "the second part of the second part" of his Summa Theologiae. The brevity of his discourse seems to prove how unimportant to Aquinas (and to other fathers of the Church) the "element of violence"-his phrase-really was in the cosmic system. Since all sexual intercourse outside of marriage was a mortal $\sin$ in God's eyes-fornica-tion-what was left to the logician was a mere ordering of the sin in terms of spiritual gravity. The Thomistic pyramid went like this:

Simple fornication without injustice done to a partner is the least among the sins of lechery. Then, to continue, it is a greater injury to misuse a woman subject to the father of her children [i.e. a wife] than one subject to her guardian [i.e. a virgin], and consequently, adultery is worse than seduction. Both are aggravated by violence, and therefore raping a virgin is worse than seducing her, and raping a wife is worse than committing adultery.

It seems from the above extract that Thomistic reasoning holds the rape of a married woman to be a worse sin than the rape of a virgin. Nevertheless, dating roughly from the third century and the Diocletian persecutions, the Church ingeniously began to dramatize a virgin role model that embodied two critical tenets, chastity and defense of the faith, in one lurid act of annihilation.

Elevated to sainthood for the manner of their violent deaths as much as for the purity of their short lives, Agnes, Agatha, Lucia, Philomena, Susanna and many others became celebrated in Catholic tradition as the virgin martyrs.

It is difficult even for devout Catholics to keep the stories of these tragic maidens separate and distinct. Each was beautiful and wellborn, each willingly chose martyrdom over the option of forced sex or marriage with a pagan nonbeliever, and each suffered a cruel death to maintain her Christian faith and virgin status. Sain Agnes, the most famous virgin martyr of them all, was a child of twelve. She was thrown naked into a brothel as part of the routine punishment of "outrage," but through miraculous intervention her honor was preserved. Virginity intact, little Agnes was beheaded.

Agnes remains the patron saint of bodily purity in the religious instruction of Catholic schoolgirls but in 1950 she acquired ? modern and controversial sister. With the canonization of Maria Goretti (1890-1902), the Church of Rome put a stunning update on its concept of the virgin martyr. Maria Goretti was no legendary victim of dimly remembered religious persecutions-she was murdered in twentieth-century Italy during an attempted rape.

A peasant girl of eleven, Maria Goretti suffered fourteen stab wounds inflicted by a twenty-year-old farm hand, Alessandro Serenelli, while insisting, "No, no, Alessandro, it is against God's wishes." Proof of her saintliness in the eyes of the Church was that Maria did not raise a hand to resist Serenelli's blows but directed all her effort toward protecting her virtue. Furthermore, she for gave her attacker before she died, as did her grieving mother.

Maria Goretti was raised to sainthood on the basis of her resistance to $\sin$. In canonical terms, she died in defense of Chris tian precept as opposed to a general defense of the faith. This was an unprecedented development in the history of martyrolog), steeped in political mysteries as well as divine. When the apostolic inquiry into Maria's sanctity began, a Bavarian girl murdered in similar circumstances a century earlier was being promoted by some German Catholics, but she was swiftly displaced by Maria Italian chauvinism was one factor in Maria's favor, but there were other factors, too. Unlike the original virgin martyrs, Maria Goretti was a poverty-stricken child of the soil, a matter of some impor tance in the Vatican's effort to renew the faith of the laboring classes in postwar, politically turbulent Europe. But the key factor,
curiously enough, was the exemplary behavior of her would be rapist.

Had Alessandro Serenelli gone through with his intentions, Maria's death would have amounted to a futile gesture, canonically speaking. But Serenelli did more than desist. He confessed at his trial and later to the apostolic investigation that he had given his victim every opportunity to submit before he killed her. Six years into his jail sentence the unremorseful murderer saw a vision of Maria in his cell (she came to him dressed in white and carrying flowers) and became a penitent. After his release-he served twenty-seven years-Serenelli went to Maria's mother to give his apologies. He lived out his days as a lay brother and gardener at a Capuchin monastery, humbly devoted to Maria's saintly cause. Newspaper accounts even placed him in St. Peter's on the occasion of her beatification, a fact that later was sternly denied.

Thousands of women had been raped impartially by invading armies throughout the long years of World War II, but if this experience had anything to do with the popularity of Maria Goretti as a candidate for sainthood, it remained unexpressed. When Pope Pius XII declared her Blessed in 1947 he gave other reasons for this unprecedented Church interest in a virgin victim of attempted rape. Calling Maria Goretti a second Saint Agnes, he took the occasion to lament the corruption of female chastity by movies, press, fashion styles and Communist youth organizations. "In our day," he intoned with appropriate vagueness, "women have even been thrown into military service, with grave consequences." Against the dissolution of female morality stood the shining example of little Maria Goretti, who defended her Christian virtue by death and made a penitent of her attacker. Could there be a more perfect expression of woman's role?

Maria Goretti was declared a saint in three years' time. Hers was the speediest canonization in the annals of modern Church history, witnessed in St. Peter's Square by the largest crowd ever to gather for such an event.

No photograph was ever taken during the short life of the sturdy, robust little peasant girl-her widowed mother was too poor for such an extravagance-but the iconographers have not been deterred. Saint Maria Goretti has been idealized in death as a fragile, delicate beauty clutching lilies of the valley to her breast.

Typically, she is inscribed in Coulson's book of saints as "exceptionally beautiful."*

The Catholic Church has not been alone in promoting as role model the chaste female martyr who dies on the cross of male sin. The rape of women in the 1648 Chmelnitzky pogroms (see page 121) comes down to us in the legends of "beautiful Jewish girls" who threw themselves off bridges or courted slaughter to escape the fate worse than death. A popular Greek legend, celebrated in song, tells of an entire village of women who threw themselves off a cliff to escape certain rape by invading Turks.

World War II (see pages $48-78$ ) spawned an entire new pantheon of dramatized virgin martyrs, even in atheistic Russia. A popular piece of Soviet war propaganda, printed in book form, tells the story of Comrade Genia Demianova, a virgin schoolteacher engaged to a young American (1) engineer named Jimmy. Genia was raped and tortured by invading Nazi soldiers but supposedly lived long enough - "in the mental ward of the Third Garrison Hospital in Moscow"-to write down her story. Published in London, the title page of Comrade Genia bears the inscription, "This is the documentary of the rape of a young schoolmistress-no, of an entire Russian village-by the Germans, August, 1941." A short introduction exhorts, "Get into your tank, Jimmy, you and all the other Jimmys, Tommys and Ivans-and let those tanks never stop until you have exterminated those Teutonic beasts to the last, so that horrors like this shall never again happen on this earth."

More sorrowful than Comrade Genia through the strength of sheer numbers was a suicide poem that appeared in an American Jewish magazine in 1943, supposedly the last testament from ninety-three girls of the Beth Jakob Seminary in Krakow who took their own lives after being informed that the Nazis intended to turn their seminary into a brothel.

Did ninety-three Jewish girls in Krakow take their own lives to avoid prostitution? Was there a Comrade Genia engaged to an American Jimmy? Evidence of rape and forcible prostitution dur-

[^19]ing World War II as a routine fact of life exists in scattered documents that most historians have chosen to ignore, an imbal. ance I have tried to correct. Far more compelling than the male atrocity has been the legend of virgin martyr whose inspirational value rests on being beautiful, chaste and dead.

## The Beautiful Victim

The psychic burden under which women function is weighted by a deep belief, borne out by ample evidence, that our attractiveness to men, our sexual desirability, is in direct proportion to our ability to play the victim. This is no mere game that women must play in order to catch and keep a man; this is a lifetime practice in living the part of the walking wounded.

Playing the beautiful victim goes further than clichés like "You're adorable when you cry" or a pretended incompetence amid things technical that many men profess to find so feminine and appealing. It goes to the very core of our sexuality. I used the phrase "catch and keep" in the above paragraph quite deliberately because it implies deceit. Men do not catch women; they win them, and a woman's ultimate appeal lies in her ability to be a prize that is won. Her value is as captured trophy.

A lot of preparation goes into playing a capturable trophy, and a lot of schooling is provided for us along the way, in case we have missed the many signposts. There was a custom some years ago, but I think it may be going out of fashion, for dog owners to teach their pets to roll over and play dead. No doubt such total mastery over an animal with the ability to bark and bite brought immense ego satisfaction to some humans, and for the dog's part, perhaps it
Was just a temporary inconvenience in return for the favors of love and food. I have always felt that the Freudian warning, a strong woman is a castrating woman, springs from the same ego need as that of the master of the docile pet. And is it unfair to say that the Woman who responds to this warning by playing up her weakness is also "rolling over" for love and food?

I once read an interview with the movie director Alfred Hitchcock, who was asked to describe what qualities he looked for in his leading ladies. He replied that all of them possessed one quality in common, a certain vulnerability. When I first read this interview I
was surprised, because vulnerability would not spring to my mind in appraising such diverse stars as Janet Leigh and Grace Kelly, but then, as a woman appraising other women, vulnerability would not be a huge plus mark for me.

The poet Adrienne Rich wrote the line "This is the oppressor's language." I borrow her phrase now for a small digression into male semantics. The dictionary definition of "vulnerable" is "susceptible to being wounded or hurt, or open to attack or assault." The opposite of "vulnerable" would be "impregnable" or "impenetrable." The sex act, which can result in pregnancy, has as its modus operandi something men call "penetration." "Penetration," however, describes what the man does. The feminist Barbara Mehrhof has suggested that if women were in charge of sex and the language, the same act could well be called "enclosure"-a revolutionary concept I'm afraid the world is not yet ready for. (To further digress, in the Latin of Augustine's day pudenda, meaning "parts of shame," referred to male and female genitalia alike. In modern usage the term refers only to female genitalia.)

So Hitchoock was on to something, I have concluded. His leading ladies did not have a certain "sensitivity" in common: what they had was vulnerability. They managed to project the feeling that they could be wounded or "had." And I think Hitchoock was speaking for most of his profession. The grand masters of the Hollywood dream machine chose their heroines to fit their own sexual fantasies, and their fantasies became important lessons in our female reality. In the nineteen fifties and sixties the celluloid vision of the desirable female increasingly became the child-woman who was beautiful and vulnerable. Strength, heroism, invincibility and mature middle age were qualities reserved for the men as they rode off into the sunset. For the women, vulnerability was inextricably tied in with being sexy, from the moody Ava Gardner to the wispy Mia Farrow.* The few grandes dames who did not fit the stereotype, survivors from the spirited forties like Joan Crawford and Bette Davis, got little work besides the unpleasant character part of "superbitch."

[^20]Not only were the Hollywood goddesses vulnerable to destruction, they often managed to self-destruct as well. A movie, The Goddess, made precisely that point. On the screen and off, where life has imitated art to a frightening degree, the self-destructive sex queen has been a powerful symbol in our culture, offering a glamorous lesson in the art of victimology. Her divorce, her miscarriage, her battle with alcoholism and drugs, her suicide or suicide attempts, have been presented to us by the popular media as tinsel tragedy, glittering items for public consumption.

The most famous and overworked example is Marilyn Monroe, whose pathetic history of use and abuse is already memorialized in several biographies, plays and paintings. In an earlier time there was the role model of Jeanne Eagels and Jean Harlow. The hard-luck stories of Hedy Lamarr and Betty Hutton crop up in the news from time to time, as have the episodic moments of crisis in the lives of Brigitte Bardot, Susan Hayward, Jennifer Jones and Lana Turner. The untimely deaths of a score of stars, some by their own hand, some by accident (often a car or plane crash), and sometimes from a combination of alcohol and pills, when viewed together present a pattern of glamorous destruction: Judy Garland, Jayne Mansfield, Veronica Lake, Françoise Dorleac, Carole Landis, Carole Lombard, Linda Darnell, Gail Russell, Marie McDonald, Margaret Sullavan, Diana Barrymore, Dorothy Dandridge, Pier Angeli, Inger Stevens, etc. So few women reach the success and fame of the ones I've mentioned, yet in some weird way their tragic deaths become more vivid to us than their lifetime accomplishments.

The line between the real-life players and the movie product blurs. The rare motion picture that tells the story of a real woman's life is often a catalogue of destruction: the Helen Morgan story, the Jeanne Eagels story, the Lillian Roth story, the Grace Moore story, the Billie Holiday story, and the worst instance of the industry feeding upon itself, two versions released simultaneously of the Jean Harlow story. Many of these women won fame as singers. For complex reasons having to do with the nature of the blues and vulnerability, girl singers seem the most ill fated of all, from Billie Holiday to Janis Joplin. Even though she led a full, rich life, it is the death of Bessie Smith that has passed into legend.

I think back now to my childhood years and the "required" poetry I dutifully memorized in public school. The little boys in
class recited the heroic morality lesson of Abou ben Adhem, may his tribe increase, or gloried in the Charge of the Light Brigade, out of which a fair number did manage to survive. But I, searching for female images, pined with Poe for the death of the beautiful Annabel Lee and suffered again for his beautiful Lenore. I would rise to declaim at the slightest show of interest all twentyeight stanzas of "The Wreck of the Hesperus," a hoary Longfellow ballad in which the captain's blue-eyed daughter is tied to the mast during an awful storm. She is found the next morning floating near shore, still lashed to the mast, beautiful and dead. Later, in my teens, I recall being puzzled and finally rebelling over the sympathy accorded to Mary Queen of Scots in her battle with Elizabeth in the tragic plays of Schiller and Maxwell Anderson. Mary was inprisoned in her tower and beheaded; Elizabeth won and survived. But it was haughty Mary, reduced in physical size and carefully feminized, who became the subject of romantic glorification, against the grain of history.

One might conclude, if one did not know the facts, that women were more prone to death than men. But the opposite is true. Women live longer than men, have fewer fatal accidents, suffer fewer violent deaths, and are outnumbered by men in suicide by a ratio of three to one. Yet the eternal image of the beautiful, desirable woman is Camille coughing her last on her deathbed, Mimi dying of consumption in her garret, Madame Butterfly killing herself over a broken heart, Carmen stabbed to death outside the arena, the damsel in distress locked into her tower, the sad-eyed Venus of Botticelli, the Hollywood starlet with dark glasses and an unhappy love life, the international fashion model dead at twenty-eight-the list is infinite.

## "Blonde Ex-Showgirl Slain in Hotel Suite"

The conceptual link between tragic beauty and sexual desirability was forged long ago, but it is perpetuated in our modern culture by books, movies, popular songs and television serials in which women are most often portrayed as victims, seldom as survivors. "Women Is Losers" ran Janis Joplin's most haunting refrain; and Bob Dylan sings a poignant love song to his "Sad-Eyed Lady of the Lowlands." The staples of television, the doctor, law
yer and private-eye shows (with the pioneering exception of Emma Peel in the English-made The Avengers), star handsome men as heroes and beautiful women as victims of disease and murder, or sometimes, for variety, as guilty co-conspirators. At its most bizarre, the concept of glamour-in-destruction is reinforced in the pages of our daily tabloid newspapers where rape, and most particularly rapemurder, is reported with the brightest writing the old hands on the rewrite bank can muster.

Crime is valid news, and rape deserves a place in news coverage. It is also obvious that rape sells newspapers, or the stories would not appear on page one, as they sometimes do. But the tabloid treatment of rape is more complex than simple, factual reporting. The rape story that a big-city "picture newspaper" like the New York Daily News is apt to feature is a selected rape, enhanced by certain elements of glamour and aided by the use of stimulating adjectives judiciously written in. It is rape dressed up to fit the male fantasy, lurid and "sexy." It is often the only news of women that can be found in the important first few pages of the paper on a given day.

I must stress that I am talking here about tabloid journalism.
When I first began to monitor newspaper rape coverage in 1971 in an attempt to gain a national overview, I was struck with how differently rape is treated from paper to paper and from city to city. The Washington Post, for example, was consistently informative and unsensational in its handling of rape, and made real efforts to treat the crime as a social issue. But in New York City, where I live, I found little of substance reported on the crime of rape in The New York Times, stemming, I believe, from that paper's longunquestioned editorial policy of sexual conservatism. The small, infrequent, back-page squibs I did unearth in the Times concerned victims who had some kind of middle-class status, such as "nurse," "dancer" or "teacher," and with a favored setting of Central Park. * By contrast, the New York Post and the Daily News were, in an ironic sense, something of a bonanza. My pile of clippings from these two tabloids grew at an alarming rate, but as I cut and clipped and filed, I discovered a pattern that was even more alarm-

[^21]ing. Although I already knew that the rapist chooses his victim with a striking disregard for conventional "sex appeal"-she maj, be seventy-four and senile or twelve and a half with braces on her teeth-and although New York City police statistics showed that black women were more frequent victims of rape than white women, the favored victim in the tabloid headline, I discovered was young, white, middle-class and "attractive."

As the months wore on, I decided to make a special study of the New York Daily News, the very model of the modern tabloid newspaper. More people read the News-more than two million 0 them every day and more than three million on Sunday-than any other paper in the United States. Half of these people may be presumed to be women, and what we read of the lives of other women-or what other women get into the news for-cannot help but affect our own life and expectations.

The limited concept of the role of women that the Dails News sells each morning for fifteen cents was forcibly driven home to me when I spent a full week exploring the microfilmed front pages of its four-star final for the complete year of 1971. At the end of the week my eyes were aching, but I had learned a lot The moral code of the News is simple. It is "for" law and order and the good, honest working bloke, as exemplified by cops, fire men and other city employees. It is fascinated by crime but eaget to see criminals brought to justice. It has a nose-pressed-against-the window approach to America's first family in the White House and toward a few other families of our American political aristocracy He-man style, it likes its women mute and interchangeable, with ${ }^{2}$ show of leg and bosom. Family-man style, it grumbles over highe taxes and is capable of outrage when innocent women, children and animals are hurt-but, he-man again, sometimes it thinks that gals get what is coming to them. (Blacks, too, although the New has shown some uncertainty of late in its vision of blacks, a lesson of the moral suasion of political power that women must leam. There are two sure ways a woman becomes newsworthy in the eyes of the Daily News, I learned: as wife or daughter of a famous politician or astronaut, or as an anonymous, innocent victim of 3 rape-murder or some other disaster. A third way is when she hersel has committed a crime.

The job of a tabloid is to present the news in an entertaining
fashion, and news is mostly made by men since it is men who are engaged in the "real world out there" of government, industry, sports, adventure and crime. Newspapers are edited for men by men. Women readers are tagalongs: advertisements and the "women's pages" alone are designed with us in mind. Tabloid editors operate under the assumption that sex is a necessary spice for their daily stew, and since they are men editing for men, sex means women. A standard feature of the Daily News is the anonymous bathing beauty, regardless of the weather. In summer she may be of the local variety; in winter the caption reads Miami or Australia. Although her name may be duly printed, it is of no consequence. She is a nobody, strictly there for men to admire. For women readers she can only offer an uncomfortable model for emulation: so, we think, this is what men find attractive. A rapemurder story is also presented in the News for sex: so, we cannot help but conclude, this is what happens to attractive women.

The use of the word "attractive" to describe a rape-murder victim in the lead paragraph of a Daily News story is a significant part of the story's formula. In a year's study of the News I found only two instances where this did not apply. One was a story of an eight-year-old victim; the other was a second day's follow-up story on a publicized rape-murder that occurred on the street in which I live. In this particular case, the first day's story had duly called the girl "attractive." For the follow-up, the News sent one of its few female reporters. She got her facts straight. The victim was not attractive, and the reporter broke the formula and wrote it that way. Two sideline facts are of interest here. This was the only instance I could find in a year's study of the News in which the paper sent a woman to report on a rape-murder; and at the corner newsstand where I buy my papers, the owner had also noticed that the adjective "attractive" was strangely missing from the story. He thought it showed a marked unkindness to the girl in death.

The use of "attractive" or similar glamour adjectives to describe a young woman in death is employed in place of other relevant material-the kind of relevant material that a good reporter writes in when he is describing a man. The following lead paragraph from a Sunday News story of January 16, 1972, "Car rumes stifle teen pair's dream" (front-page headline and page 3 news story), illustrates what I mean: "A Long Island University
sophomore and the tall, good looking brunette whom he hoped to marry were killed by carbon monoxide early yesterday as they attended a drive-in movie in Valley Stream, L.I."

We are immediately told that the young boy who died had a place in the world: he was a college sophomore. The young girl's news value, however, is as "tall, good looking brunette whom he hoped to marry." Not until the third paragraph, after we learn that the boy was a music major and a part-time messenger, do we discover that the girl, too, had an identity. She was also a student, a senior in high school. But this fact could be sacrificed to her physical description: that way the story had more "sex appeal."

Women who die violently are memorialized in the Daily News in bold headlines that attest to their physical appeal to men. In life they would not rate a story. The violence of their death has made them newsworthy, but it does not lessen their anonymity. Female victims are objectified and glamorized chiefly by the color of their hair, although it is odds-on certain that hair color had little to do with their death.

Thus I found: "blonde tied to bed, strancled" (July 6, 1971). She was reported to be someone's "estranged wife." And two months later: "brunette, 26, found slain in a village flat" (September 19, 1971). She was a shy, overweight clerk-typist who lived down the street from her parents. Although the newspaper did not report it this way, her rape and subsequent death by suffocation was most probably an accidental by-product of a routine "break-and-enter" burglary.

The occupation of a female victim is buried deep within the story of a tabloid newspaper unless the occupation has a glamorous connotation, however tenuous. Model, actress, stewardess, showgirl, go-go dancer, career girl, heiress and divorcee are the words to conjure with. Women who can be fitted into these categories may be catapulted in death to page one on a slow news day: "blonde EXshowgirl slain in hotel suite" made page one of the News on March 8, 1971.
"atr stewardess is found slain" made page one on June 25, 1971, and again on June 26 with "hunt sex killer of stewardess." The victim in this case, Cornelia Michelle Crilley, was genuinely beautiful. She became New York City's big sex-killing case for 1971. Her picture was plastered on the front pages of both the News and the Post, and the Post kept her on the front page for
three days running. Her occupation and the neighborhood in which she lived, Manhattan's Upper East Side, offered rich material for tabloid feature writers. The News ran "comb 'girl belt' swing spots" and "bast side-the mecca for maidens" with pictures of other "swinging singles." The Post countered with "cornelia's tinsel toyland," (Cornelia Michelle Crilley was pushed off the front pages by Daniel Ellsberg and the Pentagon Papers. At this writing her murderer has not been found.)

The murder of a beautiful young woman is no more regrettable, no greater tragedy, than the murder of a plain one, except in ${ }^{2}$ culture that values beauty in women above other qualities. By putting great store in the murder of a beauty, beauty acquires the seeds of its own destruction. The Daily News does not deliberately set out to create a myth of glamorous destruction, but its editorial policy performs that function by reporting the news of men-thedoers and spicing it with news of women as victims, with more space accorded to beautiful victims. Thus the myth that rape is a crime of passion touched off by female beauty is given great credence, and women are influenced to believe that to be raped, and even murdered, is a testament to beauty. Beauty's beauty is confirmed by the Beast: we all may not be fair of face, but all of us can be victims.

From January to December, 1971, a year in which Indira Gandhi won a landslide election victory and Golda Meir paid a Visit to New York, all female-related front-page headlines in the Daily News were headlines of disaster, crime and rape-murder, with the one exception of Tricia Nixon's wedding. "mother, baby "Llidn in queens" . . . "fall in jail kilis n.y. heiress" . . . "ALICE GUILTY in 15 degree" . . . "MISSING GIRL, 8, FOUND Slain" . . . "knifed to death in her blevator" . . . "probe divorcee mystery death." Meanwhile, men of strength and courage, alive and breathing, directed the reins of government, caught criminals, put out fires, ordered strikes and settled strikes, and went to the moon and came back victorious.

## Confessions: "He Made Me Do It!"

"brunette, 26, found slain in village flat" lived in my street, although I did not know her. We shared the corner candy
it-all attitude didn't wreck my life as it could so easily have done." Her virginity saved, she goes back to faithful Perry and "grows up."
"sex creeps always pick on cirls like me" (Modern Love, March). The blurb reads, "It happens too often to be just accidental." Susan is disturbed by exhibitionists who expose themselves. She joins an encounter group and reveals her problem. The leader, a psychologist, suggests that Susan sends out signals to the men because deep down she is afraid of sex. Susan suddenly understands that unconsciously she had been staring at the men. She starts a new relationship with Chuck, one of the young men in her group.
"I thought nobody had rape dreams like mine" (Real Confessions, February). Betty Jo has daydreams of rape and coincidentally doesn't want to get pregnant. Husband Jack, a big guy, is a gentle lover. "I was disappointed by his tenderness," Betty Jo says. "I wanted to be overwhelmed by brute force." They consult a minister who tells them that Betty Jo's fantasies are normal. He recommends that they consult a doctor, who assures them, "Rape is a very common fantasy that women have, and that's why it turns up so often in movies and books. Most women who have these fantasies don't really want to be raped, not in the sense of being mistreated or hurt. They like being dominated and overwhelmed by a man." Thus reassured, Jack 'fesses up: "I have a few sex dreams of my own. Like-what it's like to make love to a woman whose [sic] fighting you every inch of the way. . . . The idea of forcing a woman turns me on. I don't mean beating her up, just showing her it's all gotta be my way, no matter what she wants." Betty Jo and Jack decide to practice "rape games" at home. The first time Jack tries, Betty Jo protests that she isn't wearing her diaphragm-she could get pregnant! Jack persists with "brute force." Betty Jo tells us, "I didn't have any choice. I had to submit and be overwhelmed by his absolute strength and masculinity. It left me free, somehow, in a way I never felt before, to experience all the thrills I could only dream about till then." In the last paragraph she reports they now have two kids.
"I made him do it . . . to me!" (True Confessions, February). The blurb reads, "What kind of girl gets raped? What kind of man is a rapist? Right away you think you know the answers. But after you read this story, you may find you're wrong." Helen, a
shy girl from Indiana, goes to a singles bar in New York where she meets Danny, an ex-marine "with a nice-looking face and large brown eyes." She is too prim and proper for Danny's tastes, and he ignores her. Crushed, she leaves the bar, "knowing that I should really take a taxi since no New York street is safe for a girl alone after dark." A few evenings later she returns to the bar, determined not to let Danny get away. She is very flirtatious and Danny accompanies her to her studio apartment for a cup of coffee. They kiss-but suddenly it gets more serious: "Danny, I-" "Shut up." Then, "A strangled sound broke from my throat as he forced himself upon me." Danny rapes her and leaves. Bleeding and in pain, Helen staggers to her feet and calls a girlfriend. The girlfriend wants to call the police, but Helen refuses. She will not go to a hospital, either. A young intern who lives in the friend's building is called in. He tells Helen she'll be "okay" after a few days of bed rest. Helen's friend still wants to call the police, but Helen is adamant. It is her fault! "What other girl would be such a fool? What other girl would invite a total stranger into her apartment in the middle of the night, let him start to make love to her and expect him to stop?" A few days later a remorseful Danny sends Helen $\$ 50$ "for the doctor's bill." Next he calls her on the telephone, apologizes and says he has sworn off alcohol for good. Helen and Danny are dating now: "We seem to be helping each other through a bad time-a bad time that is slowly getting better."
"I gave up the man i loved to keep a rapist's child" (True Romance, February). Karen is raped by an escapee from the state mental hospital. Her family is afraid the town "will jeer," so Karen's mother tells the newspapers that she was the victim. But Karen discovers she is pregnant. Despite a law that allows abortion, Karen decides to bear and keep the rapist's child, who is born retarded. Boyfriend Neal rejects her, but new boyfriend Mark steps into the breach. The blurb: "Does a woman ever forget her helpless little first-born?"
"raped while pregnant!-and i can't tell my husband!" (Personal Romances, March). The blurb reads, "It can only end in more terrible violence if I identify my attacker." Cathy, a pregnant teacher's aide, is raped in her classroom. She miscarries that evening. Cathy decides not to tell the sheriff or husband Burt about the rape because the town will jeer and because Burt has always said he'd kill any man who attacked her. By coincidence, Cathy's
friend Janice is also raped-and murdered-that same night in a neighboring county. The sheriff picks up a suspect for Janice's murder, but the suspect offers an unusual alibi. It was not Janice whom he raped, but Cathy. In this curious story, Cathy is finally convinced to admit her rape and identify her attacker in order to save him from the false and more serious charge of murdering Janice. There is much talk in this story about how "unmanned" Burt feels by Cathy's rape. Burt gets in a good sock at Cathy's rapist in the county jail, and the sheriff's wife says approvingly, "I'm glad he got to him, Cathy. It's the only way he could get some of that poison out and feel like a man again."
"daddy, why does mommy call you a rapist?" (True Love, February). A child-rapist is at large and Midge's mother begins to suspect Midge's father because she has not slept with him for years and "it ain't natural for a man to go without . Midge's mother is wrong about her husband, of course, and in the last paragraph it looks like the family sex life is beginning to improve.

I intended to limit my random sampling to one sweep of the magazine rack, but a few weeks later while I was buying my news paper at the corner store I noticed that the March Real Confessions had just come in. I already had February in my possession, but a particularly provocative title caught my eye. The plot was the same story of an uppity girl getting her comeuppance from a gang of boys that I had read in the March True Life Confessions, "I was the victim of a sex gang." The blurb on this new one, though, was a trifle more explicit: "I knew I was partly to blame, too-because I tried too hard to change everybody's life." But the title spoke volumes! It read: "cang-raped by 7 boys-because iled their girls into a women's lib club."
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## Victims:

## The Crime

Testmony: I am 73 years old and I was raped when I was 67 . A young fellow followed me into the elevator of my apartment building. He was wearing a green uniform. He asked me if I knew the apartment number of a certain tenant but I told him that name was unfamiliar to me. I said, "Oh, are you the man from United Parcels? I'm expecting a package that hasn't arrived." He asked me my name and apartment number and told me he'd go down and check in the truck. A few minutes later my doorbell rang. I looked through the peephole and there was the young man with a package. Of course I opened the door right away. He had a wrench in one hand. He shoved me against the wall and started hitting my head. Later I had to have five stitches. He told me not to make a sound and he started walking through my apartment. I think he wanted to see if I was really alone, or he might have been looking for things to steal. When he came back to where I was he asked me where I hid the money. I told him I didn't have any money hidden, just what was in my pocketbook. He didn't seem to believe me. He told me to get on the bed. He pulled off my underthings and then he tore into me. When he was finished I watched him go through my dresser drawers. He took some jewelry and my portable TV. Finally he left, after warning me to keep quiet.

My neighbors and the police were very kind, but the police couldn't find him. The United Parcels Service said they didn't have any truck delivery route scheduled for my neighborhood that day and his description didn't match anyone they had working for them. It turned out my package had been delivered to the wrong apart-
ment a few days earlier. It was sitting in the package room all the time.

Any female may become a victim of rape. Factors such as extreme youth, advanced age, physical homeliness and virginal lifestyle do not provide a foolproof deterrent or render a woman impervious to sexual assault.

A certain amount of risk is present whatever our attributes and demographic standing. Dr. Charles Hayman's five-year study conducted at D.C. General Hospital in Washington reported that victims of rape who were processed through the emergency ward ranged from a child of 15 months to a woman of 82 . Results like Hayman's are confirmed by other studies.

Testimony: I was eleven and my sister was seven. Looking back now I'm amazed I was so naive. I was coming home from the dentist with my sister at four in the afternoon, and I was aware that a man was behind me. He followed us into the building. We were scared. I said to my sister, "Julie, he's behind us, don't get into the elevator with him, run, let's run up the stairs." He caught us on the third floor stairwell and put a knife at my sister's throat. He said to me, "Pull up your dress and pull down your pants and I won't hurt the little one."

Statistical probability does matter. Just as there is a calculable "typical" rapist, there is also, to a lesser degree of certainty, a "typical" victim. While any woman is a natural target for a would be rapist, the chances are that a rape victim will be of the same class and race as her attacker, at least between 70 and 90 percent of the time. More often than not, she also will be approximately the same age as her attacker, or slightly younger. Overall, the danger to women is greatest between the ages of 10 and 29. Teen-age girls, simply by being teen-age girls, run the greatest risk of any age group. In Menachem Amir's Philadelphia study, girls between the ages of 15 and 19 accounted for one-quarter of all reported victims of rape. In Brenda Brown's Memphis study, students comprised 27 percent of all rape victims and "made up the largest group having stated occupations."

Rape is usually an "ecologically bound" offense, to borrow a phrase from Amir. Not that rapists preter their own class and kind (personal preference appears to be immaterial); it is simply that
rape is a crime of opportunity and opportunity knoeks most frequently in a familiar milieu. Women who live in urban lower-class neighborhoods of high crime and juvenile delinquency are subject to the greatest risk of any class. It follows then, and statistics bear it out, that the group of women who run the greatest risk of being assaulted, because of their proximity to those who are most quick to resort to forcible rape, are black, teen-age, urban lower-class girls.

Testrmony: Okay, I was 14 years old at the time. School had let out and I was walking home because I didn't have enough money for carfare. This guy saw me on the street or I saw him and he said, "Hey, are you looking for a job?" Wow, I thought to myself, how did he know I was looking for a job? So I said, "Yeah, why?" And he said, "Because I know someone, a friend of mine, who's looking for someone to work part-time in his office." So I said, "Where's your friend's office?" and he said, "I'm not doin' anything, I'll walk you over there right now." I told him my mother was expecting me home. I said, "Why don't you just tell me where it is?" He said it would be better if he went with me because he had the connections. He said, "Why don't you call your mother and tell her you'll be home later?" We walked to a phone booth and this guy gave me a dime. He actually gave me a dime to call my mother. I called and said, "Ma, I got a job. I'm going over there right now."

He walked me over to this building and then he told me to wait downstairs and he'd go up and see if his friend was in. I thought that was a little odd, I didn't see why I had to wait downstairs, but 1 waited around and in a while he came back and said we could go upstairs. We went into this place and there was nothing in it but a dirty mattress. The guy locked the door real quick and then I knew what was happening. I started to cry. I was a virgin. I pleaded with him not to touch me, but he did. It hurt. He hurt me. I was crying a lot.

Afterward he gave me 20 cents to get home. He had the nerve to ask for my phone number so he could call me again. He wanted me to ask my mother if he could date me. I gave him the wrong number and a phony name. All I could think of was that I had to go home and face my family, right? I had to go home, have dinner, smile and pretend nothing was wrong.

At dinner my ma kept asking, "What about your new job?" I said, "I don't want to talk about it, leave me alone. It didn't work out."

In recent years rape and other crimes of violence have been reported with increasing frequency at formerly protected citadels like the college campus. The publicized rape and sodomizing of two students at George Washington University in 1972 by an outsider who posed as a student and the son of a congressman is one such example. As it happens, the jury in this case refused to convict.

In 1971 the FBI added a new statistical chart to its Uniform Crime Reports, an index to the number of felonies committed at state universities (although not necessarily committed by students). Over the next three years, the University of California at Berkeley and Los Angeles, the University of Illinois, Southerm Illinois at Edwardsville, the University of Indiana, Michigan State at East Lansing, the University of Kansas, and the University of Wisconsin at Madison and Milwaukee led the list. Eleven rapes were reported at Berkeley in one year, the highest ever recorded by a state university system. Many, if not most, of the rapes of college students occurred while hitchhiking.

Testimony: I took a ride from a truck driver. I always thought truck drivers were good people to get rides from. My father used to drive trucks when he was young, and my cousin was a truck driverthey must be good people to take rides from, you know?

I got in the truck and he said to me, "Aren't you kind of young to be hitchhiking?" Right away I got scared. Then he told me that he'd have to pull off the highway and go to, I think it was Greenwich, or some other town. I thought, Oh God, he's going to pull off the highway and drive into the woods and rape me or stab mebecause there had been a case I had just read about. I thought, My God, I have to jump out. I think he realized that this was on m mind because at that moment-we were on the highway-he started to attack me while he was driving. He started to beat me down and he started to rip off my blouse. He unzipped his pants and started to beat my mouth and head down on his penis.

In the meantime the truck is swerving back and forth. I said, "Well, we're both going to die now." I remember at one point I was thinking, Why don't I just take the wheel and just swerve the fucking truck off the highway and end it? That was the only way 1 could end it, but I didn't want to die. I don't know if this is what I imagine now, but I think there was some type of understanding be tween us that if I gave him all he wanted he would let me go.

According to the task force of the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, half of all rape victims ( 53 percent) were total strangers to their attackers; another 30 percent were slightly acquainted. Seven percent had a family relationship to their rapists (daughter, sister, niece or cousin) and 3 percent were not related but had a previous close association. (As with most percentage distributions concerning crime, there is always a category called "unknown" or "other.") The task force concluded, "If a woman is attacked, then, considerable justification does appear to exist for the fear that the offender will be a stranger."

As I mentioned in Chapter 6, "The Police-Blotter Rapist," the statistical profile of rape falls midway between the profiles for assault and robbery. In keeping with this pattern, when interpersonal relationships in these three crimes of violence are compared by side, we find that strangers commit 21 percent of all assaults, 53 percent of all rapes and 79 percent of all robberies.

Testimony: About five years ago when I lived in Chicago I awoke one night gagged with my hands pinned down by someone who was wearing leather gloves and holding a razor to my throat. I wasn't quite sure I was awake. I thought I must be in the middle of a nightmare that seemed much more realistic than usual and I couldn't break it up. I was trying to establish if there really was a person there. And then I did get my wrist cut slightly, so I realized it was real and that I was risking my life and that I'd better hold still and let the man have intercourse with me. He was very fast. He wasn't wearing any clothes on the bottom half of his body and he ran out the window in that position, just like Romeo on the balcony, onto the fire escape and down.

I got up, turned on the lights and took a bath in alcohol. I was living alone. I had to get out of the apartment. I set off with my coat on and then I realized the man had gotten in my purse and left me without a penny. Apparently he had been in the apartment for some time before I woke up because I saw he had gagged me with my own dishtowel. It was then that I thought to call the police.

Stranger-rape has clearly been the preferred category from the Point of view of the police precinct, the category most likely to win the determination of "founded." When a woman is raped by a total stranger, her status as victim is clean and untarnished in the station-house mentality. In Brenda Brown's 1973 Memphis study,

73 percent of all founded rapes were committed by strangers, and Brown reported, "The closeness of the relationship was a fre quently used reason for categorizing cases as unfounded." According to the Uniform Crime Reports, unfounded cases are "frequently complicated by a prior relationship between victim and offender."

For this reason it remains difficult to assess the true percentage of rapes committed by strangers. As the women's movement con tinues to press a greater understanding of the crime of rape on the general public, women who have been assaulted by men they know will feel freer to report the crime and these reports will begin to be treated with the seriousness they deserve. At the present time police precincts still operate from the assumption that a woman who has been raped by a man she knows is a woman "who changed her mind afterward."

Testimony: I was raped when I was 17 by my fiancé the night before he was due to ship out with the Navy. Up to then I had tried to be everything he wanted according to the religious, social and moral codes by which both of us had been raised. I played the expected role throughout our engagement, deferring to him in judg ment, in conversation, even in the way I dressed. I was sedate, de mure, humble, submissive-and a virgin. He kept begging me to have intercourse and I kept saying, "No, not yet. It's not right." On our last date he pushed me in the back of his car and held me. I just gave up. After all, wasn't I supposed to defer to him in everything?

[^22]about how the girls couldn't make it. We were way out in the country by this time. Then my date stopped the car and started messing around. So there I was, out in the middle of nowhere with three guys who all had their minds on one thing.

I kept struggling with my date and finally when he said, "If you don't let me, I'll put it in your mouth," I gave in. Then the other fellows took their turn. I wasn't screaming or fighting anymore. I just wanted to get it over with and not have anything worse happen to me. When they were all through they drove me home.

I tried to tell some older men in the bar about it a few days later. They asked me if I was hurt and when I said I wasn't they told me to forget about it.

When a potential victim and a potential offender are thrown together by the forces of fate, a complete process is inexorably set in motion. Each word, act and gesture on the part of the potential victim serves to either strengthen or lessen the resolve of the potential rapist, and hinder or help him commit his crime.

Victim precipitation is a new concept in criminology. It does not hold a victim responsible, but it seeks to define contributory behavior. Victim precipitation says, in effect, an unlawful act has been committed but had the victim behaved in a different fashion the crime in question might have been avoided. Part a priori guesswork and part armchair-detective fun and games, the study of victim precipitation is the least exact of the sociological methods, for it rests in the final analysis on a set of arbitrary standards.

Testimony: We were living in Houston. My husband was at work and the children were at school. It must have been, oh, two $o^{\prime}$ clock in the afternoon. The doorbell rang and this young man asked if he could rake the leaves for a couple of dollars. I said, "Wonderful, how terrific." Well, he raked the leaves and then he came to the door and asked if he could have a glass of water. I was quite conscious of being alone in the house, but a glass of water did not seem like an unreasonable request. He finished the water and then asked for another glass. Of course I gave it to him. We were chatting about this and that and I wanted him out of my living room but I didn't know quite how to cut him off. I was also wondering if perhaps I was overreacting to be a little nervous. He was black and I had been very involved in the first stages of the civil rights movement, and after all, he was only asking for water. He
must have had four glasses of water before he made his move. He broke my jaw in the process.

While most rational people might be able to agree on what constitutes rash, reckless or precipitant behavior leading to a homi cide, in rape the parameters are indistinct and movable. Some men might consider a housewife who lets a strange man into her house for a glass of water guilty of precipitant behavior, and more men would consider a female hitchhiker who accepts a ride from an unknown male guilty of precipitant behavior. Rape-minded men would consider both actions tantamount to an open invitation. I, on the other hand, would consider the housewife and the hitchhiker insufficiently wary, but in no way would I consider their actions provocative or even mildly precipitant. Similarly, most men seem to consider a woman who engages in sex play but stops short of intercourse guilty not only of precipitant behavior, but of cruel, provocative behavior with no excuse, yet I and my sister feminists would argue that her actions are perfectly allowable and quite within the bounds of human decency and rational decisions.

Those who worked for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence came up with their own deflnitions of victim precipitation, and because it is their statistics I am going to quote, it is their definitions that need to be expressed.

In criminal homicide: "Whenever the victim was the first to use physical force against his subsequent slayer."

In aggravated assault: "When the victim was the first to use either physical force or insinuating language, gestures, etc. against his attacker."

In forcible rape: "When the victim agreed to sexual relations but retracted before the actual act or when she clearly invited sexual relations through language, gestures, etc."

In armed and unarmed robbery: "Temptation-opportunty situations in which the yictim clearly had not acted with reasonable self-protective behavior in handling money, jewelry, or other valuables . . . e.g., a robbery victim flashes a great deal of money at a bar and then walks home alone along a dark street late at night."

Armed with these working definitions, however vague, for its seventeen-city survey, the commission task force found that the
percentage of discernible victim precipitation in crimes of violence looked like this:

| Homicide | $22.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Assault | $14.4 \%$ |
| Rape | $4.4 \%$ |
| Armed Robbery | $10.7 \%$ |
| Unarmed Robbery | $6.1 \%$ |

Across the board, rape victims were responsible for less precipitant behavior than victims of other kinds of violent crime.*

The behavior of women in a pre-rape situation is a critical area that deserves more study. Equally critical is the behavior of women within the actual act. Do women fight back, and can women fight back successfully? According to the FBI's 1973 figures, more than onequarter of all reported rape offenses were not completed. They were recorded on the precinct level as attempted rapes or as assaults with intent to commit rape.t Viewed from a positive angle, this is rather an astonishing figure. Surely the would-be rapists had a completed act in mind. What stopped them?

Testmony: My child was sick and so was I, and I had to go to the store to get some medicine. I suppose people would say it was my own fault for doing such a dumb thing, but I took a short-cut
*The commission's staff was at pains to point out that these results differed dramatically from the only other study of victim precipitation in rape, the calculations of Menachem Amir in his 1958/1960 Philadelphia study. Amir believed that a stunning 19 percent of all rape cases he examined had been victim precipitated and he concluded that for the women in question, "The contingencies of events may not make the victim solely responsible for what becomes an unfortunate event; at least she is a complementary partner, and victimization is not, then, a wholly and genuinely random affair." From my reading of the Philadelphia study I gather that Amir's definition of precipitation was more generous to the rapists. He added "risky situations marred by sexuality" and other, indefinite generalizations to his criterion.
In the eyes of the law a completed rape does not require emission, only a degree of penetration. One inch is the usual standard. Of course, evidence of Gmission makes a stronger case in court, but sperm smears must be taken immediately since, according to the best medicolegal evidence, traces of sperm may totally disappear within twenty-four to forty-eight hours. An attempted rape falls short of penetration.
through the alley in back of my house. It was around dinner time and there he was, in the alley, waiting for me.

Initially, I think, he was after my purse. He knocked me down and we struggled. I wasn't scared. After all, I'm a black woman and you learn early when you're black and a woman. Anyway, this character wasn't all that impressive physically. He was about my size, a black man, tall and lean. Since he was dumb enough to jump me under the light he got scared and kept saying, "You seen me, you seen me!" Then I got mad and bit his hand. That made him mad, and he backed me up against the wall, tore down my jeans and tried to have intercourse. He kept trying but I figured as long as I'm fighting him standing up he couldn't do it.

I wamed him the police would be going by. I tried to get up the back steps to my own house, but he kept tight hold, all the time telling me to lay down. But I knew if I did that, he'd finish the rape. I figured I had to do something to scare him, so I decided to act crazy. I started yelling my baby was right inside that house and she's sick and what he was doing was making me crazier and he'd better watch out. And that did it. He just took my money, about ten dol lars, and then he beat it. So-I'd beaten off a would-be rapist.

Testimony: I fought back. I kicked a guy in the lower extremity and put him in the hospital. I kicked that bastard's balls and at the time I was only $\mathbf{1 7}$. So we didn't have a rape case but we almost had manslaughter. That's what the police told me, if the guy died I'd be up for manslaughter. Can you imagine? I told the police I wanted to prosecute for attempted rape and they said, "Didn't you do enough already to the poor guy?" Their sympathy was with him. They told me I was a crazy, hostile hippie.

Testimony: I awoke at 3 A.M. Someone had his hand over my mouth and a knife at my throat. He said, "If you move, I'll kill you." My first thought was it's a dream, wake up, but I felt the steel and a throat isn't a good place to get cut. I went into a computer mentality, totally cold. The Wylie-Hoffert case flashed through my head and I thought to myself, I don't want to be a Daily News headline. I also thought, this is like a Grade B movie. I got him talking, that's what I did, I stalled for time. I sat on the bed and talked. "Are you going to rape me?" I asked. "If you are, okay, but please put away the knife." To my surprise, he did. "I've been watching you for three weeks," he told me, "I watch you from the roof through the window." Where I got the courage to say this, I don't know, but I said, "If you watched me from the roof, someone may be watching
right now. Why don't you check the window just to make sure." He went to the window and that's when I made a break for it. You see how I use that Hollywood language, "made a break for it," but I too had learned from the movies. I ran to the door, unlocked it, got it almost open. He grabbed my neck and I let out a scream that came from I don't know where. My next-door neighbor opened her door and he fled. I didn't beat him off or fight him, but I wasn't raped. I outwitted him, computer-cold and scared out of my wits.

Unfortunately no comparative study has ever been made on the behavior of victims of attempted rape versus the behavior of victims of a completed rape. Impotence may be responsible for some of the thwarted rapes, but strong resistance on the part of the woman seems the more logical possibility.

Menachem Amir attempted to measure victim resistance to rape on a statistical basis. Since he chose to limit his study from the outset to completed rapes, a valuable chance to do a comparative study of victim resistance in thwarted rapes was denied him.

Dealing only with police reports on victims of completed rapes-in other words, with victims who decisively lost in their encounters-Amir found that 55 percent had displayed what he termed-submissive behavior, 27 percent had screamed and/or tried to escape, and another 18 percent fought back by kicking, hitting or throwing objects.*

Rapists brandishing weapons (ranging from guns and knives to sticks and rocks) accounted for one-fifth of all the Philadelphia cases and understandably these men elicited the highest percentage of submissive victims ( 71 percent). Rapists who displayed no weapons but choked or punched and kicked their victims (to achieve their goals but also as part of their raping pleasure) elicited ${ }^{a}$ response that was far less submissive. Greater numbers of their victims screamed, fought and tried to escape. Often, Amir noted, a victim whose first reaction had been submissive began to resist after [she] overcame her initial shock or when she realized what the offender was up to." Resistance, when it occurred, usually did

[^23]on the bed and raped me, just like that. Afterwards he got up as if nothing had happened. I thought to myself, I wonder what happens now. I kept thinking about my mother, she'd never believe it. I'll tell you what happened next. We went out to have dinner. We proceeded along with the date as if nothing had happened. I was in such a state of shock I just went along with the rest of the date.

In the previous chapter I discussed how the idea of rape is mythified and glamorized in the culture not only for the rapist but also for the victim, but the actual act bears no relationship to storybook romance. Reality replaces myth with shocking swiftness. The female did not choose this battlefield, this method of warfare, this surprise contestant. Her position, at once, is unprepared and defensive. She cannot win; at best she can escape defeat.

Force, or the threat of force, is the method used against her, and a show of force is the prime requisite of masculine behavior that she, as a woman, has been trained from childhood to abjure. She is unfit for the contest. Femininity has trained her to lose.

According to the odds, she is three inches shorter and 24 pounds lighter than her male assailant. This works to her disadvantage psychologically as well as physically, but worse than the differ ence in size is the lifelong difference in mental attitude toward strength. He has been encouraged from childhood to build his muscles and toughen his fists. She has been encouraged to value soft skin, her slender wrist, her smooth, unmuscled thigh and leg His clothing gives him maximum mobility. His shoes are sturdy; thick heels give him power. Her clothing hampers free movement by design, and fragile materials add to her vulnerability. One yank and her blouse is ripped. One stumble and her stockings are torn. Her skirt allows for easy access. One gesture, one motion and she is humiliatingly exposed. Her flimsy shoes have straps that break and heels that come loose. She cannot run.

Reviewing his own statistics, Amir wrote, "It seems that when confronted with a threat to her life or physical well-being, the victim was not willing to resist or fight." While this analysis has important legal ramifications-rape is the only crime of violence in which a victim is expected or required to resist-it raises another important question. Is submissive behavior in any way helpful to ${ }^{2}$ victim of rape?

It would appear that the answer is negative. Acquiescent
cooperation by not screaming or struggling once the intent of the rapist is made manifest gives a victim no guarantee that she will be let off more easily. As I interpret Amir's sample, despite what a victim may have hoped (if her submissive behavior was to any degree a planned, rational response), her numb compliance or lack of resistance gave her no blanket insurance against gratuitous physical damage.

This point cannot be overstressed. A victim may choose to play by what she assumes are the rules, but a rapist does not necessarily respond with similar civility. Several of the Boston Strangler's victims (including Evelyn Corbin and Beverly Samans) who were initially terrorized by choking deliberately permitted themselves to be tied and raped, according to Albert DeSalvo's confessions. DeSalvo murdered them anyway; they had "won" no quid pro quo. In the 1966 case of Richard Speck, eight student nurses in Chicago under the threat of one man and one knife permitted themselves to be bound with strips of bedsheets and taken from the room one by one. The sole survivor of the massacre, Corazon Amurao, a 23 -year-old Filipino, had suggested to the others that they band together to escape. The others told her not to panic and start something that might provoke him, for they all assumed that what was going on in the other room was "only rape." Corazon Amurao rolled under the bed and hid herself and Speck, in his glory, lost count. The rest went like lambs to their slaughter. The following morning eight bodies were found. Each had been slashed and strangled.

When a woman survives the physical trauma of rape, her emotional reaction may take many forms. She may cry, scream or tremble; she may be rigidly composed; she may smile inappropriately or tell the story with bursts of laughter. There is no uniform response to a rape, or a uniform time for recovery.

Testimony: It was like a delayed terror reaction. Like, when I started thinking how easily he could have just killed me behind the building, I was shaking. I didn't want to tell my husband and I never did report it to the police. I just went into this whole terror thing. I was afraid to take that same way home again and I was afraid to go on the subway alone at night. I was just generally shaken.

Testimony: For years afterward I felt it was my fault. I tried to figure out what had made him follow me. Was it the clothes I was
wearing or was it my walk? It had to be my fault, you see? I was only a child-an innocent child, but I was ashamed for a long time.

Testimony: I was raped in my elevator after he took my wallet. He had a knife. He told me to lie down and I lay down. It was over in two seconds, just like that. The sex was nothing at all. What do you want me to do? Be angry and hate all men? I just want to forget it. It's New York City life and I'm not going to let it destroy me.

Testimony: I couldn't stay alone in that apartment anymore. I moved in with a friend. I didn't feel strong enough to live by my self for about a year, and when I did get a new place, it had to be a very secure building, not like my old tenement walk-up. I moved from a $\$ 75$ a month apartment to one where I now pay $\$ 225$, that's part of the price I had to pay. It wrecked me financially.

Testimony: I kept thinking I saw him-on the street, in the elevator at work, on the bus. I was convinced he was still after me, that he'd get me for reporting it, that he'd come back to finish me off. Whenever the phone rang and the person hung up, I was sure it was him. I moved and got an unlisted number.

Testimony: Somewhere along the line society had told me that if you're a woman and you stick your neck out by being conspicuous you're going to get it. The rape confirmed it. Before the rape I used to go all over the city with my cameras, I was never afraid for my safety. Afterwards I stopped taking pictures, or if I did go out into strange neighborhoods, I made sure that I had a male companion. But at some point you have to say that you're not going to be stopped by it. It's a war and you can't let them win. Otherwise you'd just have to stay indoors.

Testmony: I was black and he was black. The encounter caused a tremendous change in my overall attitude toward men, and especially toward black men. For three months I was afraid to $\mathrm{go}^{\circ}$ out with a black man. I was afraid to be out on the streets alone at night. Until I met that man in the alley I thought I was really in control of my life. It taught me I wasn't.

Testimony: I don't think I slept for a week. I lay on a cot in my sister's apartment with my clothes on. I thought of committing suicide. I had to quit my job-first of all I was a nervous wreck but
also I was afraid he had spotted me going to and from work. I tried to stay still and make time move forward. I felt the course of my life had changed and nothing would ever be the same again. My being raped moved something in me so deeply that I could no longer not look at it: I'd had a fear of men all of my life.

Testimony: We were what you'd call a middle-class black family, which means we were poor. I was 12 and all these strange things were happening to my body, I was sprouting here and there. It was very confusing and my parents kept saying, "Don't worry, it happens to all girls, you're developing." That was the word they used, developing. Then, after it happened to me, somehow in my head I tied it in with this developing.

Testimony: I was raped when I was 14 in a home for delinquent boys and girls where my parents had left me because my father was having a nervous breakdown. I had just begun menstruation. I never menstruated again until I was 21.

Testimony: For about nine months afterwards I was sure I was going to die of syphilis. I was also sure I was pregnant. Finally I went to a doctor in New York. He told me I was silly that I thought I had syphilis. To this day, even, I have a fear I have syphilis.

Testimony: I was gang-raped when I was 17, after a party in Beverly Hills. My parents didn't prosecute because they were neighborhood boys. Instead they sent me into analysis. They came all over me, every which way. This may sound odd to you, but for two years afterward, no matter how much I washed, I couldn't get the smell of come out of my hair.

Testimony: It's a terrible thing to be woken up at 3 in the morning with a knife at your throat. You never forget it and you're never the same. It did not affect my sexuality, I think, because that was always a strong area of my life, one that I was always pleased with, but what it affected was my career. I stopped taking chances, that's what it was. I wouldn't say that my experience caused my career problems, but it certainly aggravated them. It hits you where you're most vulnerable. Even though I had been very strong during my encounter, I felt more vulnerable in every way. About six months to a year later some of the vulnerability disappeared. It was replaced by rage. Oh, I wish now I had hit him. Or killed him.

Testimony: After that, it was all downhill. None of the girls were allowed to have me in their homes, and the boys used to stare at me on the street when I walked to school. I was left with a reputation that followed me throughout high school.

Testimony: People always say, you know, "time heals all wounds," "things get better with age," et cetera. I hate that fucker more today than I did when it happened to me.

Testimony: I never told my mother to this very day. I wish she was here, maybe I could tell her now. I pushed it to the recesses of my mind. I never really dealt with it 'til a year ago-when my friend and I went to St. Thomas and she was raped. And then all the anguish and all the pain that all women have suffered all these year came out of me, and I was able for the first time to relate to an other woman's pain.
"Forcible rape is one of the most falsely reported crimes," a California police manual, Patrol Procedure, begins its instruction. "The majority of 'second day reported' rapes are not legitimate." Chatty and chummy, Patrol Procedure warns the cop on the beat that rape calls often result when a husband leaves town on business and a wife takes the opportunity "to go out on the town," with later remorse. "These situations must be delicately handled," the manual advises.

Testimony: I went to the police station and said, "I want to report a rape." They said, "Whose?" and I said, "Mine." The cop looked at me and said, "Aw, who'd want to rape you?"

Testimony: When they let me go I ran down the street and found a milkman who told me where the police station was. I was calm and coherent. Too calm, I guess. I got disgusted with theif questions and slow typing and asked to type my own version of the report. The policeman said, "You're so clear and detailed-what art you, a sociologist or something?"

When women in the feminist movement first got together to discuss the problems of rape, many testified that their complaint were met by insensitive, often hostile policemen. (In perfect frank ness, some reported good experiences. For the most part, these were the older women, white children, those who went to the
precinct with their husbands, and those who had professional, middle class occupations.)

Testimony: They got more and more interested in the physical details. What did the man say to me? What did I say to him? Did he unzip his pants or take them off? How long did it take? In what position did he do it? Why did I help him? Did he have a climax? Did I? They made me tell the story over and over to different policemen, ostensibly to check the details. When I told them he had entered me from behind, they said that position was impossible. Had I been less frightened or demoralized, I might have pitied them for their unimaginative sex lives.

Testimony: They rushed me down to the housing cops, who asked me questions like, "Was he your boyfriend? Did you know him?" Here I am, hysterical, Im 12 years old, and I don't know these things even happen to people. Anyway, they took me to the precinct after that, and there, about four detectives got me in the room and asked me how long was his penis-like I was supposed to measure it. Actually they said, "How long was the instrument?" I thought they were referring to the knife-how was I supposed to know? That I could have told them 'cause I was sure enough lookin' at the knife.

In 1972 I was invited to address a seminar for police lieutenants training for promotion to captain at the New York Police Academy. I spoke about rape and was met with a chortle of hoots and laughter from the thirty assembled men. "Honey, you don't believe there is such a thing as rape, do you?" a lieutenant called out.
"Don't you?"
"Noooo" came the nearly unanimous response. Months after the seminar I paid a visit to my local precinct in Greenwich Village and asked a sergeant to show me some rape statistics. He politely obliged. That month there had been thirty-five rape complaints, an advance of ten over the same month for the previous year. The precinct had made two arrests.
"Not a very impressive record," I offered.
"Don't worry about it," the sergeant assured me. "You know what these complaints represent?"
"What do they represent?" I asked.
"Prostitutes who didn't get their money," he said firmly, closing the book.

Despite their knowledge of the law they are supposed to enforce, the male police mentality is often identical to the stereotypic views of rape that are shared by the rest of the male culture. The tragedy for the rape victim is that the police officer is the person who validates her victimization. A police officer who does not believe there is such a crime as rape can arrive at only one determination.

Testimony: They finally told me they thought I was lying. They said I'd probably been having sex with my boyfriend and probably was afraid I was pregnant. They also theorized that my boyfriend had set me up for it. They wanted to know if he'd ever asked me to have relations with his friends.

When Brenda Brown did her Memphis study, she found that only 1.02 percent of all rape victims were prostitutes. In her report to her superiors she wrote, "This casts some doubt upon the persistent comforting myth that many rape victims are actually prostitutes who were unable to collect their fee." When New York City created a special Rape Analysis Squad commanded by policewomen, the female police officers found that only 2 percent of all rape complaints were false-about the same false-report rate that is usual for other kinds of felonies.

A lengthy analysis in the University of Pennsylvania Law Review attempted to measure the yardsticks that policemen use to "found" a rape. Rapes reported "within hours" and cases involving strangers, weapons and "positive violence" stood the highest chance of being believed. Rapes by strangers that took place in automobiles were considered more dubious than rapes by strangers that took place in the bome or on the street. All dating rapes that occurred in automobiles were held unfounded by the police in this Pennsylvania study. Although the law does not mitigate the offense when the victim is judged to have been intoxicated, in practice the police "unfounded" 82 percent of these cases. Interestingly enough, the police seemed more ready to believe a complainant who claimed she had screamed than a complainant who said she had silently struggled. In the Pennsylvania Law Review study, black-on-black rapes were held unfounded by the police 22 percent
of the time, whereas only 12 percent of the white-on-white rapes were unfounded. The authors wrote, "It appears impossible not to conclude that the differential . . . resulted primarily from lack of confidence in the veracity of black complainants and a belief in the myth of black promiscuity."

Testimony: This was in Jacksonville, Florida, about 3 A.M., and I was traveling back to school, and here I am, in a bus station in an unfamiliar town. I was in Trailways and I had to make the connection to Greyhound, just one short block, they told me. A big, burly guy, he was black, was trying to talk to me, but I didn't want to be bothered. I went into the ladies' room to try and duck him, and then I took my little suitcase and went out fast through a side door. I was totally unfamiliar with this town, but I thought I had gotten rid of the guy.

As I was walking along tie street he fell into step behind me. He pulled a gun on me and instructed me to continue walking. Just looking around, the lighting was very bad, there was nothing but warehouses all around, deserted warehouses, that sort of thing. We went into one of them and he told me to go upstairs. He told me to get undressed. I did everything he told me, and if I didn't do it fast enough, he would put his gun to my head. It was like dying a thousand deaths that night. I kept praying, lord, just let me live through this. I was just beginning to live, school was going well, and here was something else happening to me.

Afterwards he took $\$ 70$ off me. He didn't even leave me a dime. I picked a quarter off the floor and he took that too. He threatened to make me walk down the street naked, but I guess he changed his mind. Eventually he left. I didn't know whether to get dressed or what. Finally I got my nerves up and I dressed sort of haphazardly and took off running for Trailways bus station. I got there, I went into the baggage room and demanded that the guy there call the cops.

They came 30 minutes or so later. I'm on the floor, completely paranoid and crying. They took me back to what they call the scene.

Eventually they said that the guy was my boyfriend and that everything was okay between us until he took my money. At that moment if I had had a gun I could have killed them all, I was angry enough.

After the questioning I left my number and name and address so as they could reach me. Nothing was ever done about it. Some time later, when I was back at school, I tried to contact them. They hung up on me.

In a depressing aside to the University of Pennsylvania Law Review study, the authors noted that most complainants were not informed that the offenses against them had been unfounded. Rape complaints deemed barely credible by the police at first hearing were classified merely as "investigation of persons." Occasionally police turned away a complainant without filing any report, or proceeded to close the case without conducting an investigation. Since these complaints had never been entered on the police blotter as "rape," the authors concluded that the overall number of unfounded cases was considerably higher than the official records might show. "Probably," they wrote, "at least 50 percent of the reported rapes are unfounded by the police."

Rape as it must be proved in an American court of law is

> the perpetration of an act of sexual intercourse with a female, not one's wife, against her will and consent, whether her will is over come by force or fear resulting from the threat of force, or by drugs or intoxicants; or when, because of mental deficiency, she is incapas ble of exercising rational judgment; or when she is below an arbitray "age of consent."

The jury deals with the same question that faced the police: Has a crime been committed? Unlike cases of murder, where the presence of a dead body points to the fact that there has been a crime, and unlike cases of robbery, where the physical goods, if recovered, are marked and tagged Exhibit A, and unlike cases of aggravated assault, where overt signs of physical damage are the main evidentiary proof, proof in a rape case is often intangible. The "perpetration of an act of sexual intercourse against a woman's will" leaves no corpus delicti, leaves no recoverable physical goods, and may leave no sign of physical damage.

What, then, does a jury start off with? The oath of a woman who says she was raped, and the oath of a man who denies it. There are a variety of criminal situations in which a jury must weigh "oath against oath," and juries, since juries have been in existence, have managed to convict or acquit based on whose oath they decided to trust. But rape is the only crime in which by law the victim is female and the offender is male. In a court of law, where the victim becomes the "complainant," or more harshly,
"prosecutrix," and the offender becomes the "defendant," oath against oath at all times means the word of a woman against the word of a man.

Because of the male-against-female nature of rape, because rape is a deliberate distortion of the primal act of sexual inter-course-male joining with female in mutual consent-man's law has sought to measure such relative, qualitative and interrelated concepts as moral character, force, fear, consent, will and resistance to satisfy the overriding male concern that beyond the female's oath, her word, her testimony, there was not mutual intercourse and subsequent vindictiveness and wrath, but an objective, tangible crime.

Lord Chief Justice Matthew Hale, the famous seventeenthcentury English jurist, assured himself of immortality when he wrote the words, "Rape is an accusation easily to be made and hard to be proved, and harder to be defended by the party accused, tho never so innocent."
"An old saw that has been quoted by virtually every legal writer who has discussed rape," as Camille E. LeGrand put it in the California Law Review, Hale's quaint homily has poorly stood the test of time despite its popularity. Since four out of five rapes go unreported, it is fair to say categorically that women do not find rape "an accusation easily to be made." Those who do report their rape soon find, however, that it is indeed "hard to be proved." As for the party accused, tho never so innocent or never so guilty, except for the tradition of Southern interracial cases, by and large a successful legal defense is nothing short of a cinch.

LeGrand pointed out that irrelevant as Hale's old saw might be to the twentieth-century American experience, it was included as late as 1973 in California's standard set of jury instructions for cases of rape, where it was followed by the admonition, "Therefore the law requires that you examine the testimony of the female person named in the information with caution."

That the testimony of the female person should be examined with caution is the bottom line in all rape cases from the moment they are reported to that rarer moment, if ever, when they are actually brought to trial. The issue goes deeper than "oath against Oath." It is based on the cherished male assumption that female persons tend to lie.

Wigmore on Evidence, the American text defining evidentiary rules that is the unchallenged, esteemed and venerated classic treatise on the subject, asserts:

Modern psychiatrists have amply studied the behavior of errant young girls and women coming before the courts in all sorts of cases. Their psychic complexes are multifarious, distorted partly by inherent defects, partly by diseased derangements or abnormal instincts, partly by bad social environment, partly by temporary physiological or emotional conditions. One form taken by these complexes is that of contriving false charges of sexual offenses by men [italics added].

Male fear of the false rape charge brought by a lying womanthe old syndrome of Potiphar's wife-is written into the rape laws of various states in the form of special rules of evidence that are conspicuously absent from evidentiary rules governing other kinds of violent crime. Unless these rules of evidence can be met, a prosecutor cannot bring a case into court, even though he knows, the victim knows, and the rapist knows that a crime has been committed.

Testmony: Anyway, I did not have any witnesses, it was his word against mine. My case was thrown out of court. One of the cops was in the courtroom and he tried to be consoling and sympathetic. He said, "Oh, look, honey, at least we kept him in jail overnight. That ought to make you happy."

One safeguard embodied in the law for the protection of defendants is the chastity, or unchastity standard, that is appended to statutory rape provisions governing assaults against females "below the age of consent." The principle of statutory rape holds that resistance is immaterial in the case of a child below a certain age since, as the saying goes, "the law resists for her." The age of consent itself has varied dramatically from state to state, from a low of 7 years in Delaware (changed to 16 years in 1973) to a high of 21, under certain conditions, in Tennessee. Most states set the age of consent somewhere between age 12 and age 16 . States with a high age of consent usually employ the chastity standard for the upper-age limits. In some states the burden of proving prior chastity, that is, virginity, falls on the prosecutor; in others, the burden
of proving prior unchastity falls on the defense. Previous unchastity on the part of a young victim means there can be no conviction for statutory rape.

Testimony: I was 11 years old. There was some kind of hearing but all I can remember about it is that the lawyer gave my father explicit instructions about the kind of clothes I should wear. I was told to wear a Peter Pan collar.

Evidentiary rules governing the trial of offenders who have raped adult women are more complex than those governing statutory rape. They, too, show marked inconsistencies from state to state. According to the Yale Law Journal, "Evidence of the complaining witness's consent to previous acts of coitus with the defendant may be admitted, via the theory of a continuing state of mind,' to prove her consent to the act in question. Also, evidence of her general moral character is usually admissible. Courts apparently reason that a reputation of 'loose moral character' probably has a basis in fact and that a girl with such a character is more likely than not to consent to intercourse in any given instance."

All jurisdictions allow testimony regarding previous acts of intercourse between offender and victim. In addition, many states allow testimony as to specific acts of intercourse between the victim and other men at other times in her life in an effort to prove her "loose moral character." Some states restrict admissible testimony to a general appraisal of her "reputation for chastity in the neighborhood in which she lives" from any number of witnesses, to be answered by "good" or "bad." Still other jurisdictions allow testimony concerning a woman's prior sexual history on the grounds that such information has a bearing on her "credibility."

Testimony: The suspect was arraigned but the grand jury refused to indict him. I think it was because they saw that my boyfriend was of another race. That made me a bad woman, right?

Either by statute law or by case law (judicial decisions that set a precedent), several jurisdictions in the nation have sought to protect the male defendant against the mere word of a woman in court by requiring independent corroborative proof in addition to her testimony. The burden of corroboration means that the prose-
cutor must prove, apart from the victim's word, that there was penetration (vaginal tears, bruises, sperm), that force was applied (body bruises, a weapon, torn clothing), or that the man on trial was the true offender (an eyewitness to some phase of the rape)

The sheer impossibility of providing total corroboration is best demonstrated by the sad history of New York City's conviction rate under the state's old corroboration statute. In 1971 there were 2,415 "founded" rape complaints in New York and the police managed to make 1,085 arrests. However, only 100 cases were ever presented to the grand jury, and these resulted in 34 indictments and only 18 convictions. Justice under the state's corroboration statute was an unbelievable, bizarre revolving door. In 1974 New York dropped its corroboration requirement, as did Comnecticut and Iowa. But a corroboration requirement, which at this writing is clearly falling out of favor in judicial circles, is not the only bulwark against conviction. Surveys show that prosecutors seldom bring a rape case to trial without some form of corroborative proof because, regardless of the state's evidence rules, juries simply refuse to convict without corroboration.

Testimony: They trotted out my whole past life, made me go through all these changes, while he just sat at the defendant's table, mute, surrounded by his lawyers. Of course that was his right by law, but it looked like I was the one who was on trial.

While a woman's past sexual history may be trotted out for a jury's appraisal, a man's relevant sexual history, including prior charges and convictions for rape, may not be introduced in evidence if he does not take the witness stand. And so, a jury might see before them a sexually active woman and be told that her sexual activity reflects on her character and credibility, but the man may not be similarly examined or judged.

On the face of it, rape laws appear almost reasonable in the interrelated areas of force, resistance and consent. According to the Yale Law Journal in 1952, "Today most states hold that the amount of resistance must be proportional to the circumstances of the attack, such as the relative strength of the parties and the futility of persistence. Other states require only that degree of 'good faith' resistance which makes the woman's non-consent reasonably evident." Overt signs of physical damage, of course, may be
introduced to prove force; and verbal threats of bodily harm or the presence of a weapon, courts have held, are also sufficient indicators of force.

But although evidentiary requirements on force and resistance may appear reasonable on paper, juries are influenced hardly at all by bruises and have the poorest understanding that the threat of violence might be sufficient to terrorize a woman into submission. While some defendants in a rape case claim that "some other guy did it," the standard defense is that there was no force and no resistance because the woman freely consented to the act. A jury's concept of "consent" is based almost exclusively on its interpretation of the events leading up to the rape, and its judgment of the victim's "moral character."

Testmony: I don't understand it. It was like I was the defendant and he was the plaintiff. I wasn't on trial. I don't see where I did anything wrong. I screamed. I struggled. How could they have décided that he was innocent, that I didn't resist?
"Despite the supposed emotional aspects of a rape trial," Judge Lawrence H. Cooke told a meeting of the New York Bar Association, "the defense rarely ever waives a jury trial knowing that the jury is an ally, not an enemy. Juries, which are often maledominated, are extremely reluctant to convict."

Judge Cooke was speaking from personal observation. Of all kinds of criminal prosecutions, rape ranks second only to murder in the percentage of cases in which a defendant prefers to take his chances on a jury rather than elect to be tried by a judge alone. The defendants and their legal counsel are right. Juries are allies. Judges are made of sterner stuff. For one thing, a judge has a better grasp of criminal law; for another, he has seen many similar cases come before him and defense counsel gambits are no surprise. But juries are allies of male defendants and enemies of female complainants for reasons that run deeper than their poor grasp of the law or their predominantly male composition. They are composed of citizens Who believe the many myths about rape, and they judge the female according to these cherished myths.

A vast, national study of the American jury system and how it functions was undertaken at the University of Chicago Law School by Harry Kalven and Hans Zeisel. Examining 106 cases of rape, and
comparing the jury's decision to acquit or convict with a written statement from the judge on the case telling how he would have voted, Kalven and Zeisel uncovered major discrepancies between a jury's interpretation of law and fact and the judge's opinion.

The authors wrote, "The law recognizes only one issue in rape cases other than the fact of intercourse: whether there was consent at the moment of intercourse. The jury . . . does not limit itself to this one issue; it goes on to weigh the woman's conduct in the prior history of the affair. It closely, and often harshly, scrutinizes the female complainant and is moved to be lenient with the defendant whenever there are suggestions of contributory behavior on her part."

As Kalven and Zeisel saw it, juries in effect were rewriting the law. They were bootlegging concepts from tort law or civil actions such as "contributory negligence" and "assumption of risk" into a rape case. They "weighed the conduct of the victim in judging the guilt of the defendant."

The two professors found that juries preferred to acquit when there was no overt sign of physical force. "The jury's stance," they suggested, "is not so much that involuntary intercourse under these circumstances is no crime at all, but rather that it does not have the gravity of rape. . . . This rewriting of the law to accommodate the defendant when the female victim has taken a risk is on occasion carried to a cruel extreme."

Women who went drinking with the offender prior to the act, women who were "picked up," women who knew the offender previously and women whose past sexual history was alleged to be "promiscuous" were assessed by juries in this national study as having taken an undue risk and morally not worth a conviction for rape.

Kalven and Zeisel went on to separate out from their sample all cases in which there was evidence of "extrinsic violence," situ3 tions in which the defendant and victim were total strangers, and cases involving more than one assailant. The remaining cases, of which there were 42 , they called for the purposes of their study "simple rape." In these 42 simple rapes, the judges reported they would have convicted in 22 of the cases. But the jury convicted in only three. "This result," wrote Kalven and Zeisel, "is startling."

## 12

## Women Fight Back

Olaughter the fourteenth of November, 1642, a young Virgine, Devter to Mr. Adam Fisher, was hurrying along a country road in hire so darke that she could scarce discerne her hand when the figure of a Gentleman, Mr. Ralph Ashley, a debased Cavalier, approached on horseback. Inspired by the Devill himself, this gentleman told the trusting maiden that he knew her father well and would be pleased to escort her home in safety, for there were lustful soldiers in those parts.

And then, Dear Reader, as if you didn't know what next, he galloped her off to a deserted spot and went about to ravish her while she fervently prayed, Help, Lord, or I perish.

Just then a fearefull Comet burst out in the ayre and strucke the rapacious Cavalier with a streame of fire so that he fell downe staggering.

According to some shepherds folding their flock who had witnessed the Blazing Starre from a distance, Mr. Ashley expired within the night, ranting and raving in terrible blasphemy about that Roundheaded whore. Adam Fisher's daughter, aroused from a graceful faint, found her Virginity intact and thanked her lucky starres and God Almighty.

The original text of this Puritan fable, a seventeenth-century propaganda pamphlet aimed at "those Cavaliers which esteem murder and rapine the chiefe Principalls of their religion," is housed today in the British Museum.

Three eventful centuries have passed since that fateful autumn night when Mr. Ralph Ashley attempted to ravish Mr. Adam Fisher's nameless daughter and was struck in his tracks by a bolt from the sky. Fewer of us these days, we would all agree, are young Virgines. The automobile has replaced the horse and blazing comets have proved fairly unpredictable after all. But the problem of rape, and how to deal with it, remains.

To a woman the definition of rape is fairly simple. A sexual invasion of the body by force, an incursion into the private, personal inner space without consent-in short, an internal assault from one of several avenues and by one of several methods-constitutes a deliberate violation of emotional, physical and rational integrity and is a hostile, degrading act of violence that deserves the name of rape.

Yet by tracing man's concept of rape as he defined it in his earliest laws, we now know with certainty that the criminal act he viewed with horror, and the deadly punishments he saw fit to apply, had little to do with an actual act of sexual violence that a woman's body might sustain. True, the law has come some distance since its beginnings when rape meant simply and conclusively the theft of a father's daughter's virginity, a specialized crime that damaged valuable goods before they could reach the matrimonial market, but modern legal perceptions of rape are rooted still in ancient male concepts of property.

From the earliest times, when men of one tribe freely raped women of another tribe to secure new wives, the laws of marriage and the laws of rape have been philosophically entwined, and even today it is largely impossible to separate them out. Man's historic desire to maintain sole, total and complete access to woman's vagina, as codified by his earliest laws of marriage, sprang from his need to be the sole physical instrument governing impregnation, progeny and inheritance rights. As man understood his male reat ity, it was perfectly lawful to capture and rape some other tribe' women, for what better way for his own tribe to increase? But i was unlawful, he felt, for the insult to be returned. The criminal act he viewed with horror and punished as rape was not sexuas assault per se, but an act of unlawful possession, a trespass against his tribal right to control vaginal access to all women who belonged to him and his kin.

Since marriage, by law, was consummated in one manner only, by defloration of virginity with attendant ceremonial tokens, the act man came to construe as criminal rape was the illegal destruction of virginity outside a marriage contract of his making. Later, when he came to see his own definition as too narrow for the times, he broadened his criminal concept to cover the ruination of his wife's chastity as well, thus extending the law's concern to nonvirgins too. Although these legal origins have been buried in the morass of forgotten history, as the laws of rape continued to evolve they never shook free of their initial concept-that the violation was first and foremost a violation of male rights of possession, based on male requirements of virginity, chastity and consent to private access as the female bargain in the marriage contract (the underpinnings, as he enforced them, of man's economic estate).

To our modern way of thinking, these theoretical origins are peculiar and difficult to fully grasp. A huge disparity in thoughtmale logic versus female logic-affects perception of rape to this very day, confounding the analytic processes of some of the best legal minds. Today's young rapist has no thought of capturing a wife or securing an inheritance or estate. His is an act of impermanent conquest, not a practical approach to ownership and control. The economic advantage of rape is a forgotten concept. What remains is the basic male-female struggle, a hit-and-run attack, a brief expression of physical power, a conscious process of intimidation, a blunt, ugly sexual invasion with possible lasting psychological effects on all women.

When rape is placed where it truly belongs, within the context of modern criminal violence and not within the purview of ancient masculine codes, the crime retains its unique dimensions, falling midway between robbery and assault. It is, in one act, both a blow to the body and a blow to the mind, and a "taking" of sex through the use or threat of force. Yet the differences between rape and an assault or a robbery are as distinctive as the obvious similarities. In ${ }^{\text {a }}$ prosecutable case of assault, bodily damage to the victim is clearly evident. In a case of rape, the threat of force does not secure a tangible commodity as we understand the term, although sex traditionally has been viewed by men as "the female treasure"; more precisely, in rape the threat of force obtains a highly valued sexual service through temporary access to the victim's intimate parts, and
the intent is not merely to "take," but to humiliate and degrade.
This, then, is the modern reality of rape as it is defined b twentieth-century practice. It is not, however, the reality of rape as it is defined by twentieth-century law.

In order for a sexual assault to qualify as felonious rape in an American courtroom, there must be "forcible penetration of the vagina by the penis, however slight." In other words, rape is defined by law as a heterosexual offense that is characterized by genital copulation. It is with this hallowed, restrictive definition, the sine qua non of rape prosecutions, that our argument begins.

That forcible genital copulation is the "worst possible" sex assault a person can sustain, that it deserves by far the severest punishment, equated in some states with the penalties for murder, while all other manner of sexual assaults are lumped together under the label of sodomy and draw lesser penalties by law, can only be seen as an outdated masculine concept that no longer applies to modern crime.

Sexual assault in our day and age is hardly restricted to forced genital copulation, nor is it exclusively a male-on-female offense. Tradition and biologic opportunity have rendered vaginal rape a particular political crime with a particular political history, but the invasion may occur through the mouth or the rectum as well. And while the penis may remain the rapist's favorite weapon, his prime instrument of vengeance, his triumphant display of power, it is not in fact his only tool. Sticks, bottles and even fingers are often substituted for the "natural" thing. And as men may invade women through other orifices, so, too, do they invade other men Who is to say that the sexual humiliation suffered through forced oral or rectal penetration is a lesser violation of the personal private inner space, a lesser injury to mind, spirit and sense of self?

All acts of sex forced on unwilling victims deserve to be treated in concept as equally grave offenses in the eyes of the law for the avenue of penetration is less significant than the intent to degrade. Similarly, the gravity of the offense ought not be bound by the victim's gender. That the law must move in this direction seems clear.

A gender-free, non-activity-specific law governing all manne of sexual assaults would be but the first step toward legal, reform

The law must rid itself of other, outdated masculine concepts as well.

Since man first equated rape with the ruination of his wholly owned property, the theft of his private treasure, he reflected his concern most thunderously in the punishments that his law could impose. Today in many states of the Union, a conviction for firstdegree felonious rape still draws a life sentence, and before the 1972 Supreme Court ruling that abolished capital punishment, a number of Southern states set the penalty at death. A modern perception of sexual assault that views the crime strictly as an injury to the victim's bodily integrity, and not as an injury to the purity or chastity of man's estate, must normalize the penalties for such an offense and bring them in line more realistically with the penalties for aggravated assault, the crime to which a sexual assault is most closely related.

Here the law must move from its view that "carnal knowledge" is the crux of the crime to an appreciation that the severity of the offense, and the corresponding severity of the penalty that may be imposed, might better be gauged by the severity of the objective physical injury sustained by the victim during the course of the attack. Another criterion that the law can reflect beyond objective physical injury in the imposition of penalties is the manner in which the assault was accomplished. As the current law distinguishes between the severity of an armed robbery versus an unarmed robbery, so must the law distinguish between the commission of a sexual assault with a deadly weapon-in which the threat against the victim's life is manifest and self-evident-and a sexual assault committed without a weapon. The participation of two or more offenders is another useful indicator of the severity of a sexual assault, since a number of assailants by their overwhelming presence constitutes a realistic threat of bodily harm.

Parenthetically I want to note at this point that I am one of those people who view a prison sentence as a just and lawful societal solution to the problem of criminal activity, the best solution we have at this time, as civilized retribution and as a deterrent against the commission of future crimes. Whether or not a term in jail is truly "rehabilitative" matters less, I think, than whether or not a guilty offender is given the penalty his crime deserves. It is important to be concerned with the treatment offenders receive in
prison, but a greater priority, it would seem, is to ensure that offenders actually go to prison.*

Current feminist thinking on sexual assault legislation favors a system of sentencing that ranges from six months to twenty years, depending on the severity of the crime. This approach strikes me as sound, even generous, for with good behavior, a prisoner may be paroled after one-third of his sentence is served. (As it stands now, a convicted rapist who goes to jail serves an average of forty-four months; the problem, however, is that few rapists actually reach jail.) A sexual assault case in which the victim has suffered permanent physical damage or disfigurement, or lasting psychological damage, should subject the offender to additional charges and penalties for aggravated assault as well.

Rape, as the current law defines it, is the forcible perpetration of an act of sexual intercourse on the body of a woman not one's wife. The exemption from rape prosecutions granted to husbands who force their wives into acts of sexual union by physical means is as ancient as the original definition of criminal rape, which was synonymous with that quaint phrase of Biblical origin, "unlawful carnal knowledge." To our Biblical forefathers, any carnal knowledge outside the marriage contract was "unlawful." And any carnal knowledge within the marriage contract was, by definition, "lawful." Thus, as the law evolved, the idea that a husband could be prosecuted for raping his wife was unthinkable, for the law was conceived to protect his interests, not those of his wife. Sir Matthew Hale explained to his peers in the seventeenth century, "A husband cannot be guilty of rape upon his wife for by their mutual matrimonial consent and contract the wife hath given up herself in this kind to her husband, which she cannot retract." In other words, marriage implies consent to sexual intercourse at all times, and a husband has a lawful right to copulate with his wife against her will and by force according to the terms of their contract.

[^24]The most famous marital rape in literature, occurring onstage in the popular television serial but offstage in the novel, is that of Irene by Soames in The Forsyte Saga. As Galsworthy presents the Soamesian logic, the logic of Everyhusband, although perhaps not of Galsworthy himself, the denied husband has "at last asserted his rights and acted like a man." In his morning-after solitude while he hears Irene still crying in the bedroom, Soames muses, "The incident was really of no great moment; women made a fuss about it in books; but in the cool judgment of right-thinking men, of men of the world, such as he recollected often received praise in the Di vorce Court, he had but done his best to sustain the sanctity of marriage, to prevent her from abandoning her duty... . . No, he did not regret it."

In the cool judgment of right-thinking women, compulsory sexual intercouse is not a husband's right in marriage, for such a "right" gives the lie to any concept of equality and human dignity. Consent is better arrived at by husband and wife afresh each time, for if women are to be what we believe we are-equal partnersthen intercourse must be construed as an act of mutual desire and not as a wifely "duty," enforced by the permissible threat of bodily harm or of economic sanctions.

In cases of rape within a marriage, the law must take a philosophic leap of the greatest magnitude, for while the ancient concept of conjugal rights (female rights as well as male) might continue to have some validity in annulments and contested divorces-civil procedures conducted in courts of law-it must not be used as a shield to cover acts of force perpetrated by husbands on the bodies of their wives. There are those who believe that the current laws governing assault and battery are sufficient to deal with the cases of forcible rape in marriage, and those who take the more liberal stand that a sexual assault law might be applicable only to those men legally separated from their wives who return to "claim" their marital "right," but either of these solutions fails to come to grips with the basic violation.

Since the beginning of written history, criminal rape has been bound up with the common law of consent in marriage, and it is time, once and for all, to make a clean break. A sexual assault is an invasion of bodily integrity and a violation of freedom and selfdetermination wherever it happens to take place, in or out of the marriage bed. I recognize that it is easier to write these words than
and rage of any robber who might accost them. Clearly, the feeling seems to be that the loss of a few bucks is a better bargain than the risk of physical violence. Handing over money at knife point, or dipping into one's wallet to assuage a weaponless but menacing figure on a dark, deserted street, may be financially painful or emotionally distressing, but it hardly compares to the massive insult to one's self-determination that is sustained during a sexual assault.

In a sexual assault physical harm is much more than a threat; it is a reality because violence is an integral part of the act. Body contact and physical intrusion are the purpose of the crime, not appropriation of a physically detached and removable item like money. Yet the nature of the crime as it is practiced does bear robbery a close resemblance, because the sexual goal for the rapist resembles the monetary goal of the robber (often both goals are accomplished during the course of one confrontation if the victim is a woman), and so, in a sex crime, a bargain between offender and victim may also be struck. In this respect, a sexual assault is closer in victim response to a robbery than it is to a simple case of assault, for an assaultive event may not have a specific goal beyond the physical contest, and furthermore, people who find themselves in an assaultive situation usually defend themselves by fighting back.

Under the rules of law, victims of robbery and assault are not required to prove they resisted, or that they didn't consent, or that the act was accomplished with sufficient force, or sufficient threat of force, to overcome their will, because the law presumes it highly unlikely that a person willingly gives away money, except to a charity or to a favorite cause, and the law presumes that no person willingly submits to a brutal beating and the infliction of bodily harm and permanent damage. But victims of rape and other forms of sexual assault do need to prove these evidentiary requirementsthat they resisted, that they didn't consent, that their will was overcome by overwhelming force and fear-because the law has never been able to satisfactorily distinguish an act of mutually desired sexual union from an act of forced, criminal sexual ag gression.

Admittedly, part of the law's confusion springs from the normal, biologic, male procedural activity in an act of unforced copulation, but insertion of the penis (a descriptive phrase less semantically loaded than penetration, I think) is not in itself,
despite what many men think, an act of male dominance. The real reason for the law's everlasting confusion as to what constitutes an act of rape and what constitutes an act of mutual intercourse is the underlying cultural assumption that it is the natural masculine role to proceed aggressively toward the stated goal, while the natural feminine role is to "resist" or "submit." And so to protect male interests, the law seeks to gauge the victim's behavior during the offending act in the belief that force or the threat of force is not conclusive in and of itself.

According to Menachem Amir's study, the assailant actually displays a dangerous weapon in no more than one-fifth of all policefounded cases of rape. Clearly, these are the cases a jury would most likely believe. But most rapes are not accomplished by means of a knife, a gun, a lead pipe or whatever. The force that is employed more often consists of an initial stranglehold, manhandling, beating, shoving, tearing at clothes, a verbal threat of death or disfigurement, the sheer physical presence of two, three, four, five assailants, etc. Without doubt, any of these circumstances can and does produce immobilizing terror in a victim, terror sufficient to render her incapable of resistance or to make her believe that resistance would be futile.

Currently employed standards of resistance or consent vis-a-vis force or the threat of force have never been able to accurately gauge a victim's terror, since terror is a psychological reaction and not an objective standard that can be read on a behavior meter six months later in court, as jury acquittal rates plainly show. For this reason, feminists have argued that the special burden of proof that devolves on a rape victim, that she resisted "within reason," that her eventual compliance was no indication of tacit "consent," is patently unfair, since such standards are not applied in court to the behavior of victims in other kinds of violent crime. A jury should be permitted to weigh the word of a victimized complainant at face value, that is what it boils down to-no more or less a right than is granted to other victims under the law.

Not only is the victim's response during the act measured and weighed, her past sexual history is scrutinized under the theory that it relates to her "tendency to consent," or that it reflects on her credibility, her veracity, her predisposition to tell the truth or to lie. Or so the law says. As it works out in practice, juries presented with evidence concerning a woman's past sexual history make use of
such information to form a moral judgment on her character, and here all the old myths of rape are brought into play, for the feeling persists that a virtuous woman either cannot get raped or does not get into situations that leave her open to assault. Thus the questions in the jury room become "Was she or wasn't she asking for it?"; "If she had been a decent woman, wouldn't she have fought to the death to defend her 'treasure'?"; and "Is this bimbo worth the ruination of a man's career and reputation?"

The crime of rape must be totally separated from all traditional concepts of chastity, for the very meaning of chastity presupposes that it is a woman's duty (but not a man's) to refrain from sex outside the matrimonial union. That sexual activity renders a woman "unchaste" is a totally male view of the female as his pure vessel. The phrase "prior chastity" as well as the concept must be stricken from the legal lexicon, along with "prosecutrix," as inflammatory and prejudicial to a complainant's case.

A history of sexual activity with many partners may be indicative of a female's healthy interest in sex, or it may be indicative of a chronic history of victimization and exploitation in which she could not assert her own inclinations; it may be indicative of a spirit of adventure, a spirit of rebellion, a spirit of curiosity, a spirit of joy or a spirit of defeat. Whatever the reasons, and there are many, prior consensual intercourse between a rape complainant and other partners of her choosing should not be scrutinized as an indicator of purity or impurity of mind or body, not in this day and age at any rate, and it has no place in jury room deliberation as to whether or not, in the specific instance in question, an act of forcible sex took place. Prior consensual intercourse between the complainant and the defendant does have some relevance, and such information probably should not be barred.

An overhaul of present laws and a fresh approach to sexual assault legislation must go hand in hand with a fresh approach to enforcing the law. The question of who interprets and who enforces the statutes is as important as the contents of the law itself. At present, female victims of sexual crimes of violence who seek legal justice must rely on a series of male authority figures whose masculine orientation, values and fears place them securely in the offender's camp.

The most bitter irony of rape, I think, has been the historic masculine fear of false accusation, a fear that has found expression
in male folklore since the Biblical days of Joseph the Israelite and Potiphar's wife, that was given new life and meaning in the psychoanalytic doctrines of Sigmund Freud and his followers, and that has formed the crux of the legal defense against a rape charge, aided and abetted by that special set of evidentiary standards (consent, resistance, chastity, corroboration) designed with one collective purpose in mind: to protect the male against a scheming, lying, vindictive woman.

Fear of false accusation is not entirely without merit in any criminal case, as is the problem of misidentification, an honest mistake, but the irony, of course, is that while men successfully convinced each other and us that women cry rape with ease and glee, the reality of rape is that victimized women have always been reluctant to report the crime and seek legal justice-because of the shame of public exposure, because of that complex double standard that makes a female feel culpable, even responsible, for any act of sexual aggression committed against her, because of possible retribution from the assailant (once a woman has been raped, the threat of a return engagement understandably looms large), and because women have been presented with sufficient evidence to come to the realistic conclusion that their accounts are received with a harsh cynicism that forms the first line of male defense.

A decade ago the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports noted that 20 percent of all rapes reported to the police "were determined by investigation to be unfounded." By 1973 the figure had dropped to 15 percent, while rape remained, in the FBI's words, "the most under-reported crime." A 15 percent figure for false accusations is undeniably high, yet when New York City instituted a special sex crimes analysis squad and put policewomen (instead of men) in charge of interviewing complainants, the number of false charges in New York dropped dramatically to 2 percent, a figure that corresponded exactly to the rate of false reports for other violent crimes. The lesson in the mystery of the vanishing statistic is obvious. Women believe the word of other women. Men do not.

That women have been excluded by tradition and design from all significant areas of law enforcement, from the police precinct, from the prosecutor's office, from the jury box and from the judge's bench, up to and including the appellate and supreme court jurisdictions, has created a double handicap for rape victims seeking justice under the laws of man's devise. And so it is not enough that
the face of the law be changed to reflect the reality; the faces of those charged with the awesome responsibility of enforcing the law and securing justice must change as well.

I am convinced that the battle to achieve parity with men in the critical area of law enforcement will be the ultimate testing ground on which full equality for women will be won or lost. Law enforcement means quite literally the use of force when necessary, to maintain the social order, and force since the days of the rudimentary lex talionis has been a male prerogative because of size, weight, strength, biologic construction and deliberate training, training from which women have been barred by custom as stern as the law itself.

If in the past women had no choice but to let men be our lawful protectors, leaving to them not only the law but its enforcement, it would now seem to be an urgent priority to correct the imbalance. For things have come full circle. The biologic possibility that allows the threat and use of rape still exists, but our social contract has reached a point of sophistication whereby brute force matters less to the maintenance of law and order, or so I believe. I am not unaware that members of the police force in various cities have shown considerable reluctance to admit that size and strength may not be the prime factor in the making of an effective police officer, and they may be temporarily pardoned for sticking to outdated male values. New studies show quite conclusively that women police officers are as effective as men in calming a disturbance and in making an arrest, and they accomplish their work in potentially violent situations without resorting to the unnecessary force that deserves its label, "police brutality."

I am not one to throw the word "revolutionary" around lightly, but full integration of our cities' police departments, and by full I mean fifty-fifty, no less, is a revolutionary goal of the utmost importance to women's rights. And if we are to continue to have armies, as I suspect we will for some time to come, then they, too, must be fully integrated, as well as our national guard, our state troopers, our local sheriffs' offices, our district attorneys' offices, our state prosecuting attorneys' offices-in short, the nation's entire lawful power structure (and I mean power in the physical sense) must be stripped of male dominance and controlif women are to cease being a colonized protectorate of men.

A system of criminal justice and forceful authority that gent-
inely works for the protection of women's rights, and most specifically the right not to be sexually assaulted by men, can become an efficient mechanism in the control of rape insofar as it brings offenders speedily to trial, presents the case for the complainant in the best possible light, and applies just penalties upon conviction. While I would not underestimate the beneficial effects of workable sex assault laws to "hold the line" and provide a positive deterrent, what feminists (and all right-thinking people) must look toward is the total eradication of rape, and not just an effective policy of containment.

A new approach to the law and to law enforcement can take us only part of the way. Turning over to women 50 percent of the power to enforce the law and maintain the order will be a major step toward eliminating machismo. However, the ideology of rape is aided by more than a system of lenient laws that serve to protect offenders and is abetted by more than the fiat of total male control over the lawful use of power. The ideology of rape is fueled by cultural values that are perpetuated at every level of our society, and nothing less than a frontal attack is needed to repel this cultural assault.

The theory of aggressive male domination over women as a natural right is so deeply embedded in our cultural value system that all recent attempts to expose it-in movies, television commercials or even in children's textbooks-have barely managed to scratch the surface. As I see it, the problem is not that polarized role playing (man as doer; woman as bystander) and exaggerated portrayals of the female body as passive sex object are simply "demeaning" to women's dignity and self-conception, or that such portrayals fail to provide positive role models for young girls, but that cultural sexism is a conscious form of female degradation designed to boost the male ego by offering "proof" of his native superiority (and of female inferiority) everywhere he looks.

Critics of the women's movement, when they are not faulting us for being slovenly, straggly-haired, construction-booted, whiny sore losers who refuse to accept our female responsibilities, often profess to see a certain inexplicable Victorian primness and antisexual prudery in our attitudes and responses. "Come on, gals," they say in essence, "don't you know that your battle for female liberation is part of our larger battle tor sexual liberation? Free yourselves from all your old hang-ups! Stop pretending that you are
actually offended by those four-letter words and animal noises we grunt in your direction on the street in appreciation of your womanly charms. When we plaster your faceless naked body on the cover of our slick magazines, which sell millions of copies, we do it in sensual obeisance to your timeless beauty-which, by our estimation, ceases to be timeless at age twenty or thereabouts. If we feel the need for a little fun and go out and rent the body of a prostitute for a half hour or so, we are merely engaging in a mutual act between two consenting adults, and what's it got to do with you? When we turn our movie theaters into showcases for pornographic films and convert our bookstores to outlets for massproduced obscene smut, not only should you marvel at the wonders of our free-enterprise system, but you should applaud us for pushing back the barriers of repressive middle-class morality, and for our strenuous defense of all the civil liberties you hold so dear, because we have made obscenity the new frontier in defense of freedom of speech, that noble liberal tradition. And surely you're not against civil liberties and freedom of speech, now, are you?"

The case against pornography and the case against toleration of prostitution are central to the fight against rape, and if it angers a large part of the liberal population to be so informed, then I would question in turn the political understanding of such liberals and their true concern for the rights of women. Or to put it more gently, a feminist analysis approaches all prior assumptions, including those of the great, unquestioned liberal tradition, with a certain open-minded suspicion, for all prior traditions have worked against the cause of women and no set of values, including that of tolerant liberals, is above review or challenge. After all, the liberal politik has had less input from the feminist perspective than from any other modern source; it does not by its own considerable virtue embody a perfection of ideals, it has no special claim on goodness, rather, it is most receptive to those values to which it has been made sensitive by others.

The defense lawyer mentality had such a hold over the liberal tradition that when we in the women's movement first began to politicize rape back in 1971, and found ourselves on the side of the prosecutor's office in demanding that New York State's rape laws be changed to eliminate the requirement of corroborative proof, the liberal establishment as represented by the American Civil Liberties Union was up in arms. Two years later the ACLU had
become sensitized to the plight of rape victims under the rules of law, thanks to the lobbying efforts of feminist lawyers, and once this new concern for rape victims was balanced against the ACLU's longstanding and just concern for the rights of all defendants, the civil-liberties organization withdrew its opposition to corroboration repeal. This, I believe, was a philosophic change of significant proportions, and perhaps it heralds major changes to come. In any event, those of us who know our history recall that when the women's liberation movement was birthed by the radical left, the first serious struggle we faced was to free ourselves from the structures, thought processes and priorities of what we came to call the male left-and so if we now find ourselves in philosophic disagreement with the thought processes and priorities of what has been no less of a male liberal tradition, we should not find it surprising.

Once we accept as basic truth that rape is not a crime of irrational, impulsive, uncontrollable lust, but is a deliberate, hostile, violent act of degradation and possession on the part of a would-be conqueror, designed to intimidate and inspire fear, we must look toward those elements in our culture that promote and propagandize these attitudes, which offer men, and in particular, impressionable, adolescent males, who form the potential raping population, the ideology and psychologic encouragement to commit their acts of aggression without awareness, for the most part, that they have committed a punishable crime, let alone a moral wrong. The myth of the heroic rapist that permeates false notions of masculinity, from the successful seducer to the man who "takes what he wants when he wants it," is inculcated in young boys from the time they first become aware that being a male means access to certain mysterious rites and privileges, including the right to buy a woman's body. When young men learn that females may be bought for a price, and that acts of sex command set prices, then how should they not also conclude that that which may be bought may also be taken without the civility of a monetary exchange?

That there might be a connection between prostitution and rape is certainly not a new idea. Operating from the old (and discredited) lust, drive and relief theory, men have occasionally put forward the notion that the way to control criminal rape is to ensure the ready accessibility of female bodies at a reasonable price through the legalization of prostitution, so that the male impulse might be satisfied with ease, efficiency and a minimum of bother.

Alas for these androcentric pragmatists, even Dr, Kinsey could unearth "no adequate data to prove the truth or falsity" of such a connection. Twenty years after Kinsey others of a similar mind were still trying, although the evidence still suggested that men who make frequent use of brothels are several years older than men who are usually charged with criminal rape. To my mind the experience of the American military in Vietnam, where brothels for GI's were officially sanctioned, even incorporated into the base camp recreation areas, should prove conclusively that the availability of sex for a small price is no deterrent to the decision to rape, any more than the availability of a base-camp shooting range is a deterrent to the killing of unarmed civilians and children.

But my horror at the idea of legalized prostitution is not that it doesn't work as a rape deterrent, but that it institutionalizes the concept that it is man's monetary right, if not his divine right, to gain access to the female body, and that sex is a female service that should not be denied the civilized male. Perpetuation of the concept that the "powerful male impulse" must be satisfied with immediacy by a cooperative class of women, set aside and expressly licensed for this purpose, is part and parcel of the mass psychology of rape. Indeed, until the day is reached when prostitution is totally eliminated (a millennium that will not arrive until men, who create the demand, and not women who supply it, are fully prosecuted under the law), the false perception of sexual access as an adjunct of male power and privilege will continue to fuel the rapist mentality.

Pornography has been so thickly glossed over with the patina of chic these days in the name of verbal freedom and sophistication that important distinctions between freedom of political expression (a democratic necessity), honest sex education for children (a societal good) and ugly smut (the deliberate devaluation of the role of women through obscene, distorted depictions) have been hopelessly confused. Part of the problem is that those who traditionally have been the most vigorous opponents of porn are often those same people who shudder at the explicit mention of any sexual subject. Under their watchful, vigilante eyes, frank and free dissemination of educational materials relating to abortion, contrabception, the act of birth, and female biology in general is also dangerous, subversive and dirty. (I am not unmindful that a frank and free discussion of rape, "the unspeakable crime," might well
give these righteous vigilantes further cause to shudder.) Because the battle lines were falsely drawn a long time ago, before there was a vocal women's movement, the anti-pornography forces ap pear to be, for the most part, religious, Southern, conservative and right-wing, while the pro-porn forces are identified as Eastern, atheistic and liberal.

But a woman's perspective demands a totally new alignment, or at least a fresh appraisal. The majority report of the President's Commission on Obscenity and Pornography (1970), a report that argued strongly for the removal of all legal restrictions on pornography, soft and hard, made plain that 90 percent of all pornographic material is geared to the male heterosexual market (the other 10 percent is geared to the male homosexual taste), that buyers of porn are "predominantly white, middle-class, middleaged married males" and that the graphic depictions, the meat and potatoes of porn, are of the naked female body and of the multiplicity of acts done to that body.

Discussing the content of stag films, "a familiar and firmly established part of the American scene," the commission report dutifully, if foggily, explained, "Because pornography historically has been thought to be primarily a masculine interest, the emphasis in stag films seems to represent the preferences of the middleclass American male. Thus male homosexuality and bestiality are relatively rare, while lesbianism is rather common."

The commissioners in this instance had merely verified what purveyors of porn have always known: hard-core pornography is not a celebration of sexual freedom; it is a cynical exploitation of female sexual activity through the device of making all such activity, and consequently all females, "dirty." Heterosexual male consumers of pornography are frankly turned on by watching lesbians in action (although never in the final scenes, but always as a curtain raiser); they are turned off with the sudden swiftness of a water faucet by watching naked men act upon each other. One study quoted in the commission report came to the unastounding conclusion that "seeing a stag film in the presence of male peers bolsters masculine esteem." Indeed. The men in groups who watch the films, it is important to note, are not naked.

When male response to pornography is compared to female response, a pronounced difference in attitude emerges. According to the commission, "Males report being more highly aroused by
depictions of nude females, and show more interest in depictions of nude females than [do] females." Quoting the figures of Alfred Kinsey, the commission noted that a majority of males ( 77 percent) were "aroused" by visual depictions of explicit sex while a majority of females ( 68 percent) were not aroused. Further, "females more often than males reported 'disgust' and 'offense.'

From whence comes this female disgust and offense? Are females sexually backward or more conservative by nature? The gut distaste that a majority of women feel when we look at pornography, a distaste that, incredibly, it is no longer fashionable to admit, comes, I think, from the gut knowledge that we and our bodies are being stripped, exposed and contorted for the purpose of ridicule to bolster that "masculine esteem" which gets its kick and sense of power from viewing females as anonymous, panting playthings, adult toys, dehumanized objects to be used, abused, broken and discarded.

This, of course, is also the philosophy of rape. It is no accident (for what else could be its purpose?) that females in the pornographic genre are depicted in two cleanly delineated roles: as virgins who are caught and "banged" or as nymphomaniacs who are never sated. The most popular and prevalent pornographic fantasy combines the two: an innocent, untutored female is raped and "subjected to unnatural practices" that turn her into a raving, slobbering nymphomaniac, a dependent sexual slave who can never get enough of the big, male cock.

There can be no "equality" in porn, no female equivalent, no turning of the tables in the name of bawdy fun. Pornography, like rape, is a male invention, designed to dehumanize women, to reduce the female to an object of sexual access, not to free sensual ity from moralistic or parental inhibition. The staple of porn will always be the naked female body, breasts and genitals exposed, because as man devised it, her naked body is the female's "shame," her private parts the private property of man, while his are the ancient, holy, universal, patriarchal instrument of his power, his rule by force over her.

Pornography is the undiluted essence of anti-female propaganda. Yet the very same liberals who were so quick to understand the method and purpose behind the mighty propaganda machine of Hitler's Third Reich, the consciously spewed-out anti-Semitic caricatures and obscenities that gave an ideological base to the

Holocaust and the Final Solution, the very same liberals who, enlightened by blacks, searched their own conscience and came to understand that their tolerance of "nigger" jokes and portrayals of shuffling, rolling-eyed servants in movies perpetuated the degrading myths of black inferiority and gave an ideological base to the continuation of black oppression-these very same liberals now fervidly maintain that the hatred and contempt for women that find expression in four-letter words used as expletives and in what are quaintly called "adult" or "erotic" books and movies are a valid extension of freedom of speech that must be preserved as a Constitutional right.

To defend the right of a lone, crazed American Nazi to grind out propaganda calling for the extermination of all Jews, as the ACLU has done in the name of free speech, is, after all, a selfrighteous and not particularly courageous stand, for American Jewry is not currently threatened by storm troopers, concentration camps and imminent extermination, but I wonder if the ACLU's position might change if, come tomorrow morning, the bookstores and movie theaters lining Forty-second Street in New York City were devoted not to the humiliation of women by rape and torture, as they currently are, but to a systematized, commercially successful propaganda machine depicting the sadistic pleasures of gassing Jews or lynching blacks?

Is this analogy extreme? Not if you are a woman who is conscious of the ever-present threat of rape and the proliferation of a cultural ideology that makes it sound like "liberated" fun. The majority report of the President's Commission on Obscenity and Pornography tried to pooh-pooh the opinion of law enforcement agencies around the country that claimed their own concrete experience with offenders who were caught with the stuff led them to conclude that pornographic material is a causative factor in crimes of sexual violence. The commission maintained that it was not possible at this time to scientifically prove or disprove such a connection.

But does one need scientific methodology in order to conclude that the anti-female propaganda that permeates our nation's cultural output promotes a climate in which acts of sexual hostility directed against women are not only tolerated but ideologically encouraged? A similar debate has raged for many years over whether or not the extensive glorification of violence (the gangster
as hero; the loving treatment accorded bloody shoot-em-ups in movies, books and on TV) has a causal effect, a direct relationship to the rising rate of crime, particularly among youth. Interestingly enough, in this area-nonsexual and not specifically related to abuses against women-public opinion seems to be swinging to the position that explicit violence in the entertainment media does have a deleterious effect; it makes violence commonplace, numbingly routine and no longer morally shocking.

More to the point, those who call for a curtailment of scenes of violence in movies and on television in the name of sensitivity, good taste and what's best for our children are not accused of being pro-censorship or against freedom of speech. Similarly, minority group organizations, black, Hispanic, Japanese, Italian, Jewish, or American Indian, that campaign against ethnic slurs and demeaning portrayals in movies, on television shows and in commercials are perceived as waging a just political fight, for if a minority group claims to be offended by a specific portrayal, be it Little Black Sambo or the Frito Bandido, and relates it to a history of ridicule and oppression, few liberals would dare to trot out a Constitutional argument in theoretical opposition, not if they wish to maintain their liberal credentials. Yet when it comes to the treatment of women, the liberal consciousness remains fiercely obdurate, refusing to be budged, for the sin of appearing square or prissy in the age of the so-called sexual revolution has become the worst offense of all.

A law that reflects the female reality and a social system that no longer shuts women out of its enforcement and does not promote a masculine ideology of rape will go a long way toward the elimination of crimes of sexual violence, but the last line of defense shall always be our female bodies and our female minds. In making rape a speakable crime, not a matter of shame, the women's movement has already fired the first retaliatory shots in a war as ancient as civilization. When, just a few years ago, we began to hold our speak-outs on rape, our conferences, borrowing a church meeting hall for an afternoon, renting a high-school auditorium and some classrooms for a weekend of workshops and discussion, the world out there, the world outside of radical feminism, thought it was all very funny.
"You're talking about rape? Incredible! A political crime against women? How is a sex crime political? You're actually
having women give testimony about their own rapes and what happened to them afterwards, the police, the hospitals, the courts? Far out!" And then the nervous giggles that betray confusion, fear and shame disappeared and in their place was the dim recognition that in daring to speak the unspoken, women had uncovered yet another part of our oppression, perhaps the central key: historic physical repression, a conscious process of intimidation, guilt and fear.

Within two years the world out there had stopped laughing, and the movement had progressed beyond the organizational forms of speak-outs and conferences, our internal consciousness-raising, to community outreach programs that were imaginative, original and unprecedented: rape crisis centers with a telephone hot line staffed twenty-four hours a day to provide counseling, procedural information and sisterly solidarity to recent rape victims and even to those whose assault had taken place years ago but who never had the chance to talk it out with other women and release their suppressed rage; rape legislation study groups to work up model codes based on a fresh approach to the law and to work with legislators to get new laws adopted; anti-rape projects in conjunction with the emergency ward of a city hospital, in close association with policewomen staffing newly formed sex crime analysis squads and investigative units. With pamphlets, newsletters, bumper stickers, "Wanted" posters, combative slogans-"STOP RAPE"; "WARWOMEN AGAINST RAPE"; "SMASH SEXISM, DISARM RAPISTS!"-and with classes in self-defense, women turned around and seized the offensive.

The wonder of all this female activity, decentralized grassroots organizations and programs that sprung up independently in places like Seattle, Indianapolis, Ann Arbor, Toronto, and Boulder, Colorado, is that none of it had been predicted, encouraged, or faintly suggested by men anywhere in their stern rules of caution, their friendly advice, their fatherly solicitude in more than five thousand years of written history. That women should organize to combat rape was a women's movement invention.

Men are not unmindful of the rape problem. To the contrary, their paternalistic codes reserved the harshest penalties for a violation of their property. But given an approach to rape that saw the crime as an illegal encroachment by an unlicensed intruder, a stranger come into their midst, the advice they gave (and still try
to give) was all of one piece: a set of rules and regulations designed to keep their property penned in, much as a sheepherder might try to keep his flock protected from an outlaw rustler by taking precautions against their straying too far from the fold. By seeing the rapist always as a stranger, never as one of their own, and by viewing the female as a careless, dumb creature with an unfortunate tendency to stray, they exhorted, admonished and warned the female to hide herself from male eyes as much as possible. In short, they told her not to claim the privileges they reserved for themselves. Such advice-well intentioned, solicitous and genuinely concerned-succeeded only in further aggravating the problem, for the message they gave was to live a life of fear, and to it they appended the dire warning that the woman who did not follow the rules must be held responsible for her own violation.

Clinton Duffy, the famous warden of San Quentin, couldn't understand why women didn't imprison themselves under maximum security conditions for their own protection. He wrote, "Many break the most elementary rules of caution every day. The particularly flagrant violators, those who go to barrooms alone, or accept pickups from strangers, or wear unusually tight sweaters and skirts, or make a habit of teasing, become rape bait by their actions alone. When it happens they have nobody to blame but themselves."

Duffy heaped scorn on women who "regularly break commonsense rules of caution" by neglecting to draw the shades or put out the light while undressing, by forgetting to lock all doors and windows, by failing "to report telephone callers who hang up when they answer, or suspicious-looking loiterers," by letting a lone male stranger into the house, by walking home alone late at night. "If it's impossible for a woman to keep off lonely streets at night," he instructed, "she should walk near the curb with her head up and her eyes straight forward, move rapidly and keep going. . Women in these situations should carry a police whistle in the palm of their hand until they are out of the danger area. Ridiculous as it may sound," he concluded, "women should be careful to hang underwear out to dry in the least conspicuous places on the line. If a woman lives alone she shouldn't hang it outside at all."

A fairly decent article on rape in the March, 1974, issue of The Reader's Digest was written by two men who felt obliged to warn,

Don't broadcast the fact that you live alone or with another woman. List only your last name and initial on the mailbox and in the phone book. Before entering your car, check to see if anyone is hiding on the rear seat or on the rear floor. If you're alone in a car, keep the doors locked and the windows rolled up. If you think someone is following you . . . do not go directly home if there is no adult male there. Possible weapons are a hatpin, corkscrew, pen, keys, umbrella. If no weapons are available, fight back physically only if you feel you can do so with telling effect.
What immediately pops into mind after reading the advice of Warden Duffy and The Reader's Digest is the old-time stand-up comedian's favorite figure of ridicule, the hysterical old maid armed with hatpin and umbrella who looks under the bed each night before retiring. Long a laughable stereotype of sexual repression, it now appears that the crazy old lady was a pioneer of sound mind after all.

But the negative value of this sort of advice, I'm afraid, far outweighs the positive. What it tells us, implicitly and explicitly, is:

1. A woman alone probably won't be able to defend herself. Another woman who might possibly come to her aid will be of no use whatsoever.
2. Despite the fact that it is men who are the rapists, a woman's ultimate security lies in being accompanied by men at all times.
3. A woman who claims to value her sexual integrity cannot expect the same amount of freedom and independence that men routinely enjoy. Even a small pleasure like taking a spin in an automobile with the windows open is dangerous, reckless behavior.
4. In the exercise of rational caution, a woman should engage in an amazing amount of pretense. She should pretend she has a male protector even if she hasn't. She should deny or obscure her personal identity, life-style and independence, and function on a sustained level of suspicion that approaches a clinical definition of paranoia.

Of course I think all people, female and male, child and adult, must be alert and on guard against the warning signs of criminal violence and should take care in potentially hazardous situations, such as a dark, unfamiliar street at night, or an unexpected knock on the door, but to impose a special burden of caution on women
is no solution at all. There can be no private solutions to the problem of rape. A woman who follows this sort of special cau tionary 'advice to the letter and thinks she is acting in society's interest-or even in her own personal interest-is deluding herself rather sadly. While the risk to one potential victim might be slightly diminished (and I even doubt this, since I have known of nuns who were raped within walled convents), not only does the number of potential rapists on the loose remain constant, but the ultimate effect of rape upon the woman's mental and emotional health has been accomplished even without the act. For to accept a special burden of self-protection is to reinforce the concept that women must live and move about in fear and can never expect to achieve the personal freedom, independence and self-assurance of men.

That's what rape is all about, isn't it? And a possible deepdown reason why even the best of our concerned, well-meaning men run to stereotypic warnings when they seek to grapple with the problem of rape deterrence is that they prefer to see rape as a woman's problem, rather than as a societal problem resulting from a distorted masculine philosophy of aggression. For when men raise the spectre of the unknown rapist, they refuse to take psychologic responsibility for the nature of his act.

We know, or at least the statistics tell us, that no more than half of all reported rapes are the work of strangers, and in the hidden statistics, those four out of five rapes that go unreported, the percent committed by total strangers is probably lower. The man who jumps out of the alley or crawls through the window is the man who, if caught, will be called "the rapist" by his fellow men. But the known man who presses his advantage, who uses his position of authority, who forces his attentions (fine Victorian phrase), who will not take " No " for an answer, who assumes that sexual access is his right-of-way and physical aggression his right-on expression of masculinity, conquest and power is no less of a rapist-yet the chance that this man will be brought to justice, even under the best of circumstances, is comparatively small.

I am of the opinion that the most perfect rape laws in the land, strictly enforced by the best concerned citizens, will not be enough to stop rape. Obvious offenders will be punished, and that in itself will be a significant change, but the huge gray area of sexual exploitation, of women who are psychologically coerced into
acts of intercourse they do not desire because they do not have the wherewithal to physically, or even psychologically, resist, will remain a problem beyond any possible solution of criminal justice. It would be deceitful to claim that the murky gray area of male sexual aggression and female passivity and submission can ever be made amenable to legal divination-nor should it be, in the final analysis. Nor should a feminist advocate to her sisters that the best option in a threatening, unpleasant situation is to endure the insult and later take her case to the courts.

Unfortunately for strict constructionists and those with neat, orderly minds, the male-female sexual dynamic at this stage in our human development lends itself poorly to objective arbitration. A case of rape and a case of unpleasant but not quite criminal sexual extortion in which a passive, egoless woman succumbs because it never occurred to her that she might, with effort, repel the advance (and afterward quite justifiably feels "had") flow from the same oppressive male ideology, and the demarcation line between the two is far from clear. But these latter cases, of which there are many, reflect not only the male ideology of rape but a female paralysis of will, the result of a deliberate, powerful and destructive "feminine" conditioning.

The psychologic edge men hold in a situation characterized by sexual aggression is far more critical to the final outcome than their larger size and heavier weight. They know they know how to fight, for they have been trained and encouraged to use their bodies aggressively and competitively since early childhood. Young girls, on the other hand, are taught to disdain physical combat, healthy sports competition, and winning, because such activities dangerously threaten the conventional societal view of what is appropriate, ladylike, feminine behavior. The case for a strong mind in a strong body as a necessary step in the battle for equality (which Susan B. Anthony argued for vehemently in the earliest issues of her feminist newspaper, The Revolution) is being dramatically and effectively argued each day by our professional female athletesWho at long last are becoming female stars-and by recent strug. gles to integrate the Little League and to equalize expenditures for female sports programs in grade schools, high schools and colleges.

This sudden upsurge of interest in female athletics is more than a matter of giving girls who like sports a chance to fully explore their potential. It is based on a new female recognition

We could hurt them, we learned to our astonishment, and hurt them hard at the core of their sexual being-if we broke that Biblical injunction.

Is it possible that there is some sort of metaphysical justice in the anatomical fact that the male sex organ, which has been misused from time immemorial as a weapon of terror against women, should have at its root an awkward place of painful vulnerability? Acutely conscious of their susceptibility to damage, men have protected their testicles throughout history with armor, supports and forbidding codes of "clean," above-the-belt fighting. A gentleman's agreement is understandable-among gentlemen. When women are threatened, as I learned in my self-defense class, "Kick him in the balls, it's your best maneuver." How strange it was to hear for the first time in my life that women could fight back, should fight back and make full use of a natural advantage; that it is in our interest to know how to do it. How strange it was to understand with the full force of unexpected revelation that male allusions to psychological defeat, particularly at the hands of a woman, were couched in phrases like emasculation, castration and ball-breaking because of that very special physical vulnerability.

Fighting back. On a multiplicity of levels, that is the activity we must engage in, together, if we-women-are to redress the imbalance and rid ourselves and men of the ideology of rape.

Rape can be eradicated, not merely controlled or avoided on an individual basis, but the approach must be long-range and cooperative, and must have the understanding and good will of many men as well as women.

My purpose in this book has been to give rape its history. Now we must deny it a future.
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An ideological debt is something that only another movement person can truly understand. I was there when we in the women's movement first began to explore the many aspects of rape, and I listened to those (Diane Crothers, Sara Pines, Lilia Melani) who understood the issues far better than I. The movement also made my book possible by its courage and imagination, and by its contribution of personal testimony that opened up the subject of rape from a woman's point of view for the first time in history. Three events deserve specific mention, and I am proud that they were organized by a group to which, I am fond of saying, "I gave my life's blood." These were: The New York Radical Feminist Speak-Out on Rape, January 24, 1971; The New York Radical Feminist Conference on Rape, April 17, 1971; and the joint New York Radical Feminist-National Black Feminist Organization Speak-Out on Rape and Sexual Abuse, August 25, 1974.

To piece together the current picture and at the same time to try to reconstruct rape's history required a vast intake of research materials. People who knew me casually and people who knew me well didn't wait to be asked. They supplied leads, sources, citations and newspaper clippings that I never could have amassed on my own. At one point I drew up a list of what I had begun to think of as my private journalists-andfeminists rape network, Among my contributors were: Ann Lane, Louise

Thompson, Doris O'Donnell, Gloria Steinem, Betsy Steuart, Ben Bradlee, Bill Leonard, Waltraut Eschenbach, Ann Blackman, Mary Anne Krupsak, David Gurin, Jackie Bernard, Vivien Leone, Kay Schurr, James Aronson, Minda Bikman, Alix Kates Shulman, Lucy Komisar, Shelley Clayman, Kirsten Grimstad, Susan Rennie, Roslyn Fliegel, Elizabeth Evans, Irene Mahoney, Ruth Gross, Noemie Emery, Barbara Mehrhof, Pam Kearon, Mary Orovan, Holly Forsman, Letty Cottin Pogrebin, Sue Davis, Helene Silverstein, Evan Morley, Howard Meyer, Mary-Helen Mautner, Karen Kollias, Allan M. Siegal, Al McCullough, Ann Pollon, Joan Goulianos, Jane Jacobs, Marta Vivas, Grace Lichtenstein, Len Sandler, Nora Ephron, Fran Goldin, Jonathan Goldberg, Signe Hammer, Barbara Janes, Jo Roman, Arthur Rubine, Vicky Schultz, Linda Farin.

Several libraries proved essential. A field trip to the New York State Library in Albany introduced me to abstracts and indexes I didn't know existed. The month I camped out at the A. A. Brill Collection of the New York Psychoanalytic Institute gave me access to the best collection of Freudian literature in the nation, and a chance to read it in a most pleasant setting. The days and nights that turned into weeks when I roamed the subterranean stacks of the NYU Law Library as a "nonstudent with special privileges" made me indeed feel privileged. Columbia University's International Law Library and the National Archives in Washington held documents unavailable elsewhere.

But how can I adequately thank that great institution, the New York Public Library, that became my work space and psychologic home for most of the writing of this book? More than anything else, my book is a product of the research collections of the New York Public Library. During the three years I daily walked its marble halls, became acquainted with its awesome facilities and leaned on the expertise of the librarians in the special collections (most particularly, American History and the Jewish Division), got to know the guards, clerks and book retrievers and ate lunch in the employees' cafeteria, my identification with "The New York Public" became so complete that if someone asked me what I did for a living I'd absent-mindedly answer, "I work in the Forty-second Street library," which was literally true.

My book was written inside the library in a very special place called the Frederick Lewis Allen Room, where I was given a desk for my type writer and a shelf for my books. I was also given the companionship of a score of writers who became my own private seminar in how to get the job done. The interrelationship of my Allen Room friends and me is too complex to detail; suffice it to say that each of us struggled together, respectful of one another's progress, in a supportive environment dedicated to hard work and accomplishment, a writer's Utopia or close to it.

Early on it became apparent that money, or lack of money, was a
problem that had to be faced. Eden Lipson, John J. Simon and Nancy Milford were among those whose advice I solicited and followed. Grants from the Alicia Patterson Foundation and the Louis M. Rabinowitz Foundation supported me for two full years. Richard H. Nolte, Jane Hartwig and Victor Rabinowitz deserve special thanks for rooting for me with few questions asked, and a debt of gratitude is owed all the good people who wrote those enthusiastic letters of recommendation.

To sustain a relationship with me over the long haul, all friends had to become involved with my sole obsession, "the book." That they did attests more to their character than to the rewards of listening to my one subject of conversation. Four people bore the major brunt, and each contributed so much input that I am at a loss to adequately define their roles. Alison Owings, in Washington and New York, had an unerring way of always being a half step ahead of wherever I happened to be in a chapter; her perceptions were uncanny, her contributions were always on target. Florence Rush patiently worked out many philosophic and technical problems in animated, late-night discussions that only two people who shared the same specific interest could have. Jan Goodman loyally read the work in progress whenever I thrust it at her, and used her incisive legal mind to tell me forthrightly what should be cut and what was unclear. She argued intrepidly when argument was necessary and boosted me in a hundred ways when I needed concrete aid. It was the lot of Kevin Cooney to live with the book as he lived with me: research gathering, stylistic impasses, failures of nerve-all mine-dominated our life and Kevin bore them with an even-handed stoicism that extended itself to the three-o'clock-in-the-morning tremors when everything seemed too diffuse and complicated to ever get done. It seems clear to me now, as I write this, that with the support I got from those close and dear to me, of course the work got done.
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Crowds and Power Elias Canetti

# Some Books on Sociology and Anthropology <br> published by Penguin Books 

The Origins and Growth of Sociology J. H. Abraham Generations: A Collage on Youthcult Clifford Adelman The Sociology of the Family Edited by Michael Anderson Greek Society Antony Andrewes
The Discovery of Death in Childhood and After Sylvia Anthony
The Social Psychology of Work Michael Argyle
Main Currents in Social Thought (2 Volumes) Raymond Aron
Man and Environment: Crisis and the Strategy of Choice Robert Arvill
Sociology of Law Edited by Vilhelm Aubert
Social Anarchism Giovarmi Baldelli
The Fire Next Time James Baldwin
A Sociological Portrait Paul Barker
Sociology of Science Edited by Barry Barnes
Race and Social Differences Edited by Paul Baxter and Basil Sansom
Look at Kids Leila Berg
A Seventh Man John Berger and Jean Mohr
'Rape is nothing more or less than a conscious process of intimidation by which all men keep all women in a state of fear.'

Opening her book with this explosive statement Susan Brownmiller goes on to show why she considers rape to be not just a brutal crime but a reflection of how our society is conditioned. To do this she traces the use and meaning of rape from Biblical times through to Bangladesh and Vietnam; unravels the origins of rape laws in medieval codes; and examines interracial and homosexual rape and child molestation. She also includes a discussion on Freudian sexual psychology, legal defence strategy and on the message behind popular books, magazines and films. Always, she argues, the myths generated by the latter serve to glamorize the victim while they romanticize the rapist-even in cases of rape murder.

Against Our Will sheds a new and blinding light on the tensions that exist between men and women. It was written to give rape its history. Now, as Susan Brownmiller concludes 'we must deny it a future.'
'Brilliant, fascinating' - Hibernia
'A classic book' - The Times Educational Supplement
'Thoughtful, informative and well-researched' New Statesman


[^0]:    *The term "prosecutrix" stems from this time in English history when a female had the burden of instituting a civil suit in order for a rape trial to take place. Today, of course, it is the state, not the woman, that prosecutes for rape, yet "prosecutrix" continues to appear with regularity in appellate briefs that are written by rapists' defense attorneys, where it is used interchangeably with "complainant" and "alleged victim." Much of legal language is archaic, but in this instance it is hard not to conclude that the word is favored for the harsh, vindictive quality of personal prosecution that it plainly connotes.

[^1]:    * Under modern English law the maximum penalty for rape is life imprisonment.

[^2]:    Because access to women after a battle has been a traditional reward of war t is impossible to discuss rape in warfare without touching also on prostitution, since the two have been linked in history. Not that if prostitutes are not readily available men will turn to rape "to satisfy their needs," but that the two acts-raping an unwilling woman and buying the body and services of a nore or less cooperating woman-go hand in hand with a soldier's concept of

[^3]:    his rights and pleasures. Prostitution near a battle camp has been linked historically with the phenomenon of the camp follower, but this is a misrepresentation of history. Up to and including the American Revolution, camp following was an occupation based on necessity, practically built into the conduct of war. Female camp followers-many of them were wives of the soldiers-cooked, washed laundry and functioned as nurses for their men, in addition to their obvious sexual function. When the army and Florence Nightingale took over the first-named activities, camp following lost its nonsexual functions. Interestingly, while marriage to a foreign woman is made difficult for a soldier by complicated military regulations, access to prostitutes has generally been encouraged.

[^4]:    * An exception to this rule has been the United States. Individual cases of rape by American soldiers have received considerable attention in this country. Sometimes a case comes to light because of a strong court-martial defense of mistaken identity. There were some highly publicized U.S. courts-martial for rape involving a defense of mistaken identity in Japan and Okinawa during World War II and also during the Korean War. The defendants were black. A similar case and defense, involving two American GI's stationed with the army of occupation in Germany, surfaced in 1971. As a sign of the changing times, cases of rape by American soldiers in Vietnam have come to light as part of the many journalistic exposés documenting the horrors of the Southeast Asian war.

[^5]:    * In The Guns of August Barbara Tuchman attributes the German campaign of terror to the influence of Clausewitz, the nineteenth-century military theorist. She may have taken Clausewitz too literally, but then again, so may have the Germans. More to the point, Tuchman does not bother to include rape among her many examples of terror. In this omission I believe she was unduly influenced by those who sought to unravel and debunk Allied propaganda after the war.

[^6]:    * Article 134 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice is a general catchall charge that includes the following specifications: indecent assault; assault with intent to commit sodomy; assault with intent to commit rape; indecent acts with a child under sixteen; indecent exposure; indecent, obscene language; and indecent, lewd acts, in addition to many nonsexual specifications including nonpayment of debts; tampering with the mail; drunk and disorderly; driving infractions; and straggling. Article 120 of the UCMJ is the rape and carnal knowledge statute. Article 125 defines sodomy and Article 128 defines assault. On the books, a conviction for rape under Article 120 could bring a death sentence (the military has executed no one under court-martial law since 1962) or any number of years at hard labor. Carnal knowledge carries a maximum sentence of fifteen years. A conviction for forcible sodomy under Article 125 carries a maximum of ten years; if committed on a person under sixteen the maximum is twenty years. The heaviest specification under Article 134 is assault with intent to commit rape, carrying a maximum of twenty years. Indecent assault under Article 134 (which would include all "attempts") carries a maximum of five years. The growing popularity of Article 134 as the vehicle for trying sex offenses deserves comment; clearly it is preferred because it carries lesser maximum penalties. Under pressure from watchdog liberals inside and outside the Armed Forces, since the days of World War II the military has grown cautious about its court-martial procedures for all offenses, not just those of a sexual nature. In 1954, for example, 8.2 percent of all servicemen faced a court-martial proceeding; in 1971 the percentage had dropped to 3.5 .

[^7]:    The tired and oppressed Jews are longing for order and peace. Inasmuch as the large majority of the Jewish population belong to the bourgeoisie, they have been impatiently waiting for the Volunteer Army to redeem them from the proletarian dictatorship. With joy they greeted the oncoming armies and were prepared to help them with money and men. But to our great misfortune, the Jews were disappointed in their hopes. . . We understand full well that in an occupation there usually occurs unpleasantness, but to our great regret we must say that what is taking place is not an infrequent occurrence in scattered places but occurs systematically wherever the Volunteer Army enters. Especially violent were the outbreaks in Ekaterinoslav, where all the Jewish houses in four streets were plundered and outrages committed upon hundreds of Jewish girls and many Jews killed. The pogrom in Ekaterinoslav is still in progress . . .

    Ideology was never absent. A Jewish witness to a pogrom in harkov was told by a marauder, "All this is the fault of your rotsky."

    Periodic rape of Jewish women by hostiles sorely taxed the bbinical concept that a married woman who was raped became

[^8]:    Q.-How many crops had you made?
    A.-Two crops.

[^9]:    *I owe this valuable insight to the sociologist Lynn Curtis, another protég and student of Marvin Wolfgang's. The rapist as "man in the middle" apparent from the FBI's Uniform Crime Reports; from Volumes 11 and 1 of Crimes of Violence ( 1969 ), the staff report submitted to the Nations Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence on which Curtip worked as assistant director; and from Curtis' own work on the Big Four violent crimes, which I read in manuscript. The profile of the murderer, the way, is distinctively set off from the three other types of violent offender

[^10]:    * The ease with which the Strangler got his victims to open their doors a continuing source of wonderment to police detectives and reporters. Befo DeSalvo's confession a popular theory held that the mysterious killer mil have posed as a priest. After DeSalvo owned up to the maintenance-nitip ploy, his success was attributed to his "charm" and to his victims' gullibility More than likely his success was a tribute to the state of disrepair in max of Boston's older apartment buildings, and to female dependence on men repair work. All of DeSalvo's murders were committed in shabby, run-down neighborhoods where a landlord's handyman is akin to a messenger fol heaven, particularly to women who live alone and usually have something the "needs fixing."

[^11]:    *The staff report of the National Commission on the Causes and Preventiof of Violence also discussed interracial aspects of other crimes that the FBl Uniform Crime Reports avoid. In the same 17 -city survey it was estimated that 47 percent of all reported robberies were cases of "younger black male robbing older white males." Robbery, generally characterized by "have-nots forcibly taking from those they perceive as "haves," contains the highe interracial component of any violent crime. Rape has the second-highest ib
    terracial component.

[^12]:    *During the same time span Phillips found 346 convictions for murder ( of the victims were fellow slaves; 39 of the murderers were women), convictions for burglary, 90 for arson ( 29 of the arsonists were women), 111 convictions for miscellaneous assault.

[^13]:    * In 1974 the New York State legislature repealed the corroborative requirement for sex offenses committed against adults, but kept the additional evidence requirement for cases of assaults against children. The precept that children lie is more deeply entrenched in the law than the precept that adult women lie.

[^14]:    *It has been suggested, 1 forgot by whom, that the origin of the incest taboo was an agreement wrung from the father by the mother when she consented to accept male authority in return for protection of herself and her children. I like this theory but I'll admit it is only speculation, but no wilder speculation than the theory that the incest taboo sprung from the father's own recognition of his desire to sleep with his daughter, or was a compact a tribe wrung from each male member, either to increase their number through marrying out (exogamy) or to discourage inbreeding and hereditary flaws. At any rate, the incest taboo, when it works, does protect children, and that is inportant.

[^15]:    * Another highly respected film maker, Luis Bunuel, was a bit more hones when he discussed his reasons for making Viridiana. "As a child," he co fessed, "I dreamed of making love to the Queen of Spain, who was vel blonde, very white, like a sublime nun. I imagined I stole into the palace, drugged Her Majesty, and then raped her. Viridiana is the crystallization 0 this masturbator's dream."

[^16]:    * Tennessee Williams has always treated the rape theme with sensitivity. Stanley Kowalski's rape of Blanche DuBois in Streetcar Named Desire is also no glamorization, for Blanche, however damaged, represents fragility and aspiration while Stanley is symbolic of the darker forces of nihilism. An addr tional element here is class antagonism. Stanley has already pulled Stella "down off those white columns" that represented her genteel, Southern, upper-class plantation background, and as this beautifully wrought play pro ceeds it becomes clear that he intends to perform a similar feat on the womar he contemptuously refers to as Her Majesty, the Queen of the Nile and Dame Blanche. This the climactic rape scene accomplishes. Blanche is vulnerable because she has no male protector. Mitch turns from her when he learns 0 her past promiscuity and the millionaire Shep Huntleigh of Dallas is an illusion.

[^17]:    'Mario Puzo dealt nicely with the damaged-property aspect of rape from another perspective in The Godfather. In one of the opening scenes of the book an undertaker petitions Don Corleone for justice. His daughter has been the victim of a brutal attempted rape by two young boys-"not Italian." The petitioner has made the mistake of going through the American court system, 2nd the boys have been let off with a suspended sentence. The girl, disfigured lor life, keeps asking, "Father, Father, why did they do this to me?" Don Corleone does not attempt to deal with this question; like the judge who heard the case, he does not believe it is a serious affair. "The boys were young, high-spirited," he tells the distraught man, "and one of them is the son of a powerful politician." He advises the father to send his daughter a box of Mndy and flowers at the hospital. But the undertaker will not be assuagedhis daughter "will never be beautiful again." When it is understood between the two men that Don Corleone is a higher authority to his Mafia "family" than the American system of jurisprudence, the Don dispatches a lieutenant

[^18]:    "ALL WOMEN WANT TO BE RAPED"
    "NO WOMAN CAN BE RAPED AGAINST HER WILL"
    "SHE WAS ASKING FOR IT"
    "IF YOU'RE GOING TO BE RAPED, YOU MIGHT AS WELL RELAX AND ENJOY IT"

[^19]:    *There has lately been some indication that Maria Goretti will not remain the only saint in her special category. In 1972 Pope Paul VI beatified Agostina Pietrantoni, a thirty-year-old nun who worked as a nurse in a hospital just outside the Vatican. Sister Pietrantoni was stabbed to death in 1894 after an attempted rape by a former patient.

[^20]:    * Love Story, the most popular movie of 1970, was perhaps the epitome of the trend. The drama, and what little plot there was, turned on the untimely death of a beautiful, fresh-spirited girl. It was heralded by Time magazine as a "Return to Romance."

[^21]:    * In faimess to The New York Times I must report that its rape coverage had improved dramatically by 1974, thanks to a new interest in rape inspired by the women's movement.

[^22]:    Testimony: In psychiatric literature they always write that struggle is a component of the sex act. I think in college I must have met 15 men in my senior year who were really into that. The more you protested, the more determined they were to make love to you in the Sig Ep house parking lot. What do you do? Do you get out and run away at two o'clock in the morning? Yes, if you're smart. But a lot of girls can't.

    Testimony: I was 19, working in a bar as a waitress. I had couple of dates with this guy who used to come into the bar. He was okay then, he never tried anything funny. Then he invited me to go out with him and two other couples on my day off.

    There were two fellows already there when I got into the car, and we drove to places where we were supposed to pick up the other girls. But each time the fellows came back alone with some story

[^23]:    -Amir's overall figures included a high proportion of victimized children, Aho quite understandably proved to be the most submissive of any age group. Adolescent girls and adult women displayed submissive behavior in 51 percent of their cases; children were submissive in 66 percent of their cases.

[^24]:    * Since "Castrate Rapists" has become a slogan in certain circles, I guess I should say on the record that I am not "for" castration any more than I am "for" cutting off the ear of an informer or cutting off the hand of a thief. As for retaliatory killing, of which there have been a few recent cases, I would go along with the law and say that the concept of justifiable homicide in selfdefense is sound, but premeditated murder some time after the act can never be condoned.

